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November 9, 2016

Board of Administration
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Re: June 30, 2016 Actuarial Valuations

Dear Board Members:

Enclosed please find the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuations for the retirement and health plans.

As requested by the System, we have attached the following supplemental schedules:

- Exhibit A - Summary of significant results for the retirement and health plans.
- Exhibit B - History of computed contribution rates for the retirement and health plans.
- Exhibit C - Solvency test for the retirement plan.¹
- Exhibit D - Schedule of retirees and beneficiaries added to and removed from the rolls for the retirement plan.²

We look forward to discussing the reports and the enclosed schedules with the Board.

Sincerely,

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

¹ For the health plan, a similar schedule is provided in Exhibit I of the health valuation report.
² For the health plan, a similar schedule is provided in Exhibit C of the health valuation report.
# Exhibit A

Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Summary of Significant Valuation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Total Membership</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Active Members</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Pensioners and Beneficiaries</td>
<td>18,357</td>
<td>17,932</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. Valuation Salary</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Annual Payroll</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
<td>$1,907,664,598</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Average Monthly Salary</td>
<td>6,711</td>
<td>6,653</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III. Benefits to Current Retirees and Beneficiaries(1)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Annual Benefits</td>
<td>$778,355,427</td>
<td>$750,391,750</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Average Monthly Benefit Amount</td>
<td>3,533</td>
<td>3,487</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV. Total System Assets(2)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Actuarial Value</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
<td>$13,895,589,227</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Market Value</td>
<td>14,005,059,515</td>
<td>14,124,760,375</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Retirement Benefits</td>
<td>$4,985,746,123</td>
<td>$5,182,835,002</td>
<td>-3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Health Subsidy Benefits</td>
<td>544,935,475</td>
<td>538,064,716</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes July COLA.

(2) Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.
### Exhibit A (continued)

**Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System**

**Summary of Significant Valuation Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI. Budget Items (as a Percent of Pay)</th>
<th>FY 2017-2018</th>
<th>FY 2016-2017</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning of Year*</td>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>Beginning of Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Retirement Benefits (Combined)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal Cost</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
<td>6.72%</td>
<td>6.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of UAAL</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
<td>15.49%</td>
<td>16.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Retirement Contribution</td>
<td>22.14%</td>
<td>22.21%</td>
<td>22.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Health Subsidy Benefits (Combined)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal Cost</td>
<td>3.48%</td>
<td>3.49%</td>
<td>3.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of UAAL</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Health Subsidy Contribution</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Total Contribution (A + B)</td>
<td>27.05%</td>
<td>27.13%</td>
<td>27.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VII. Funded Ratio (Based on Valuation Value of Assets)</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Retirement Benefits</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Health Subsidy Benefits</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Total</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Based on Market Value of Assets)

| D. Retirement Benefits                                 | 67.8%         | 70.5%         | (2.7)%     |
| E. Health Subsidy Benefits                             | 76.4%         | 81.0%         | (4.6)%     |
| F. Total                                               | 69.0%         | 71.9%         | (2.9)%     |

* Alternative contribution payment date for FY 2017-2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End of Pay Periods</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.96%</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>28.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Combined rates for Tier 1 and Tier 2 for FY 2016-2017 and combined rates for Tier 1 and Tier 3 for FY 2017-2018 (Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016).
# Exhibit B

**Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System**

**Computed Contribution Rates**

## Historical Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation Date</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Valuation Payroll (thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1994</td>
<td>12.07%</td>
<td>2.99%</td>
<td>15.06%</td>
<td>$884,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1995</td>
<td>7.34%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
<td>9.64%</td>
<td>911,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1996</td>
<td>6.51%</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
<td>9.69%</td>
<td>957,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1997</td>
<td>6.57%</td>
<td>1.85%</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
<td>990,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1998</td>
<td>6.43%</td>
<td>1.27%</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>1,011,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1999</td>
<td>4.93%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td>1,068,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2000</td>
<td>2.54%</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
<td>4.71%</td>
<td>1,182,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2001</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
<td>1.98%</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>1,293,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2002</td>
<td>9.22%</td>
<td>1.85%</td>
<td>11.07%</td>
<td>1,334,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2003</td>
<td>11.95%</td>
<td>4.02%</td>
<td>15.97%</td>
<td>1,405,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2004</td>
<td>14.76%</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td>19.70%</td>
<td>1,575,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2005</td>
<td>17.51%</td>
<td>7.27%</td>
<td>24.78%</td>
<td>1,589,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2006</td>
<td>17.18%</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>23.67%</td>
<td>1,733,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2007</td>
<td>15.52%</td>
<td>5.38%</td>
<td>20.90%</td>
<td>1,896,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2008</td>
<td>14.65%</td>
<td>5.48%</td>
<td>20.13%</td>
<td>1,977,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>18.73%</td>
<td>6.62%</td>
<td>25.35%</td>
<td>1,816,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>21.19%</td>
<td>7.45%</td>
<td>28.64%</td>
<td>1,817,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>18.67%</td>
<td>6.94%</td>
<td>25.61%</td>
<td>1,817,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>24.31%</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>28.80%</td>
<td>1,833,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>21.64%</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>26.13%</td>
<td>1,833,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>21.34%</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
<td>27.08%</td>
<td>1,819,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>22.24%</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
<td>28.04%</td>
<td>1,846,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>24.05%</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
<td>29.86%</td>
<td>1,898,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>23.65%</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>28.55%</td>
<td>1,907,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Additional Employee Contributions</td>
<td>22.96%</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>28.05%</td>
<td>1,968,703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Contributions are assumed to be made at the end of the pay period. For the 6/30/2014 and 6/30/2015 valuations, the contribution rates are the combined rates for Tiers 1 and 2. Beginning with the 6/30/2016 valuation, the contribution rates are the combined rates for Tiers 1 and 3 (Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016).

2. Beginning with the 6/30/2011 valuation date, the contribution rates are before adjustments to phase in over five years the impact of new actuarial assumptions (as a result of the June 30, 2011 Triennial Experience Study) on the City’s contributions. Those adjustments no longer apply after the June 30, 2014 valuation.

3. Beginning with the 6/30/2012 valuation date, the contribution rates are after additional employee contributions.
Exhibit C
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Solvency Test for Retirement Benefits
For Years Ended June 30
($ In Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation Date</th>
<th>Aggregate Actuarial Accrued Liabilities For</th>
<th>Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Reported Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member Contributions</td>
<td>Retirees, Beneficiaries, &amp; Inactives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1996</td>
<td>$637,737</td>
<td>$2,357,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1997</td>
<td>683,048</td>
<td>2,598,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1998</td>
<td>733,680</td>
<td>2,772,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/1999</td>
<td>776,617</td>
<td>2,989,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2000</td>
<td>827,729</td>
<td>3,149,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2001</td>
<td>889,658</td>
<td>3,444,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2002</td>
<td>950,002</td>
<td>3,756,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2003</td>
<td>1,005,888</td>
<td>4,021,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2004</td>
<td>1,062,002</td>
<td>4,348,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2005</td>
<td>1,128,101</td>
<td>4,858,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2006</td>
<td>1,210,246</td>
<td>5,149,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2007</td>
<td>1,307,008</td>
<td>5,365,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2008</td>
<td>1,408,074</td>
<td>5,665,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>1,282,663</td>
<td>7,356,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2010</td>
<td>1,379,098</td>
<td>7,507,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>1,474,824</td>
<td>7,765,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>1,625,207</td>
<td>7,893,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>1,757,195</td>
<td>8,066,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>1,900,068</td>
<td>8,700,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>2,012,378</td>
<td>9,118,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>2,137,269</td>
<td>9,439,001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excludes assets transferred for Port Police.
### Exhibit D

Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System  
Retirees and Beneficiaries Added To and Removed From the Rolls*
For Years Ended June 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended</th>
<th>No. of New Retirees/Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Annual Allowances Added**</th>
<th>No. of Retirees/Beneficiaries Removed</th>
<th>Annual Allowances Removed</th>
<th>No. of Retirees/Beneficiaries at 6/30</th>
<th>Annual Allowances at 6/30</th>
<th>Percent Increase in Annual Allowances</th>
<th>Average Annual Allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2002</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>$23,740,829</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>$11,316,344</td>
<td>13,589</td>
<td>$336,437,038</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>$24,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2003</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>24,729,535</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>12,008,132</td>
<td>13,805</td>
<td>359,036,215</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>26,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2004</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>53,452,133</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>13,220,316</td>
<td>14,137</td>
<td>399,268,032</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>28,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2005</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>43,454,836</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>14,769,736</td>
<td>14,322</td>
<td>427,953,132</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>29,881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2006</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>42,821,079</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>15,061,287</td>
<td>14,570</td>
<td>455,712,924</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>31,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2007</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>34,131,744</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>13,210,740</td>
<td>14,836</td>
<td>476,633,928</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>32,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2008</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>40,680,279</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>14,956,623</td>
<td>14,975</td>
<td>502,357,584</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>33,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>36,887,854</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>17,386,042</td>
<td>14,991</td>
<td>521,859,396</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>34,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2010</td>
<td>2,893</td>
<td>144,594,918</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>17,604,486</td>
<td>17,264</td>
<td>648,849,828</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>37,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>24,282,965</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>16,585,589</td>
<td>17,197</td>
<td>656,547,204</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>38,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>38,314,256</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>17,986,700</td>
<td>17,223</td>
<td>676,874,760</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>39,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>40,966,952</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>18,776,770</td>
<td>17,362</td>
<td>699,064,942</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>40,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>38,666,905</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>21,175,777</td>
<td>17,532</td>
<td>716,556,070</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>40,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>55,849,106</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>22,013,426</td>
<td>17,932</td>
<td>750,391,750</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>41,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>51,056,286</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>23,092,610</td>
<td>18,357</td>
<td>778,355,426</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>42,401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Does not include Family Death Benefit Plan members. Table based on valuation data.
** Effective 06/30/2004, also includes the COLA granted in July.
Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Retirement Benefits as of June 30, 2016

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.
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November 9, 2016

Board of Administration
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review as of June 30, 2016. It summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, establishes the funding requirements for fiscal 2017/2018 and analyzes the preceding year’s experience.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the Plan. The census and unaudited financial information on which our calculations were based were prepared by LACERS. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary. We are members of the American Academy of Acturaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Acturaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan.

We look forward to reviewing this report at your next meeting and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of the Segal Group, Inc.

By:  ________________________________  ________________________________
     Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA   Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
     Senior Vice President and Actuary    Vice President and Actuary
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SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present an actuarial valuation of the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System as of June 30, 2016. The valuation was performed to determine whether the assets and contributions are sufficient to provide the prescribed benefits. The contribution requirements presented in this report are based on:

- The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Administration;
- The characteristics of covered active members, inactive members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:

- In the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation report we noted that Tier 2 had been rescinded, that all Tier 2 members would be transferred to Tier 1, and new hires would be enrolled in a new Tier 3. However, as of the date of issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation report, the provisions of Tier 3 were not yet determined and Tier 2 employees had not yet transferred to Tier 1. For those reasons, we continued to calculate a Normal Cost rate in the June 30, 2015 valuation for Tier 2 employees based on the Tier 2 plan provisions in effect at that time.

Subsequent to the issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation report, Ordinance No. 184134 (“the Ordinance”) was adopted by the City Council on January 12, 2016, and Tier 2 was rescinded. Section 4.1002.1 of the Ordinance stated that “effective February 21, 2016, all persons who entered LACERS membership between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016, as members of Tier 2 of the Retirement System shall be members of Tier 1 of the Retirement System”. Regarding past service under Tier 2 for these transferring members, the Ordinance stated that “the City shall contribute to the Retirement System the funds necessary, as determined by the actuary for the Retirement System, to make the Retirement Fund whole for any contributions that would have been made by the City and Tier 2 members had those members been members of Tier 1 from their respective initial dates of membership in LACERS. Such contributions will reflect the difference between the Tier 1 and Tier 2 Normal Cost rates calculated for the affected Tier 2 members adjusted with interest at the assumed earnings rate.”
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On March 14, 2016, we issued our Tier 3 report which determined (1) the funds necessary to make the Retirement Fund whole due to this reclassification of Tier 2 members and (2) the contribution rates for Tier 3 for fiscal years 2015/2016 (at least for the remainder of that fiscal year) and 2016/2017. In that report we noted that any difference in the Tier 1 employer Normal Cost rate that would result from the transfer of Tier 2 members to Tier 1 would be reflected in this valuation as of June 30, 2016. We understand that the City made the contributions for the reclassification of past Tier 2 service as Tier 1 service for the transferring members on July 15, 2016, and that transfer is reflected in the results of this valuation.

Section 4.1080.2(a) of the Ordinance stated that “effective February 21, 2016, and ongoing, every employee shall become a member of Tier 3 of the Retirement System on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which he or she is not excluded from membership pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (c) of this section, unless he or she qualifies for Tier 1 membership pursuant to the exceptions to Tier 1 membership set forth in Subsection (b).” For this June 30, 2016 valuation, LACERS has provided us with census data that reflects the reclassification of prior Tier 2 members as Tier 1 members, and the addition of new Tier 3 employees who entered the System after the effective date of the Ordinance (i.e., February 21, 2016). The employer contribution rates calculated herein as of June 30, 2016 are payable for fiscal year 2017/2018 and reflect the information provided above.

The ratio of the valuation value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from 69.35% to 71.39%. On a market value of assets basis, the funded ratio decreased from 70.49% to 67.77%. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability decreased from $5.183 billion to $4.986 billion. The decrease was due to: (i) actual contributions more than expected as a result of the anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate in the prior valuation, (ii) lower than expected salary increases for continuing active members, (iii) lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries and (iv) other actuarial gains, offset somewhat by (v) lower than expected return on the valuation value of assets (after smoothing). A complete reconciliation of the System’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability is provided in Section 3, Exhibit G.

The aggregate employer rate (payable at the end of each pay period) calculated in this valuation has decreased from 23.65% of payroll to 22.96% of payroll. The annual dollar employer contributions calculated in this valuation increased, however, from about $451.2 million to $452.0 million. The decrease in the employer rate was due to: (i) actual contributions more than expected as a result of the anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate calculated in the prior valuation, (ii) lower than expected salary increases for continuing active members, (iii) lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries, and (iv) other actuarial gains, offset somewhat by (v) an increase in the normal cost rate due, in part, to the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3\(^1\), (vi) lower than expected return on the

\(^1\) In estimating the normal cost for Tier 3 members in our report dated March 14, 2016, we assumed that the demographic profile of future Tier 3 members would be approximated by the demographic profile of the then Tier 2 members hired in the two years prior to the June 30, 2015 valuation. In particular, we projected the entry age of Tier 3 members to be about age 34.8 (based on the entry age of the Tier 2 members). With the data provided for the Tier 3 members, the actual entry age is 36.5.
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valuation value of assets (after smoothing), and (vii) amortizing the prior year’s UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll. A complete reconciliation of the aggregate employer contribution is provided in Section 2, Chart 15.

As indicated in Section 2, Subsection B of this report, the total unrecognized investment loss as of June 30, 2016 is $747,043,110 for the assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits. This investment loss will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next several years. This implies that earning the assumed rate of investment return of 7.50% per year (net of investment and administrative expenses) on a market value basis will result in net investment losses on the actuarial value of assets after June 30, 2016. Item 9 in Chart 7 shows how, under the asset smoothing method, the $747.0 million in unrecognized losses will be recognized in the next six years.

The deferred losses of $747.0 million represent 5.3% of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2016. Unless offset by future investment gains or other favorable experience, the recognition of the $747.0 million market loss is expected to have an impact on the System’s future funded percentage and contribution rate requirements. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the retirement plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the funded percentage would decrease from 71.39% to 67.77%.
- If the retirement plan component of the deferred loses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate employer rate (payable at the end of each pay period) would increase from 22.96% to about 25.7% of payroll.

As in prior years, the employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming they will be paid by the City on any of the following dates:

1. The beginning of the fiscal year, or
2. On July 15, 2017, or
3. Throughout the year (i.e., the City will pay contributions at the end of every pay period).

Carrying over the prior instructions from the Board of Administration, the recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the then current GASB Statements 25 and 27. In particular, we have also continued to include in the calculation of the

\[ \text{Ref: Pgs. 5} \]

\[ \text{Ref: Pg. 28} \]

\[ ^2 \text{For comparison purposes, the total unrecognized investment gain as of June 30, 2015 was $229,171,148.} \]
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recommended contribution an additional contribution due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization requirement for both fiscal year 2003/2004 and fiscal year 2004/2005.

The actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2016 is based on financial information as of that date. Changes in the value of assets subsequent to that date are not reflected. Declines in asset values will increase the actuarial cost of the Plan, while increases will decrease the actuarial cost of the Plan.
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Summary of Key Valuation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer contributions calculated as of June 30:</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 1</strong> At the beginning of the year</td>
<td>22.18%</td>
<td>23.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On July 15</td>
<td>22.25%</td>
<td>23.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of each pay period</td>
<td>23.00%</td>
<td>23.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 2</strong> At the beginning of the year</td>
<td>N/A(1)</td>
<td>17.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On July 15</td>
<td>N/A(1)</td>
<td>17.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of each pay period</td>
<td>N/A(1)</td>
<td>18.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 3</strong> At the beginning of the year</td>
<td>19.29%</td>
<td>19.89%(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On July 15</td>
<td>19.34%</td>
<td>19.95%(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of each pay period</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
<td>20.61%(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combined</strong> At the beginning of the year</td>
<td>22.14%</td>
<td>22.81%(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On July 15</td>
<td>22.21%</td>
<td>22.88%(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of each pay period</td>
<td>22.96%</td>
<td>23.65%(3),(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding elements for plan year ended June 30:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal cost</td>
<td>$340,758,622</td>
<td>$322,574,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market value of assets (MVA)(5)</td>
<td>14,005,059,515</td>
<td>14,124,760,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial value of assets (AVA)(5)</td>
<td>14,752,102,625</td>
<td>13,895,589,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation value of retirement assets (VVA)</td>
<td>12,439,250,206</td>
<td>11,727,161,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market value of retirement assets (MVA)</td>
<td>11,809,329,415</td>
<td>11,920,570,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)</td>
<td>17,424,996,329</td>
<td>16,909,996,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) on VVA basis</td>
<td>4,985,746,123</td>
<td>5,182,835,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) on MVA basis</td>
<td>5,615,665,914</td>
<td>4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded ratio on VVA basis for retirement (VVA/AAL)</td>
<td>71.39%</td>
<td>69.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded ratio on MVA basis for retirement (MVA/AAL)</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Tier 2 was rescinded effective February 21, 2016.
(2) The June 30, 2013 valuation rates for Tier 3 were determined in our Tier 3 study report dated March 14, 2016. These rates became effective February 21, 2016.
(3) The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.
(4) For illustrative purposes, if we were to apply the Tier 1 and Tier 3 payroll used in the current June 30, 2016 valuation to the Tier 1 and Tier 3 contribution rates determined in the June 30, 2015 valuation, the combined contribution rate as determined in the 2015 valuation assuming contributions would be paid at the end of each pay period would change from 23.65% to 23.94%.
(5) Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.
### Summary of Key Valuation Results (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employer contributions for fiscal year ended June 30:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarially determined employer contributions</td>
<td>$440,546,011</td>
<td>$381,140,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual contributions</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage contributed</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Demographic data for plan year ended June 30:** |            |            |
| Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 18,357     | 17,932     |
| Number of inactive members               | 6,895      | 6,507      |
| Number of active members                 | 24,446     | 23,895     |
| Projected total payroll\(^{(6)}\)        | $1,968,702,630 | $1,907,664,598 |
| Projected average payroll\(^{(6)}\)       | $80,533    | $79,835    |

\(^{(6)}\) Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members.
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Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting (“Segal”) relies on a number of input items. These include:

- **Plan of benefits** Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan description in this report to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.

- **Participant data** An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the Retirement Office. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.

- **Assets** This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the Retirement Office.

- **Actuarial assumptions** In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, withdrawal, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan’s assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.

The user of Segal’s actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- The valuation is prepared at the request of LACERS. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.

- An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan’s assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

- If LACERS is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.
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Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal’s valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan’s provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. LACERS should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of LACERS, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to LACERS.
This is to certify that Segal Consulting (Segal) has conducted an actuarial valuation of the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System (LACERS or the “System”) retirement program as of June 30, 2016, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In particular, it is our understanding that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters set by the Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). Actuarial valuations are performed annually for this retirement program with the last valuation completed on June 30, 2015. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the historical funding methods used in determination of the liability for retirement benefits.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by LACERS and on participant and financial data provided by LACERS. Segal did not audit LACERS’ financial statements, but we conducted an examination of all participant data for reasonableness and we concluded that it was reasonable and consistent with the prior year’s data.

One of the general goals of an actuarial valuation is to establish contributions that fully fund the Retirement System’s liabilities, and that, as a percentage of payroll, remain as level as possible for each generation of active members. Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method.

The actuarial computations made are for funding plan benefits. Accordingly, additional determinations will be needed for other purposes, such as satisfying financial accounting requirements under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and No. 68 and judging benefit security at termination of the plan.

Segal prepared all of the supporting schedules in the Actuarial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and certain supporting schedules in the Financial Section, based on the results of the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation. A listing of the supporting schedules Segal prepared for inclusion in the Financial Section as Required Supplementary Information prescribed by GASB, and in the Actuarial Section, is provided below:

**Financial Section**
1) Schedule of Net Pension Liability*
2) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios*
3) Schedule of Contribution History*
LACERS’ staff prepared other trend data schedules in the Statistical Section based on information supplied in Segal’s valuation report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the plan’s current funding information. The undersigned is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and is qualified to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary
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A. MEMBER DATA
The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered members, including active members, inactive non-vested members (entitled to a refund of member contributions), inactive vested members, retired members and beneficiaries.

This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these member groups.

More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibits A, B, and C.

A historical perspective of how the member population has changed over the past ten valuations can be seen in this chart.

CHART 1
Member Population: June 30, 2007 – 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Active Members</th>
<th>Inactive Members*</th>
<th>Retired Members and Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Ratio of Non-Actives to Actives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>30,175</td>
<td>3,303</td>
<td>14,836</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>30,236</td>
<td>4,273</td>
<td>14,975</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>30,065</td>
<td>4,554</td>
<td>14,991</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>26,245</td>
<td>5,344</td>
<td>17,264</td>
<td>0.86**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25,449</td>
<td>5,623</td>
<td>17,197</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>24,917</td>
<td>5,808</td>
<td>17,223</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>24,441</td>
<td>5,799</td>
<td>17,362</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>24,009</td>
<td>6,031</td>
<td>17,532</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td>6,507</td>
<td>17,932</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>6,895</td>
<td>18,357</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.
** Reflects 2009 Early Retirement Incentive Program.
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Active Members
Plan costs are affected by the age, years of service and payroll of active members. In this year’s valuation, there were 24,446 active members with an average age of 48.6, average years of service of 14.7 years and average payroll of $80,533.

The 23,895 active members in the prior valuation had an average age of 48.8, average service of 15.0 years and average payroll of $79,835.

Inactive Members
In this year’s valuation, there were 6,895 members who were either non-vested and entitled to a refund of member contributions or vested with a right to a deferred or immediate benefit, versus 6,507 in the prior valuation.

These graphs show a distribution of active members by age and by years of service.

CHART 2
Distribution of Active Members by Age as of June 30, 2016

CHART 3
Distribution of Active Members by Years of Service as of June 30, 2016
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Retired Members and Beneficiaries
As of June 30, 2016, 14,494 retired members and 3,863 beneficiaries were receiving total monthly benefits of $64,862,952. For comparison, in the previous valuation, there were 14,127 retired members and 3,805 beneficiaries receiving monthly benefits of $62,532,646. These monthly benefits have been adjusted for the COLA granted effective for the month of July.

These graphs show a distribution of the current retired members based on their monthly amount and age, by type of pension.

CHART 4
Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Monthly Amount as of June 30, 2016

CHART 5
Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Age as of June 30, 2016
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B. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Retirement plan funding anticipates that, over the long term, both contributions and net investment earnings (less investment fees and administrative expenses) will be needed to cover benefit payments.

Retirement plan assets change as a result of the net impact of these income and expense components. Additional financial information, including a summary of these transactions for the valuation year, is presented in Section 3, Exhibits D, E and F.

The chart depicts the components of changes in the actuarial value of assets over the last ten years. Note: The first bar represents increases in assets during each year while the second bar details the decreases.

CHART 6
Comparison of Increases and Decreases in the Actuarial Value of Assets for Years Ended June 30, 2007 – 2016
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It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Administration has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable.

The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

CHART 7
Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Market value of assets</th>
<th>$14,005,059,515</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Calculation of unrecognized return(1)</td>
<td>Original Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Year ended June 30, 2016</td>
<td>-$1,065,023,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Year ended June 30, 2015</td>
<td>-707,760,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Year ended June 30, 2014</td>
<td>1,246,285,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Combined net deferred loss as of June 30, 2013(2)</td>
<td>-81,571,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Total unrecognized return</td>
<td>-$747,043,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2e)</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Adjustment to be within 40% corridor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Final actuarial value of assets: (3) + (4)</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: (5) ÷ (1)</td>
<td>105.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Market value of retirement assets</td>
<td>$11,809,329,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Valuation value of retirement assets (5) ÷ (1) x (7)</td>
<td>$12,439,250,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Amount recognized on 6/30/2017</td>
<td>-$88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Amount recognized on 6/30/2018</td>
<td>-88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Amount recognized on 6/30/2019</td>
<td>-88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Amount recognized on 6/30/2020</td>
<td>-75,214,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Amount recognized on 6/30/2021</td>
<td>-253,254,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Amount recognized on 6/30/2022</td>
<td>-152,146,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding)</td>
<td>-$747,043,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Total return minus expected return on a market value basis.
(2) Based on action taken by the Board on September 9, 2014, the net unrecognized loss as of June 30, 2013 (i.e., $81,571,421) has been recognized in six level amounts, with three years of recognition remaining after the June 30, 2016 valuation.
The actuarial value, market value and valuation value of assets are representations of LACERS’ financial status. As investment gains and losses are gradually taken into account, the actuarial value of assets tracks the market value of assets. The portion of the total actuarial value of assets allocated for retirement benefits, based on a prorated share of market value, is shown as the valuation value of assets. The valuation value of assets is significant because LACERS’ liabilities are compared to these assets to determine what portion, if any, remains unfunded. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is an important element in determining the contribution requirement.

This chart shows the change in the assets over the past ten years.
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C. ACTUARIAL EXPERIENCE
To calculate the required contribution, assumptions are made about future events that affect the amount and timing of benefits to be paid and assets to be accumulated. Each year actual experience is measured against the assumptions. If overall experience is more favorable than anticipated (an actuarial gain), the contribution requirement will decrease from the previous year. On the other hand, the contribution requirement will increase if overall actuarial experience is less favorable than expected (an actuarial loss).

Taking account of experience gains or losses in one year without making a change in assumptions reflects the belief that the single year’s experience was a short-term development and that, over the long term, experience will return to the original assumptions. For contribution requirements to remain stable, assumptions should approximate experience.

If assumptions are changed, the contribution requirement is adjusted to take into account a change in experience anticipated for all future years.

The total gain of $255,444,007 was due to an investment loss of $53,562,466 (after smoothing), and a gain of $309,006,473 from all other sources. The net experience variation from all other sources was 1.77% of the actuarial accrued liability. A discussion of the major components of the actuarial experience is on the following pages.

This chart provides a summary of the actuarial experience during the past year.

CHART 9
Actuarial Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Net loss from investments*</td>
<td>-$53,562,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Net gain from other experience**</td>
<td>300,812,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Net gain from scheduled one-year delay in implementing the lower contribution rate calculated in the June 30, 2015 valuation until fiscal year 2016/2017</td>
<td>8,193,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net experience gain: (1) + (2) + (3)</td>
<td>$255,444,007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Details in Chart 10.

** Details in Chart 13. The net gain is attributed to actual liability experience from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 compared to the projected experience based on the actuarial assumptions as of June 30, 2015.
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Investment Rate of Return
A major component of projected asset growth is the assumed rate of return. The assumed return should represent the expected long-term rate of return, based on LACERS’ investment policy. For valuation purposes, the assumed rate of return on the valuation value of assets is 7.50% (for the June 30, 2015 valuation). The actual rate of return on the valuation value of assets basis for the 2016 plan year was 7.05%.

Since the actual return for the year was less than the assumed return, LACERS experienced an actuarial loss during the year ended June 30, 2016 with regard to its investments.

CHART 10
Investment Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Market Value (Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits)</th>
<th>Actuarial Value (Includes assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits)</th>
<th>Valuation Value (Includes assets for Retirement Only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Actual return</td>
<td>$7,190,895</td>
<td>$983,405,153</td>
<td>$835,483,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Average value of assets</td>
<td>$14,296,192,849</td>
<td>$14,067,021,701</td>
<td>$11,853,939,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Actual rate of return: (1) ÷ (2)</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>6.99%</td>
<td>7.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Assumed rate of return</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expected return: (2) x (4)</td>
<td>$1,072,214,464</td>
<td>$1,055,026,628</td>
<td>$889,045,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Actuarial gain/(loss): (1) – (5)</td>
<td>-$1,065,023,569</td>
<td>-$71,621,475</td>
<td>-$53,562,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because actuarial planning is long-term, it is useful to see how the assumed investment rate of return has followed actual experience over time. The chart below shows the rate of return on an actuarial basis compared to the market value investment return for the Retirement, Health, Family Death and Larger Annuity Benefits for the last ten years, including the five-year average.

**CHART 11**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Net Interest and Dividend Income</th>
<th>Recognition of Capital Appreciation</th>
<th>Actuarial Value Investment Return</th>
<th>Market Value Investment Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$261,677,229</td>
<td>2.95%</td>
<td>$890,907,654</td>
<td>10.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>290,092,182</td>
<td>2.91%</td>
<td>752,500,487</td>
<td>7.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>237,249,377</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
<td>9,861,278</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>190,583,695</td>
<td>1.73%</td>
<td>71,009,369</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>211,685,408</td>
<td>1.91%</td>
<td>291,263,922</td>
<td>2.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>213,980,878</td>
<td>1.88%</td>
<td>290,831,650</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>253,877,178</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
<td>315,633,473</td>
<td>2.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>225,147,763</td>
<td>1.86%</td>
<td>873,017,519</td>
<td>7.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>231,942,743</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>887,268,617</td>
<td>6.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>240,916,934</td>
<td>1.71%</td>
<td>742,488,219</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,357,153,387</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,124,782,188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five-year average return: 6.76% 6.82%
Ten-year average return: 6.60% 5.47%
Subsection B described the actuarial asset valuation method that gradually takes into account fluctuations in the market value rate of return. The effect of this is to stabilize the actuarial rate of return, which contributes to leveling pension plan costs.

This chart illustrates how this leveling effect has actually worked over the years 2007 - 2016.

CHART 12
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Other Experience
There are other differences between the expected and the actual experience that appear when the new valuation is compared with the projections from the previous valuation. These include:

- the extent of turnover among the participants,
- retirement experience (earlier or later than expected),
- mortality (more or fewer deaths than expected),
- the number of disability retirements, and
- salary increases different than assumed.

The net gain from this other experience for the year ended June 30, 2016 amounted to $300,812,751 which is 1.73% of the actuarial accrued liability.

A brief summary of the demographic gain experience of LACERS for the year ended June 30, 2016 is shown in the chart below.

---

**CHART 13**

**Experience Due to Changes in Demographics for Year Ended June 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives</td>
<td>$115,585,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gain due to lower than expected COLA granted to retirees and beneficiaries</td>
<td>$183,080,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Miscellaneous gain</td>
<td>$2,146,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Total gain</td>
<td>$300,812,751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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D. RECOMMENDED CONTRIBUTION

The amount of annual contribution required to fund the Plan is comprised of an employer normal cost payment and a payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. This total amount is then divided by the projected payroll for active members to determine the funding rate of 22.96% of payroll, if paid by the City at the end of each pay period. We have continued to follow the method used in the June 30, 2005 valuation to adjust the contribution requirement if the GASB ARC minimum contribution under the old GASB Statements No. 25 and 27 is greater than the amount prescribed below. For 2016, the beginning of year minimum GASB ARC is $389.8 million, so no additional adjustment has been made to the recommended contributions.

As shown on line item 1 on the next page for the combined results, the total normal cost rate increased from 16.91% on June 30, 2015 to 17.31% on June 30, 2016.

The chart compares this valuation’s recommended contribution with the prior valuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Total normal cost</td>
<td>$336,566,728</td>
<td>$309,311,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected employee contributions(^{(1)})</td>
<td>-205,768,747</td>
<td>-188,661,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>$130,797,981</td>
<td>$120,649,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>17,424,360,500</td>
<td>16,897,676,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Valuation value of assets</td>
<td>-12,438,532,553</td>
<td>-11,715,446,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability</td>
<td>299,521,454</td>
<td>293,248,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: (3) + (7)</td>
<td>$430,319,435</td>
<td>$413,898,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Total recommended contribution, July 15</td>
<td>$431,600,282</td>
<td>$415,130,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods</td>
<td>$446,164,686</td>
<td>$429,138,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Projected payroll</td>
<td>$1,939,683,049</td>
<td>$1,788,743,164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 10.94% for the June 30, 2015 valuation and 11.01% for the June 30, 2016 valuation. As of June 30, 2016, all active Tier 1 members are now paying an additional contribution rate of either 4.00% or 4.50%.

\(^{(2)}\) In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tiers 1 and 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tiers 1 and 3.

\(^{(3)}\) For purposes of purchasing service with the Water and Power Employees’ Retirement Plan (WPERP) for Tier 1, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is 15.44% if paid at the beginning of the year. If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate increases to 15.49%.
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#### CHART 14 (continued)

**Recommended Contribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016</strong></td>
<td><strong>% of Payroll</strong></td>
<td><strong>2015</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Payroll</strong></td>
<td><strong>Amount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total normal cost</td>
<td>$13,262,881</td>
<td>11.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected employee contributions</td>
<td>-11,462,307</td>
<td>-9.64%(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>$1,800,574</td>
<td>1.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>12,319,949</td>
<td>1.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Valuation value of assets</td>
<td>-11,715,272</td>
<td>-9.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$604,677</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability</td>
<td>19,496,117</td>
<td>16.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: (3) + (7)</td>
<td>$21,296,691</td>
<td>17.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Total recommended contribution, July 15</td>
<td>$21,360,080</td>
<td>17.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods</td>
<td>$22,080,880</td>
<td>18.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Projected payroll</td>
<td>$118,921,434</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tier 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2016</strong></td>
<td><strong>% of Payroll</strong></td>
<td><strong>2015</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Payroll</strong></td>
<td><strong>Amount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total normal cost</td>
<td>$4,191,894</td>
<td>14.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected employee contributions(3)</td>
<td>-3,076,775</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>$1,115,119</td>
<td>3.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>635,829</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Valuation value of assets</td>
<td>-717,653</td>
<td>-2.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>-81,824</td>
<td>-0.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability</td>
<td>4,481,138</td>
<td>15.44%(4)(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: (3) + (7)</td>
<td>$5,596,257</td>
<td>19.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Total recommended contribution, July 15</td>
<td>$5,612,914</td>
<td>19.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods</td>
<td>$5,802,323</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Projected payroll</td>
<td>$29,019,581</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(1) Effective February 21, 2016, all Tier 2 employees were transferred to Tier 1.
(2) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 10.00% for the June 30, 2015 valuation.
(3) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 11.00% for the June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 valuations.
(4) In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tiers 1 and 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tiers 1 and 3.
(5) For purposes of Government Service Buybacks for Tier 3, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is -0.02% if paid at the beginning of the year. It is calculated by: (i) amortizing -$81,824 over 15 years (or a credit of $6,807) and (ii) dividing that credit over Tier 3 payroll (or $29,019,581). If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate remains at -0.02% even though the credit increases to $6,827.
(6) As of June 30, 2015, Tier 3 was not yet effective (it became effective February 21, 2016), so there were no members in this tier at that time.
### CHART 14 (continued)

**Recommended Contribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Total normal cost</td>
<td>$340,758,622</td>
<td>17.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected employee contributions</td>
<td>$208,845,522</td>
<td>-10.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>$131,913,100</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$17,424,996,329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Valuation value of assets</td>
<td>$12,439,250,206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$4,985,746,123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability</td>
<td>$304,002,592</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total recommended contribution, beginning of year: (3) + (7)</td>
<td>$435,915,692</td>
<td>22.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Total recommended contribution, July 15</td>
<td>$437,213,196</td>
<td>22.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total recommended contribution, end of pay periods</td>
<td>$451,967,009</td>
<td>22.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Projected payroll</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.

<sup>(2)</sup> For illustrative purposes, if we were to apply the Tier 1 and Tier 3 payroll used in the current June 30, 2016 valuation to the Tier 1 and Tier 3 contribution rates determined in the June 30, 2015 valuation, the combined contribution rate as determined in the 2015 valuation assuming contributions would be paid at the end of each pay period would change from 23.65% to 23.94%.
If paid by the City at the beginning of the year, the calculated normal cost is 6.70% of payroll for Tier 1 and Tier 3 combined. The remaining 15.44% of payroll will amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over an equivalent single amortization period of about 24 years.

The contribution rates as of June 30, 2016 are based on all of the data described in the previous sections, the actuarial assumptions described in Section 4, and the Plan provisions adopted at the time of preparation of the Actuarial Valuation.

### Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution

The chart below details the changes in the recommended contribution from the prior valuation to the current year’s valuation.

**CHART 15**

**Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution(1) from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2015</td>
<td>23.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of increase in employer normal cost due to payroll and demographic changes (including the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3 instead of Tier 2)</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of anticipated one-year delay in implementing the lower combined contribution rate calculated in the prior valuation</td>
<td>-0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of investment loss</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of amortizing prior year’s UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of lower than expected salary increases for actives</td>
<td>-0.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries</td>
<td>-0.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of other gains on accrued liability</td>
<td>-0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total change</strong></td>
<td>-0.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2016</td>
<td>22.96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(1) Based on contributions at the end of each pay period.*
E. FUNDED RATIO

A commonly reported piece of information regarding the Plan’s financial status is the funded ratio. The ratios compare the valuation value of assets and the market value of assets to the actuarial accrued liabilities of the Plan as calculated. High ratios indicate a well-funded plan with assets sufficient to cover the plan’s actuarial accrued liabilities. Lower ratios may indicate recent changes to benefit structures, funding of the plan below actuarial requirements, poor asset performance, or a variety of other factors. The chart below depicts a history of the funded ratios for this plan.

The funded status measures shown in this valuation are appropriate for assessing the need for or amount of future contributions. However, they are not necessarily appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of Plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the Plan’s benefit obligations. As the chart below shows, the measures are different depending on whether the valuation or market value of assets is used.
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#### CHART 17
Schedule of Funding Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuarial Valuation Date</th>
<th>Valuation Value of Assets (a)</th>
<th>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)</th>
<th>Unfunded/Overfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a)</th>
<th>Funded Ratio (a) / (b)</th>
<th>Covered Payroll (c)</th>
<th>UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a)] / (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2007</td>
<td>$8,599,699,772$</td>
<td>$10,526,874,184</td>
<td>$1,927,174,412</td>
<td>81.69%</td>
<td>$1,896,609,013</td>
<td>101.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2008</td>
<td>9,438,318,300</td>
<td>11,186,403,741</td>
<td>1,748,085,441</td>
<td>84.37%</td>
<td>1,977,644,640</td>
<td>88.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>9,577,747,421</td>
<td>12,041,983,936</td>
<td>2,464,236,515</td>
<td>79.54%</td>
<td>1,816,171,212</td>
<td>135.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2010</td>
<td>9,554,027,411</td>
<td>12,595,025,119</td>
<td>3,040,997,708</td>
<td>75.86%</td>
<td>1,817,662,284</td>
<td>167.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>9,691,011,496</td>
<td>13,391,704,000</td>
<td>3,700,692,504</td>
<td>72.37%</td>
<td>1,833,392,381</td>
<td>201.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>9,934,959,310</td>
<td>14,393,958,574</td>
<td>4,458,999,264</td>
<td>69.02%</td>
<td>1,819,269,630</td>
<td>245.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>10,223,960,886</td>
<td>14,881,663,162</td>
<td>4,657,702,276</td>
<td>68.70%</td>
<td>1,846,970,474</td>
<td>252.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>10,944,750,574</td>
<td>16,248,853,099</td>
<td>5,304,102,525</td>
<td>67.36%</td>
<td>1,898,064,175</td>
<td>279.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>11,727,161,378</td>
<td>16,909,996,380</td>
<td>5,182,835,002</td>
<td>69.35%</td>
<td>1,907,664,598</td>
<td>271.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>12,439,250,206</td>
<td>17,424,996,329</td>
<td>4,985,746,123</td>
<td>71.39%</td>
<td>1,968,702,630</td>
<td>253.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Valuation value of assets is after excluding $5,269,481 of discounted Harbor Port Police assets transferred in October 2007.
SECTION 2: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

F. VOLATILITY RATIOS

Retirement plans are subject to volatility in the level of required contributions. This volatility tends to increase as retirement plans become more mature.

The Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the market value of assets divided by total payroll, provides an indication of the potential contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. A higher AVR indicates that the plan is subject to a greater level of contribution volatility. This is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets.

For LACERS, the current AVR is about 6.0. This means that a 1% asset gain/(loss) (relative to the assumed investment return) translates to about 6.0% of one-year’s payroll. Since LACERS amortizes actuarial gains and losses over a period of 15 years, there would be a 0.5% of payroll decrease/(increase) in the required contribution for each 1% asset gain/(loss).

The Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Accrued Liability divided by payroll, provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. This is because, over an extended period of time, the plan’s assets should track the plan’s liabilities. For example, if a plan is 50% funded on a market value basis, the liability volatility ratio would be double the asset volatility ratio and the plan sponsor should expect contribution volatility to increase over time as the plan becomes better funded.

The LVR also indicates how volatile contributions will be in response to changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actual experience or to changes in actuarial assumptions.

For LACERS, the current LVR is about 8.9. This is about 48% higher than the AVR. Therefore, we would expect that contribution volatility will increase over the long-term.

CHART 18
Volatility Ratios for Years Ended June 30, 2008 – 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Asset Volatility Ratio</th>
<th>Liability Volatility Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This chart shows how the asset and liability volatility ratios have varied over time.
**SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System**

**EXHIBIT A**

**Table of Plan Coverage**

**i. Tier 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Change From Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active members in valuation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>23,985</td>
<td>23,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll**</td>
<td><strong>$1,939,683,049</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,907,664,598</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected average payroll**</td>
<td><strong>$80,871</strong></td>
<td><strong>$79,835</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account balances</td>
<td><strong>$1,987,350,554</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,877,518,393</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total active vested members</td>
<td>20,078</td>
<td>20,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactive members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>6,877</td>
<td>6,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average contribution balance for those with under 5 years of service</td>
<td>$5,367</td>
<td>$5,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit at age 60 for those with 5 or more years of service***</td>
<td><strong>$1,482</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,440</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retired members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>13,572</td>
<td>13,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service at retirement</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at retirement</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td><strong>$4,068</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,023</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disabled members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service at retirement</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at retirement</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td><strong>$1,636</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,616</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beneficiaries:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>3,863</td>
<td>3,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td><strong>$2,108</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,072</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes Tier 2 members.
** Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members.
*** Based on salary at termination from LACERS.
### EXHIBIT A

**Table of Plan Coverage**

#### ii. Tier 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Change From Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active members in valuation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll*</td>
<td>$29,019,581</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected average payroll*</td>
<td>$62,949</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account balances</td>
<td>$569,778</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total active vested members</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactive members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average contribution balance for those with under 5 years of service</td>
<td>$912</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit at age 60 for those with 5 or more years of service**</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retired members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service at retirement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at retirement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disabled members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service at retirement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at retirement</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beneficiaries:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflects annualized salaries for part-time members.

** Based on salary at termination from LACERS.
### SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

#### EXHIBIT B

**Members in Active Service as of June 30, 2016**

**By Age, Years of Service, and Average Payroll**

#### i. Tier 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>5-9</th>
<th>10-14</th>
<th>15-19</th>
<th>20-24</th>
<th>25-29</th>
<th>30-34</th>
<th>35-39</th>
<th>40 &amp; over</th>
<th>Years of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$47,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$51,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$66,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 39</td>
<td>2,505</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$80,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 44</td>
<td>2,729</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$83,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>3,486</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$87,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$94,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 59</td>
<td>3,662</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$105,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 64</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$107,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 69</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$120,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 &amp; over</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$105,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,985</td>
<td>3,925</td>
<td>4,108</td>
<td>5,302</td>
<td>3,976</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>3,215</td>
<td>1,370</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$80,871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Payroll</th>
<th>$80,871</th>
<th>$62,818</th>
<th>$71,331</th>
<th>$76,563</th>
<th>$86,215</th>
<th>$96,022</th>
<th>$97,341</th>
<th>$99,810</th>
<th>$96,753</th>
<th>$105,142</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
EXHIBIT B
Members in Active Service as of June 30, 2016
By Age, Years of Service, and Average Payroll

ii. Tier 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>5-9</th>
<th>10-14</th>
<th>15-19</th>
<th>20-24</th>
<th>25-29</th>
<th>30-34</th>
<th>35-39</th>
<th>40 &amp; over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$48,081</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 29</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54,781</td>
<td>54,781</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 34</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64,261</td>
<td>64,261</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 39</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63,277</td>
<td>63,277</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70,902</td>
<td>70,902</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75,376</td>
<td>75,376</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72,311</td>
<td>72,311</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 59</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71,552</td>
<td>71,552</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76,318</td>
<td>76,318</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63,927</td>
<td>63,927</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 &amp; over</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180,760</td>
<td>180,760</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$62,949</td>
<td>$62,949</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### EXHIBIT C
Reconciliation of Member Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active Members</th>
<th>Inactive Members</th>
<th>Disableds</th>
<th>Retired Members</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number as of June 30, 2015</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td>6,507</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>13,217</td>
<td>3,805</td>
<td>48,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New members</td>
<td>1,912</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminations – with vested rights</td>
<td>-881</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirements</td>
<td>-655</td>
<td>-121</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New disabilities</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died with beneficiary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths or benefits expired</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-421</td>
<td>-203</td>
<td>-742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refund of members contributions</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehired</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>-313</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data adjustments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>141*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number as of June 30, 2016</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>6,895</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>13,572</td>
<td>3,863</td>
<td>49,698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes members who were both hired and terminated during the year.

Note: For the change in the annual benefits from the retirees and beneficiaries added to and removed from the rolls, refer to Exhibit D of the supplemental schedules that accompany this report.
### EXHIBIT D

**Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contribution income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions</td>
<td>$546,687,123</td>
<td>$481,765,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee contributions</td>
<td>211,344,752</td>
<td>207,564,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net contribution income</td>
<td>$758,031,875</td>
<td>$689,330,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, dividends and other income</td>
<td>$328,356,817</td>
<td>$315,373,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of capital appreciation</td>
<td>742,488,219</td>
<td>887,268,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less investment and administrative fees</td>
<td>-87,439,883</td>
<td>-83,431,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment income</td>
<td>$983,405,153</td>
<td>$1,119,211,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total income available for benefits</strong></td>
<td>$1,741,437,028</td>
<td>$1,808,541,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less benefit payments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of benefits</td>
<td>-$877,204,804</td>
<td>-$838,334,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds of contributions</td>
<td>-7,718,826</td>
<td>-10,120,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefit payments</td>
<td>-$884,923,630</td>
<td>-$848,455,864^{(1)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in reserve for future benefits</strong></td>
<td>$856,513,398</td>
<td>$960,085,829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^{(1)} Includes a transfer of $2,614,765 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

**Note:** Results may be slightly off due to rounding.
### EXHIBIT E

**Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash equivalents</td>
<td>$499,731,305</td>
<td>$520,833,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accounts receivable:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>$50,163,160</td>
<td>$44,947,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sales of investments</td>
<td>102,333,983</td>
<td>118,813,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>28,008,361</td>
<td>9,783,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total accounts receivable</strong></td>
<td>$180,505,504</td>
<td>$173,544,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>$3,674,318,513</td>
<td>$3,589,262,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>7,542,082,855</td>
<td>8,100,719,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate and Alternative Investment</td>
<td>2,241,780,660</td>
<td>1,991,923,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>782,185,594</td>
<td>847,945,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total investments at market value</strong></td>
<td>$14,240,367,622</td>
<td>$14,529,851,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital assets</strong></td>
<td>$4,951,637</td>
<td>$4,050,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$14,925,556,068</td>
<td>$15,228,280,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less accounts payable:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>-$38,484,600</td>
<td>-$34,950,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued investment expenses</td>
<td>-11,324,180</td>
<td>-9,333,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative instruments</td>
<td>-870,314</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of investments</td>
<td>-174,028,137</td>
<td>-228,312,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security lending collateral</td>
<td>-695,789,322</td>
<td>-830,923,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total accounts payable</strong></td>
<td>-$920,496,553</td>
<td>-$1,103,519,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets at market value</strong></td>
<td>$14,005,059,515</td>
<td>$14,124,760,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets at actuarial value</strong></td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
<td>$13,895,589,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets at valuation value (retirement benefits)</strong></td>
<td>$12,439,250,206</td>
<td>$11,727,161,378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.*
### EXHIBIT F
Development of the Fund Through June 30, 2016 for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Employer Contributions</th>
<th>Employee Contributions</th>
<th>Net Investment Return(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Benefit Payments</th>
<th>Actuarial Value of Assets at End of Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$408,392,318</td>
<td>$106,233,984</td>
<td>$1,152,584,883</td>
<td>$540,388,726</td>
<td>$9,812,926,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>411,658,277</td>
<td>114,678,456</td>
<td>1,042,592,669(^{(2)})</td>
<td>576,014,324(^{(3)})</td>
<td>10,805,841,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>383,637,842</td>
<td>118,592,071</td>
<td>247,110,655</td>
<td>605,798,000</td>
<td>10,949,384,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>362,751,146</td>
<td>126,961,295</td>
<td>261,593,064</td>
<td>681,106,189</td>
<td>11,019,583,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>414,133,032</td>
<td>114,731,434</td>
<td>502,949,330</td>
<td>770,755,578</td>
<td>11,280,641,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>423,920,740</td>
<td>178,246,151</td>
<td>504,812,528</td>
<td>767,163,328</td>
<td>11,620,457,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>419,266,581</td>
<td>197,880,631</td>
<td>569,510,651</td>
<td>803,005,352</td>
<td>12,004,110,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>455,658,786</td>
<td>204,135,914</td>
<td>1,098,165,281</td>
<td>826,566,921</td>
<td>12,935,503,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>481,765,868</td>
<td>207,564,465</td>
<td>1,119,211,360</td>
<td>848,455,864(^{(4)})</td>
<td>13,895,589,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>546,687,123</td>
<td>211,344,752</td>
<td>983,405,153</td>
<td>884,923,630</td>
<td>14,752,102,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Based on actuarial value of assets. Net of investment fees and administrative expenses.

\(^{(2)}\) Includes an $11,000,000 return of excess reserve from PPO carrier.

\(^{(3)}\) Includes transfer of $6,220,076 to Fire and Police Pension for Harbor Port Police.

\(^{(4)}\) Includes transfer of $2,614,765 to Fire and Police Pension for Office of Public Safety.
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#### EXHIBIT G

**Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for Year Ended June 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year</td>
<td>$5,182,835,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Normal cost at beginning of year</td>
<td>322,574,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expected contributions at beginning of year*</td>
<td>-629,883,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Interest</td>
<td>365,664,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$5,241,190,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Changes due to net experience gain**</td>
<td>-255,444,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year</td>
<td>$4,985,746,123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Net of the additional expected employer contributions due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization required for the two GASB 25/27 layers, since the beginning of year UAAL was developed without the liability associated with those two layers. These additional contributions will serve to reduce the contribution loss (if any) from the scheduled one-year delay in implementing the higher contribution rates calculated in the prior valuation.

** The breakdown of the net experience gain is as follows:

- Gain due to actual contributions more than expected (with interest to end of year) - $8,193,722
- Investment loss 53,562,466
- Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives -115,585,774
- Gain due to lower than expected COLAs granted to retirees and beneficiaries -183,080,033
- Miscellaneous gain -2,146,944
- Total gain -$255,444,007
### EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date Established</th>
<th>Initial Years</th>
<th>Initial Amount</th>
<th>Outstanding Balance</th>
<th>Years Remaining</th>
<th>Annual Payment*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan amendment (2009 ERIP)</td>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$300,225,354</td>
<td>$238,120,330</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$33,325,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined base</td>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4,173,548,280</td>
<td>4,497,020,369</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>253,711,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience loss</td>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>116,022,989</td>
<td>109,578,337</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10,883,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience gain</td>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-215,549,892</td>
<td>-208,322,147</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-19,396,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in assumptions</td>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>785,439,114</td>
<td>787,589,566</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57,125,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience gain</td>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-185,473,782</td>
<td>-182,796,325</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-16,047,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience gain</td>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-255,444,007</td>
<td>-255,444,007</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-21,251,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal before GASB amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,985,746,123</td>
<td>$298,349,734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-year minimum GASB 25/27</td>
<td>06/30/2004</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29,189,615</td>
<td>11,576,115</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,987,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-year minimum GASB 25/27</td>
<td>06/30/2005</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12,708,684</td>
<td>6,344,598</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,665,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,003,666,836</td>
<td>$304,002,592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Beginning of year payments, based on level percentage of payroll.

Note: The equivalent single amortization period is about 24 years.
Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) specifies the maximum benefits that may be paid to an individual from a defined benefit plan and the maximum amounts that may be allocated each year to an individual’s account in a defined contribution plan.

A qualified pension plan may not pay benefits in excess of the Section 415 limits. The ultimate penalty for non-compliance is disqualification: active participants could be taxed on their vested benefits and the IRS may seek to tax the income earned on the plan’s assets.

In particular, Section 415(b) of the IRC limits the maximum annual benefit payable at the Normal Retirement Age to a dollar limit of $160,000 indexed for inflation. That limit is $210,000 for 2016. Normal Retirement Age for these purposes is age 62. These are the limits in simplified terms. They must be adjusted based on each participant’s circumstances, for such things as age at retirement, form of benefits chosen and after tax contributions.

Benefits in excess of the limits may be paid through a qualified governmental excess plan that meets the requirements of Section 415(m).

Legal Counsel’s review and interpretation of the law and regulations should be sought on any questions in this regard.

Contribution rates determined in this valuation have not been reduced for the Section 415 limitations. Actual limitations will result in gains as they occur.
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**EXHIBIT J**  
Definitions of Pension Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

**Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions:** The estimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including:

(a) **Investment return** — the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over the long-term future;

(b) **Mortality rates** — the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates;

(c) **Retirement rates** — the rate or probability of retirement at a given age; and

(d) **Turnover rates** — the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement.

**Normal Cost:** The amount of contributions required to fund the benefit allocated to the current year of service.

**Actuarial Accrued Liability For Actives:** The equivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the valuation date.

**Actuarial Accrued Liability For Pensioners:** The single sum value of lifetime benefits to existing pensioners. This sum takes account of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the pensioners and the interest that the sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits.

**Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability:** The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period of time.
Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Investment Return: The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and market gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the market gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next.
EXHIBIT I
Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results

The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us:

1. Retired members as of the valuation date (including 3,863 beneficiaries in pay status) 18,357
2. Inactive members during year ended June 30, 2016 (including 4,677 members with under 5 years of service eligible for a refund of contributions) 6,895
3. Members active during the year ended June 30, 2016
   - Fully vested 24,446
   - Not vested 4,368

The actuarial factors as of the valuation date are as follows:

**Assets**

1. Valuation value of assets ($14,005,059,515 at market value as reported by LACERS and $14,752,102,625 at actuarial value*) $12,439,250,206
2. Present value of future normal costs
   - Employee $1,692,325,332
   - Employer 1,080,451,987
   - Total 2,772,777,319
3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability 4,985,746,123
4. Present value of current and future assets $20,197,773,648

**Liabilities**

5. Present value of future benefits
   - Retired members and beneficiaries $9,185,730,095
   - Inactive members 402,619,618
   - Active members 10,609,423,935
   - Total 20,197,773,648

* Market value and actuarial value of assets include assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits.
The determination of the recommended contribution is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Total normal cost</td>
<td>$336,566,728</td>
<td>$4,191,894</td>
<td>$340,758,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected employee contributions(^{(1)})</td>
<td>-205,768,747</td>
<td>-3,076,775</td>
<td>-208,845,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer normal cost: ((1) + (2))</td>
<td>$130,797,981</td>
<td>$1,115,119</td>
<td>$131,913,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$299,521,454</td>
<td>$4,481,138</td>
<td>$304,002,592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Total recommended contribution: ((3) + (4)), payable beginning of year</td>
<td>$430,319,435</td>
<td>$5,596,257</td>
<td>$435,915,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Total recommended contribution: adjusted for July 15 payment</td>
<td>$431,600,282</td>
<td>$5,612,914</td>
<td>$437,213,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total recommended contribution: adjusted for biweekly payment</td>
<td>$446,164,686</td>
<td>$5,802,323</td>
<td>$451,967,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Projected payroll</td>
<td>$1,939,683,049</td>
<td>$29,019,581</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Item 5 (beginning of year contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: ((5) \div (8))</td>
<td>22.18%</td>
<td>19.29%</td>
<td>22.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Item 6 (July 15 contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: ((6) \div (8))</td>
<td>22.25%</td>
<td>19.34%</td>
<td>22.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Item 7 (biweekly contribution) as a percentage of projected payroll: ((7) \div (8))</td>
<td>23.00%</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
<td>22.96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Discounted to beginning of year.
## EXHIBIT II
### History of Employer Contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Actuarially Determined Employer Contributions (ADEC)*</th>
<th>Actual Contributions</th>
<th>Percentage Contributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Prior to plan year ending June 30, 2014, this amount was the Annual Required Contribution (ARC).
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EXHIBIT III
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

Rationale for Assumptions: The information and analysis used in selecting each assumption that has a significant effect on this actuarial valuation is shown in the July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 Actuarial Experience Study dated October 8, 2014. Unless otherwise noted, all actuarial assumptions and methods shown below apply to both Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. These assumptions have been adopted by the Board.

Economic Assumptions:

Net Investment Return: 7.50%, net of investment and administrative expenses.

Consumer Price Index: Increase of 3.25% per year; benefit increases due to CPI subject to 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and 2.00% maximum for Tier 3.

Employee Contribution Crediting Rate: Based on average of 5-year Treasury note rate. An assumption of 3.25% is used to approximate that crediting rate in this valuation.

Salary Increases: Inflation: 3.25%; plus additional 0.75% “across the board” salary increases (other than inflation); plus the following merit and promotional increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Demographic Assumptions:
Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:

Healthy Members and All Beneficiaries: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

Disabled Members: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set forward seven years for males and set forward eight years for females.

The above mortality tables contain about a 10% margin, based on actual to expected deaths, as a provision appropriate to reasonably anticipate future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Termination*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rates for members with five or more years of service. Termination rates are zero for members eligible to retire.
Rates of termination for members with less than 5 years of service are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Termination (Based on Service)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retirement Rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-55/30</td>
<td>55/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Not eligible to retire under the provisions of the Tier 3 plan.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Retirement Age and Benefit for Inactive Vested Participants:</strong></th>
<th>Pension benefit paid at the later of age 58 or the current attained age. For reciprocals, 4.40% compensation increases per annum.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion of Inactive Members:</strong></td>
<td>All inactive participants are included in the valuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown Data for Members:</strong></td>
<td>Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Married/Domestic Partner:</strong></td>
<td>76% of male participants; 50% of female participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of Spouse:</strong></td>
<td>Male retirees are assumed to be 4 years older than their female spouses. Female retirees are assumed to be 2 years younger than their male spouses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service:</strong></td>
<td>Employment service is used for eligibility determination purposes. Benefit service is used for benefit calculation purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Benefit Accruals:</strong></td>
<td>1.0 year of service per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Reciprocal Service:</strong></td>
<td>5% of future inactive vested members will work at a reciprocal system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actuarial Methods:</strong></td>
<td>The market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actuarial Value of Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuarial Cost Method:</th>
<th>Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding Policy:</td>
<td>The plan sponsor of the City of Los Angeles Employees' Retirement System makes contributions equal to the normal cost adjusted by an amount to amortize any surplus or unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The amortization method for the UAAL is a level percent of payroll, assuming annual increases in total covered payroll equal to inflation plus across the board increases (other than inflation). Both the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method and are calculated on an individual basis. Entry age is calculated as age on the valuation date minus years of service. Under the current funding policy, changes in the UAAL due to actuarial gains/losses are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Any changes in the UAAL due to assumption or method changes are amortized over separate 20-year periods. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Any actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. All the bases on or before June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years effective June 30, 2012. The recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the then current GASB Statements 25 and 27. In particular, an additional contribution due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization requirement for both fiscal year 2003/2004 and fiscal year 2004/2005 is included in the calculation of the recommended contribution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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---

**EXHIBIT IV**
**Summary of Plan Provisions**

This exhibit summarizes the major provisions of LACERS included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all plan provisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Year:</th>
<th>July 1 through June 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Census Date:</td>
<td>June 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Membership Eligibility:**

- **Tier 1**
  - (§ 4.1002(a))
  - All employees who became members of the Retirement System before July 1, 2013, and certain employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after July 1, 2013. In addition, pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016.

- **Tier 3**
  - (§4.1080.2(a))
  - All employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after February 21, 2016, except as provided otherwise in Section 4.1080.2(b) of the Los Angeles Administrative Code.

**Normal Retirement Benefit:**

- **Tier 1**
  - *Age & Service Requirement* (§ 4.1005(a))
    - Age 70; or
    - Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or
    - Age 55 with at least 30 years of City service.
  - *Amount* (§ 4.1007(a))
    - 2.16% per year of service credit (not greater than 100%) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation.
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Normal Retirement Benefit: (continued)

Tier 3

> With less than 30 Years of Service (§ 4.1080.5(a)(2)(i))

Age & Service Requirement: Age 60 with 10 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Amount: 1.50% per year of service credit at age 60 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation.

> With 30 or more Years of Service (§ 4.1080.5(a)(2)(ii))

Age & Service Requirement: Age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Amount: 2.00% per year of service credit at age 60 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation.

* Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.

Early Retirement Benefit:

Tier 1

Age & Service Requirement: Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Any age with 30 years of City service.

Amount (§ 4.1007(b)): 2.16% per year of service credit (not greater than 100%) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation, reduced for retirement ages below age 60 using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Early Retirement Benefit: (continued)

Tier 3

Age & Service Requirement
(§ 4.1080.5(a)(1))
Prior to age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Amount (§ 4.1080.5(a)(1))
2.00% per year of service credit (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation, reduced for retirement ages below age 55 using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.

Enhanced Retirement Benefit:

Tier 1

Age & Service Requirement
Not applicable - see Normal Retirement age and service requirement.

Amount
Not applicable - see Normal Retirement amount.

Tier 3

> With less than 30 Years of Service (§ 4.1080.5(a)(3)(i))

Age & Service Requirement
Age 63 with 10 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Amount
2.00% per year of service credit at age 63 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation.

* Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.
Enhanced Retirement Benefit: (continued)

**Tier 3**

> With 30 or more Years of Service (§ 4.1080.5(a)(3)(ii))

**Age & Service Requirement**

Age 63 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

**Amount**

2.10% per year of service credit at age 63 (not greater than 80%*) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation.

* Except when benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.

Service Credit:

**Tiers 1 & 3**

(§ 4.1001(a) & § 4.1080.1(a))

The time component of the formula used by LACERS for purposes of calculating benefits.

Final Average Monthly Compensation:

**Tier 1**

(§ 4.1001(b))

Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 12 months (one year); includes base salary plus regularly assigned pensionable bonuses or premium pay.*

**Tier 3**

(§ 4.1080.1(b))

Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 36 months (three years); limited to base salary and any items of compensation that are designated as pension based.*

* IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit would apply to all employees who began membership in LACERS after June 30, 1996.
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### Cost of Living Benefit:

**Tier 1**  
$(§ 4.1022)$  
Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 3% per year; excess banked.

**Tier 3**  
$(§ 4.1080.17)$  
Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 2% per year; excess not banked.

### Death after Retirement:

**Tier 1 & 3**  
$(§ 4.1010(c) & § 4.1080.10(c))$

(i) 50% of retiree’s unmodified allowance continued to an eligible spouse or a domestic partner; or a modified continuance to an eligible spouse or a domestic partner at the time of member’s death (or a designated beneficiary selected by member at the time of retirement)*;

(ii) $2,500 lump sum death benefit paid to a designated beneficiary; and

(iii) Any unused contributions if the member has elected the cash refund annuity option.

* The retiree may elect at the time of retirement to take a reduced allowance in order to provide for a higher continuance percentage pursuant to the provisions of either Section 4.1015 (Tier 1) or Section 4.1080.14 (Tier 3).

### Death before Retirement:

**Tier 1 & 3**  
$(§ 4.1010(a) & § 4.1080.10(a))$

Greater of:

Option #1:

(i) Eligibility – None.

(ii) Benefit – Refund of employee contributions plus a limited pension benefit equal to 50% of monthly salary paid, according to the following schedule:
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Death before Retirement: (continued)

*Tier 1 & 3 (continued)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Credit</th>
<th>Number of Monthly Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 years</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+ years</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option #2:
(i) Eligibility – Duty-related death or after 5 years of continuous service.
(ii) Benefit – Continuation of service or disability benefit payable under 100% joint and survivor option to an eligible spouse or qualified domestic partner.

Member Normal Contributions:

*Tier 1*  
(§ 4.1003)

Effective July 1, 2011, the member normal contribution rate became 7% for all employees. The 7% member rate shall be paid until June 30, 2026 or until the ERIP Cost Obligation (defined in ERIP Ordinance No. 180926) is fully paid, whichever comes first*.

Beginning January 1, 2013, all non-represented members and members in certain bargaining groups are required to pay an additional 4% member contribution rate to defray the cost of providing a Retiree Medical Plan premium subsidy (this additional rate has increased to 4.5% for certain members).

Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay).

* The member normal contribution rate will drop down to 6% afterwards.
Member Normal Contributions: (continued)

**Tier 3**

(§ 4.1080.3)

The member normal contribution rate is 7% for all employees.

All members are required to pay an additional 4% member contribution rate to defray the cost of providing a Retiree Medical Plan premium subsidy.

Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay).

**Disability**

* Tier 1 & 3

*Service Requirement*

(§ 4.1008(a) & § 4.1080.8(a))

5 years of continuous service

*Amount* *

(§ 4.1008(c) & § 4.1080.8(c))

1/70 (1.43%) of the Final Average Monthly Compensation per year of service or 1/3 of the Final Average Monthly Compensation, if greater.

* The benefit calculated using the service retirement formula will be paid if the member is eligible and that benefit is greater than that calculated under the disability retirement formula.

**Deferred Retirement Benefit (Vested):**

* Tier 1

(§ 4.1006)

*Age & Service Requirement*

Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service; or

Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or

Age 55 with at least 30 years of service.

Deferred employee who meets part-time eligibility: age 60 and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership.

*Amount*

Normal retirement benefit (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest).
Deferred Retirement Benefit (Vested): (continued)

Tier 1 (continued)

Age & Service Requirement

Age 55 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or

Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service.

Deferred employee who meets part-time eligibility: age 55 and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership.

Amount

Early retirement benefit (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest), using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tier 3

(§ 4.1080.6)

Age & Service Requirement

Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or

Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service, regardless of the number of years that have elapsed from first date of membership.

Amount

Normal retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 1.50%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest).

Age & Service Requirement

Age 60 with 30 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership; or

Age 63 with 10 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Amount

Normal retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 2.00%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest).
Deferred Retirement Benefit (Vested): (continued)

**Tier 3 (continued)**

**Age & Service Requirement**
Age 63 with 30 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership.

**Amount**
Enhanced retirement (benefit based on a Retirement Factor of 2.10%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest).

**Age & Service Requirement**
Age 55 (but not yet 60) with 5 years of continuous City service and at least 10 years elapsed from first date of membership.

**Amount**
Early retirement benefit (based on a Retirement Factor of 1.50% instead of 2.00%; or refund of contributions and accumulated interest), using the following Early Retirement benefit adjustment factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Withdrawal of Contributions Benefit (Ordinary Withdrawal):
Refund of employee contributions with interest.

Changes in Plan Provisions:
Tier 2 was rescinded (Tier 2 members were transferred to Tier 1) and Tier 3 became effective February 21, 2016.

NOTE: The summary of major Plan provisions is designed to outline principal plan benefits as interpreted for purposes of the actuarial valuation. If the System should find the plan summary not in accordance with the actual provisions, the System should alert the actuary so that both parties can be sure the proper provisions are valued.
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Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2016 in accordance with GASB Statements No. 43 and No. 45
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November 9, 2016

Board of Administration
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2016 under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 43 and No. 45. The report summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, discloses the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO) as of June 30, 2016, establishes the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the Fiscal Year 2017/2018, and analyzes the preceding year’s experience. This report was based on the census and unaudited financial data provided by the Retirement System and the terms of the Plan as summarized in Exhibit III. The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, Enrolled Actuary and Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary.

This actuarial valuation has been completed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions used in this valuation and described in Exhibit II are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan. The actuarial projections are based on these assumptions and the plan of benefits as summarized in Exhibits II and III.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of the Segal Group, Inc.

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary
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SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

PURPOSE
This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of the City of Los Angeles Employees’ Retirement System OPEB plan as of June 30, 2016. The results are in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards, which prescribe an accrual methodology for accumulating the value of other postemployment benefits (OPEB) over participants’ active working lifetimes.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE VALUATION

- In the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation reports we noted that Tier 2 had been rescinded, that all Tier 2 members would be transferred to Tier 1, and that new hires would be enrolled in a new Tier 3. However, as of the date of issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation, the provisions of Tier 3 were not yet determined and Tier 2 employees were not yet transferred to Tier 1. For those reasons, we continued to calculate a Normal Cost rate in the June 30, 2015 valuation for all Tier 2 employees based on the Tier 2 plan provisions in effect at that time.

- Subsequent to the issuance of the June 30, 2015 valuation reports, Ordinance No. 184134 (“the Ordinance”) was adopted by the City Council on January 12, 2016, and Tier 2 was rescinded. Section 4.1002.1 of the Ordinance states that “effective February 21, 2016, all persons who entered LACERS membership between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016, as members of Tier 2 of the Retirement System shall be members of Tier 1 of the Retirement System”.

- Segal determined the funds necessary to make the OPEB Fund whole due to these reclassifications.

- Any difference in the future Tier 1 employer Normal Cost rate that results from the transfer of Tier 2 members to Tier 1 is reflected in this valuation as of June 30, 2016.

- Section 4.1080.2(a) of the Ordinance states that “effective February 21, 2016, and ongoing, every employee shall become a member of Tier 3 of the Retirement System on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which he or she is not excluded from membership pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (c) of this section, unless he or she qualifies for Tier 1 membership pursuant to the exceptions to Tier 1 membership set forth in Subsection (b).” We have been provided with the Tier 3 members entering on or after February 21, 2016.

- The Ordinance also includes a plan change to provide retiree health benefits to part-time members who retire with more than 10 years of eligibility service but less than 10 years of benefit service. The impact of the plan change is included in the reconciliations of UAAL and ARC shown in Chart 2 and Exhibit H, respectively.

- The recommended contribution has increased from $90.5 million (4.74% of payroll) to $96.9 million (4.92% of payroll), assuming contributions are made by the City on July 15. The main reasons for these increases in contribution rate were investment return lower than expected, and an increase in normal cost rate due in part to the enrollment of new employees in Tier 3. A complete reconciliation of the change in the recommended contribution rate is provided in Exhibit H. Rates are shown separately for Tier 1 and Tier 3 in Chart 4.
In the June 30, 2015 valuation, we used the minimum annual contribution calculated using a single 30-year amortization layer, as the UAAL contributions required by GASB and the Board’s funding policy, because those annual contributions were greater than the net amount calculated using the various amortization layers. The same 30-year minimum contribution requirement has been triggered in the June 30, 2016 valuation. The Board’s funding policy includes a provision that the amortization layers be combined and/or restarted when the calculated contribution rate is less than that required to comply with the amortization standards set forth by GASB. The results in this valuation would not have been affected if we had combined the bases in this report since the 30-year contribution requirement applied again in the June 30, 2016 valuation.

The ratio of the actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from 79.67% to 80.49% on a market value of assets basis, the funded ratio decreased from 80.99% to 76.42%. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability increased from $538 million to $545 million. A complete reconciliation of the System’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability is provided in Chart 2.

As indicated in Exhibit G, the total unrecognized investment losses as of June 30, 2016 is $747.0 million for the assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits. These investment losses will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next several years. This implies that earning the assumed rate of investment return of 7.50% per year (net of expenses) on a market value basis will result in net investment losses on the actuarial value of assets after June 30, 2016. Item 9 in Exhibit G shows how, under the asset smoothing method, the $747.0 million in unrecognized losses will be recognized in the next 6 years.

The deferred losses of $747.0 million represent 5.3% of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2016. Unless offset by future investment losses or other unfavorable experience, the recognition of the $747.0 million market losses is expected to have an impact on the System’s future funded percentage and contribution rate requirements. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the retiree health plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the actuarial value of assets, the funded ratio would decrease from 80.49% to 76.42%.
- If the retiree health plan component of the deferred losses were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate employer rate (payable on July 15) would increase from 4.92% to about 5.4% of payroll.
The actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2016 is based on financial information as of that date. Changes in the value of assets subsequent to that date are not reflected. Declines in asset values will increase the actuarial cost of the Plan, while increases will decrease the actuarial cost of the Plan.

As in prior years, the employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming they will be paid by the City on any of the following dates:

(1) The beginning of the fiscal year, or
(2) On July 15, 2017, or
(3) Throughout the year (i.e., the City will pay contributions at the end of every pay period).

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) approved two new Statements affecting the reporting of OPEB liabilities for accounting purposes. Statement No. 74 replaces Statement No. 43 and is for plan reporting. Statement No. 75 replaces Statement No. 45 and is for employer reporting. It is important to note that the new GASB rules only define OPEB expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for actual OPEB funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices. Because these new Statements are not effective until fiscal year ending June 30, 2017 for Plan reporting and fiscal year ending June 30, 2018 for employer reporting, the financial reporting information in this report continues to be in accordance with Statements No. 43 and No. 45.

Based on previous directions provided by the LACERS, we have not included in the report the projected excise tax that may be imposed by the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and related statutes. It is our understanding that the GASB Statements No. 74 and No. 75 will require the inclusion of the excise tax liability for financial reporting purposes.
The key valuation results for the current and prior years are shown.

### SUMMARY OF VALUATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)</td>
<td>$2,793,688,955</td>
<td>$2,646,989,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial Value of Assets</td>
<td>2,248,753,480</td>
<td>2,108,924,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>544,935,475</td>
<td>538,064,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded Ratio on Actuarial Value Basis</td>
<td>80.49%</td>
<td>79.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value of Assets</td>
<td>2,134,877,117</td>
<td>2,143,705,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded Ratio on Market Value Basis</td>
<td>76.42%</td>
<td>80.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Required Contribution (ARC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal cost (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$68,385,120</td>
<td>$62,359,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>28,182,806</td>
<td>27,827,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$96,567,926</td>
<td>$90,187,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$96,859,359</td>
<td>$90,459,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Required Contribution (end of each pay period)</td>
<td>$100,123,757</td>
<td>$93,508,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll(^{(1)})</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
<td>$1,907,664,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC as a percentage of pay (there is a 12-month delay until the rate is effective)(^{(2)})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>4.73%(^{(3)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>4.74%(^{(3)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of each pay period</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>4.90%(^{(3)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Participants</strong></td>
<td>44,651</td>
<td>43,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual OPEB Cost (AOC) for Coming Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Required Contributions (July 15)</td>
<td>$90,459,414</td>
<td>$106,643,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Net OPEB Obligations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARC Adjustments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual OPEB Cost</td>
<td>$90,459,414</td>
<td>$106,643,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC as a percent of pay</td>
<td>4.74%</td>
<td>5.62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.

\(^{(2)}\) A breakdown of the ARC by tier is provided in Chart 4.

\(^{(3)}\) The June 30, 2015 combined rates were determined in our June 30, 2015 valuation report dated October 30, 2015 and have not been restated herein to reflect any events after June 30, 2015.
SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
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IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT ACTUARIAL VALUATIONS
An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the defining future uncertain obligations of a postretirement health plan. As such, it will never forecast the precise future stream of benefit payments. It is an estimated forecast – the actual cost of the plan will be determined by the benefits and expenses paid, not by the actuarial valuation.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting (“Segal”) relies on a number of input items. These include:

- **Plan of benefits** Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan description in this report to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.

- **Participant data** An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the Retirement Office. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.

- **Actuarial assumptions** In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal starts by developing a forecast of the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, withdrawal, and retirement of each participant for each year, as well as forecasts of the plan’s benefits for each of those events. The forecasted benefits are then discounted to a present value, typically based on an estimate of the rate of return that will be achieved on the plan’s assets. All of these factors are uncertain and unknowable. Thus, there will be a range of reasonable assumptions, and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions the actuary selects within that range. That is, there is no right answer (except with hindsight). It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand and accept this constraint. The actuarial model may use approximations and estimates that will have an immaterial impact on our results and will have no impact on the actual cost of the plan. In addition, the actuarial assumptions may change over time, and while this can have a significant impact on the reported results, it does not mean that the previous assumptions or results were unreasonable or wrong.

- **Assets** This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the Retirement Office.

The user of Segal’s actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- The valuation is prepared at the request of LACERS. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
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- An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan’s assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

- If LACERS is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.

- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal’s valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan’s provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. LACERS should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of LACERS, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to LACERS.
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ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

November 9, 2016

This is to certify that Segal Consulting (Segal) has conducted an actuarial valuation of certain benefit obligations of Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System’s other postemployment benefit programs as of June 30, 2016, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. In particular, it is our understanding that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters set by the Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). Actuarial valuations are performed annually for this other postemployment benefit program with the last valuation completed as of June 30, 2015. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 43 for the determination of the liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by LACERS and on participant, premium, claims and financial data provided by LACERS. Segal did not audit LACERS’ financial statements, but conducted an examination of all participant data for reasonableness and we concluded that it was reasonable and consistent with the prior year’s data.

One of the general goals of an actuarial valuation is to establish contributions that fully fund the Retirement System’s liabilities, and that, as a percentage of payroll, remain as level as possible for each generation of active members. Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method. In no event will the recommended contribution be less than the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined under GASB Statement No. 43.

The actuarial computations made are for purposes of fulfilling plan accounting and funding requirements. Determinations for purposes other than meeting financial accounting and funding requirements may be significantly different from the results reported here. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes, such as judging benefit security at termination of the plan, or determining short-term cash flow requirements.

Segal prepared all of the supporting schedules in the Actuarial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and certain supporting schedules in the Financial Section, based on the results of the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation. A listing of the supporting schedules Segal prepared for inclusion in the Financial Section as Required Supplementary Information prescribed by GASB Statement No. 43, and in the Actuarial Section, is provided below:

Financial Section
1) Schedule of Funding Progress
2) Schedule of Employer Contributions

Actuarial Section
3) Active Member Valuation Data
4) Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Health Benefits
5) Solvency Test for Health Benefits
6) Schedule of Funding Progress
7) Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience
8) Actuarial Balance Sheet
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LACERS’ staff prepared other trend data schedules in the statistical section based on information supplied in this valuation report. To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the information necessary to comply with GASB Statement No. 43 with respect to the benefit obligations addressed. The signing actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries, and/or the American Academy of Actuaries, as well as other professional actuarial organizations and collectively meet their “General Qualification Standards for Statements of Actuarial Opinions” to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, EA
Associate Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary
SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

The actuarial present value of total projected benefits uses the actuarial assumptions disclosed in Section 4 to calculate the value today of all benefits expected to be paid to current actives and retired plan members. The actuarial balance sheet shows the expected breakdown of how these benefits will be financed.

### CHART 1

**Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) and Actuarial Balance Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Category</th>
<th>Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents</td>
<td>$1,275,604,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current active members</td>
<td>2,058,219,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible</td>
<td>50,413,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,384,237,465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actuarial Balance Sheet**

The actuarial balance sheet as of the valuation date is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Actuarial value of assets</td>
<td>$2,248,753,480</td>
<td>$2,108,924,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Present value of future normal costs</td>
<td>590,548,510</td>
<td>530,947,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>544,935,475</td>
<td>538,064,716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Actuarial Present Value of total Projected Benefits</td>
<td>$3,384,237,465</td>
<td>$3,177,936,685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The actuarial accrued liability shows that portion of the APB (Chart 1) allocated to periods prior to the valuation date by the actuarial cost method. The chart below shows the portion of the liability for active and inactive members, and reconciles the unfunded actuarial accrued liability from last year to this year.

**CHART 2**

**Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Unfunded AAL (UAAL)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Category</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents</td>
<td>$1,275,604,225</td>
<td>$1,210,066,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current active members</td>
<td>1,467,671,331</td>
<td>1,393,979,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible*</td>
<td>50,413,399</td>
<td>42,943,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$2,793,688,955</td>
<td>$2,646,989,367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$2,793,688,955</td>
<td>$2,646,989,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial value of assets</td>
<td>2,248,753,480</td>
<td>2,108,924,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$544,935,475</td>
<td>$538,064,716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for the Year Ended June 30, 2016**

1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2015 $538,064,716
2. Employer normal cost as of June 30, 2015 62,359,771
3. Expected employer contributions during 2015/2016 fiscal year -90,187,237
4. Interest 38,267,794
5. Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2016 (1 + 2 + 3 + 4) $548,505,044
6. Effect of change in eligibility requirement for part-time employees 17,214,744
7. Effect of combined gains and losses* -20,784,313
8. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2016 (5 + 6 + 7) $544,935,475

* Due in part to fewer than expected members retiring and enrolling in a health plan than projected in the prior valuation.

Note that the expected employer contribution in (3) reflects a 30-year effective amortization of the UAAL. The adjustment to reflect the 30-year limit on effective amortization period accounts for the difference in [(6) + (7)] above and the sum of new bases shown in Chart 3.
The unfunded actuarial accrued liability may be amortized over periods of up to 30 years. Amortization payments may be calculated as level dollar amounts or as amounts designed to remain level as a percent of a growing payroll base. Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System has elected to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability using the following rules: The costs associated with the 2009 ERIP have been amortized over 15 years beginning with the June 30, 2009 valuation date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of June 30, 2012 is amortized over a fixed period of 30 years beginning July 1, 2012. Assumption changes resulting from the triennial experience study will be amortized over 20 years.

Health trend and premium assumption changes, plan changes, and gains and losses will be amortized over 15 years.

The recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy amount or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by GASB Statements No. 43 and No. 45. In this report, the minimum ARC requirement for fiscal year 2017/2018 (due to the application of the GASB 30-year maximum effective amortization period) is greater than the current funding policy amount due to the 15-year amortization of the experience gain base created as of June 30, 2015.

### CHART 3

#### Table of Amortization Bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date Established</th>
<th>Initial Year</th>
<th>Initial Amount</th>
<th>Outstanding Balance</th>
<th>Annual Payment*</th>
<th>Years Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan Amendment (2009 ERIP)</td>
<td>06/30/2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$54,735,645</td>
<td>$43,412,956</td>
<td>$6,075,668</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Base**</td>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>597,984,614</td>
<td>644,331,589</td>
<td>36,351,687</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Loss</td>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16,206,142</td>
<td>15,305,951</td>
<td>1,520,236</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Assumptions</td>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>135,287,549</td>
<td>135,675,952</td>
<td>9,839,579</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Gain</td>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-101,972,860</td>
<td>-98,553,540</td>
<td>-1,820,303</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Gain</td>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-193,346,818</td>
<td>-190,555,707</td>
<td>-16,729,123</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Change***</td>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17,466,894</td>
<td>17,214,744</td>
<td>1,511,304</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience Gain</td>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-21,878,470</td>
<td>-21,878,470</td>
<td>-1,820,203</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$544,935,475</td>
<td>$28,182,806</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Level percentage of pay.

** On October 23, 2012, the Board elected to combine all amortization bases as of June 30, 2012, except for the base associated with the 2009 ERIP, which remains on its original schedule. In addition, the Board adopted an initial amortization period of 30 years for the combined bases as of June 30, 2012.

*** Not shown in June 30, 2015 valuation, but reflected in 2016/2017 contribution rates shown in our plan change study dated March 14, 2016.

**** Reflects adjustment so that the equivalent single amortization payment is 30 years. Before the adjustment, the payment amount is $27,573,134.
The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the amount calculated to determine the annual cost of the OPEB plan for accounting purposes on an accrual basis. The calculation consists of adding the Normal Cost of the plan to an amortization payment. Both are determined as of the start of the accounting period and adjusted as if the annual cost were to be contributed throughout the fiscal year or on July 15th.

**CHART 4**

**Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1 - Cost Element</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal cost</td>
<td>$67,278,447</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>$59,249,618</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$27,767,379</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>$26,092,737</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$95,045,826</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
<td>$85,342,355</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Projected Payroll&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$1,939,683,049</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>$1,788,743,164</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjustment for timing (July 15)</td>
<td>$286,839</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>$257,555</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$95,332,665</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>$85,599,910</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Adjustment for timing (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$3,499,784</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>$3,142,482</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$98,545,610</td>
<td>5.08%</td>
<td>$88,484,837</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.
### SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
#### Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

**CHART 4 (continued)**

**Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2 - Cost Element</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal cost</td>
<td>$3,110,153</td>
<td>2.62%</td>
<td>$1,734,730</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$1,734,730</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$4,844,883</td>
<td>4.08%</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Projected Payroll(1)</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$118,921,434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjustment for timing (July 15)</td>
<td>$14,621</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>$4,859,504</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$4,859,504</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Adjustment for timing (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$178,398</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$5,023,281</td>
<td>4.22%</td>
<td>N/A(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3 - Cost Element</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
<th>Percentage of Compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal cost</td>
<td>$1,106,673</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
<td>$1,106,673</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>415,427</td>
<td>1.43%(3)(4)</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$1,522,100</td>
<td>5.24%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Projected Payroll(1)</td>
<td>$29,019,581</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjustment for timing (July 15)</td>
<td>$4,594</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$1,526,694</td>
<td>5.26%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td>5.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Adjustment for timing (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$56,047</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$1,578,147</td>
<td>5.44%</td>
<td>N/A(5)</td>
<td>5.18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.
(2) Effective February 21, 2016, all Tier 2 employees were transferred to Tier 1.
(3) In developing the UAAL contribution rate, we have combined the UAAL for Tier 1 and Tier 3 and amortized that total UAAL over the total payroll for Tier 1 and Tier 3.
(4) For purposes of Government Service Buybacks for Tier 3, the UAAL rate as of June 30, 2016 is -0.01%. It is calculated by: (i) amortizing -$21,094 over 15 years (or a credit of $ 1,755) and (ii) dividing that credit over Tier 3 payroll (or $29,019,581). If paid on July 15, the UAAL rate remains at -0.01% even though the credit increases to $1,760.
(5) As of June 30, 2015, Tier 3 was not yet effective (it became effective February 21, 2016), so there were no members in this tier at that time.
### CHART 4 (continued)

**Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined - Cost Element</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>Determined as of</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percentage of Compensation</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal cost</td>
<td>$68,385,120</td>
<td>3.48%</td>
<td>$62,359,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability</td>
<td>$28,182,806</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
<td>$27,827,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Total Annual Required Contribution (beginning of year)</td>
<td>$96,567,926</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>$90,187,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Projected Payroll(^{(1)})</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
<td>4.91%</td>
<td>$1,907,664,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Adjustment for timing (July 15)</td>
<td>$291,433</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>$272,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Total Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$96,859,359</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>$90,459,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Adjustment for timing (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$3,555,831</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>$3,320,881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total Annual Required Contribution (end of pay period)</td>
<td>$100,123,757</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>$93,508,118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.
The OPEB Cost (AOC) adjusts the ARC for any past differences between the ARC and contributions in relation to the ARC as tracked in the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). The AOC is the cost of OPEB actually recognized as an expense for the Fiscal Year under GASB 45.

### CHART 4 (continued)

**Determination of Annual OPEB Cost (AOC)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Element</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percentage of Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Annual Required Contribution (July 15)</td>
<td>$96,859,359</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest on Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ARC adjustment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Annual OPEB Cost (July 15)</td>
<td>$96,859,359</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For GASB 43 (plan reporting) purposes, the schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the ARC. For GASB 45 (employer reporting) purposes, the schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the AOC. The dollar amounts shown below reflect the contribution rates applied to actual payrolls.

**CHART 5**

Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Employer Contributions

**GASB 43**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Annual Required Contributions</th>
<th>Actual Contributions</th>
<th>Percentage Contributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GASB 45**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Annual OPEB Cost</th>
<th>Actual Contributions</th>
<th>Percentage Contributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This schedule of funding progress presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

### CHART 6

**Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Funding Progress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuarial Valuation Date</th>
<th>Actuarial Value of Assets (a)</th>
<th>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)</th>
<th>Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a)</th>
<th>Funded Ratio (a) / (b)</th>
<th>Covered Payroll* (c)</th>
<th>UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a) / (c)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>$1,546,883,749</td>
<td>$1,968,707,666</td>
<td>$421,823,917</td>
<td>78.57%</td>
<td>$1,833,392,381</td>
<td>23.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>1,642,373,560</td>
<td>2,292,400,227</td>
<td>650,026,667</td>
<td>71.64%</td>
<td>1,819,269,630</td>
<td>35.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>1,734,733,258</td>
<td>2,412,483,968</td>
<td>677,750,710</td>
<td>71.91%</td>
<td>1,846,970,474</td>
<td>36.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>1,941,224,810</td>
<td>2,662,853,153</td>
<td>721,628,343</td>
<td>72.90%</td>
<td>1,898,064,175</td>
<td>38.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>2,108,924,651</td>
<td>2,646,989,367</td>
<td>538,064,716</td>
<td>79.67%</td>
<td>1,907,664,598</td>
<td>28.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>2,248,753,480</td>
<td>2,793,688,955</td>
<td>544,935,475</td>
<td>80.49%</td>
<td>1,968,702,630</td>
<td>27.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflects amount calculated in the pension valuation.
The Net OPEB obligation measures the accumulated differences between the annual OPEB cost and the actual contributions in relation to the ARC.

The dollar amounts shown below reflect the contribution rates applied to actual payrolls.

### CHART 7

**Required Supplementary Information – Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year End</th>
<th>Annual Required Contribution (a)</th>
<th>Interest on Existing NOO (b)</th>
<th>ARC Adjustment (c)</th>
<th>Annual OPEB Cost (a) + (b) + (c) (d)</th>
<th>Actual Contribution Amount (e)</th>
<th>Net Increase in NOO (d) - (e) (f)</th>
<th>NOO as of Fiscal Year (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>$107,395,804</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>115,208,835</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>72,916,729</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>97,840,554</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>100,466,945</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>105,983,112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOLATILITY RATIOS

OPEB plans are subject to volatility in the level of required contributions. This volatility tends to increase as OPEB plans become more mature.

The Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the market value of assets divided by total payroll, provides an indication of the potential contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. A higher AVR indicates that the plan is subject to a greater level of contribution volatility. This is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets.

For LACERS, the current AVR is about 1.08. This means that a 1% asset gain/(loss) (relative to the assumed investment return) translates to about 1.08% of one-year’s payroll. Since LACERS amortizes actuarial gains and losses over a period of 15 years, there would be a 0.1% of payroll decrease/(increase) in the required contribution for each 1% asset gain/(loss).

The Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Accrued Liability divided by payroll, provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. This is because, over an extended period of time, the plan’s assets should track the plan’s liabilities. For example, if a plan is 50% funded on a market value basis, the liability volatility ratio would be double the asset volatility ratio and the plan sponsor should expect contribution volatility to increase over time as the plan becomes better funded.

The LVR also indicates how volatile contributions will be in response to changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actual experience or to changes in actuarial assumptions.

For LACERS, the current LVR is about 1.42. This is about 31% higher than the AVR. Therefore, we would expect that contribution volatility will increase over the long-term.

This chart shows how the asset and liability volatility ratios have varied over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Asset Volatility Ratio</th>
<th>Liability Volatility Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 2: Valuation Results for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered members, including active members, inactive non-vested members (entitled to a refund of member contributions), inactive vested members, retired members and beneficiaries. This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these member groups.

More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibit A.

A historical perspective of how the member population has changed over the past ten valuations can be seen in this chart.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Active Participants</th>
<th>Inactive Members</th>
<th>Retired Members and Surviving Spouses**</th>
<th>Ratio of Non-Actives to Actives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>30,175</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>11,336</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>30,236</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>12,004</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>30,065</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>11,893</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>26,245</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>13,442</td>
<td>0.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>25,449</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>13,436</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>24,917</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>13,431</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>24,441</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>13,592</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>24,009</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>13,686</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>14,012</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>14,313</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflects 2009 Early Retirement Incentive Program.

** Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not yet enrolled in retiree health benefits.
SECTION 3: Supplemental Valuation Details for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

EXHIBIT A
Summary of Participant Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Change From Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active members in valuation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>23,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll</td>
<td>$1,968,702,630</td>
<td>$1,907,664,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive members:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirees:*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-disabled</td>
<td>12,174</td>
<td>11,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of disabled</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of retirees</td>
<td>12,510</td>
<td>12,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of retirees</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spouses</td>
<td>4,773</td>
<td>4,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of spouses</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surviving Spouses:*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>1,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not receiving health benefits.
**EXHIBIT A (continued)**

**Summary of Participant Data**

**Tier 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Change From Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active members in valuation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>23,985</td>
<td>23,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll</td>
<td>$1,939,683,049</td>
<td>$1,907,664,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactive members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirees:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-disabled</td>
<td>12,174</td>
<td>11,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of disabled</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of retirees</td>
<td>12,510</td>
<td>12,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of retirees</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spouses</td>
<td>4,773</td>
<td>4,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of spouses</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surviving Spouses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>1,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes Tier 2 members.
** Excludes retirees and surviving spouses not receiving health benefits.
EXHIBIT A (continued)

Summary of Participant Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Change From Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active members in valuation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average service</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total payroll</td>
<td>$29,019,581</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactive members:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirees:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-disabled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of disabled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of retirees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of retirees</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spouses</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age of spouses</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surviving Spouses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number in pay status</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SECTION 3: Supplemental Valuation Details for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

#### EXHIBIT B
Reconciliation of Participant Data with Pension Valuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension valuation</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health valuation</td>
<td>24,446</td>
<td>23,895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension valuation</td>
<td>13,572</td>
<td>13,217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirees with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll</td>
<td>-1,373</td>
<td>-1,299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred retirees eligible for future health benefits</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health valuation</td>
<td>12,174</td>
<td>11,891</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disableds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension valuation</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>910</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll</td>
<td>-516</td>
<td>-512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred disableds eligible for future health benefits</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health valuation</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surviving Spouses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension valuation</td>
<td>3,863</td>
<td>3,805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surviving spouses with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll</td>
<td>-1,978</td>
<td>-1,930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred surviving spouses eligible for future health benefits</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health valuation</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inactive Vested</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension valuation</td>
<td>6,895</td>
<td>6,507</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive vesteds with less than 10 years of service</td>
<td>-5,776</td>
<td>-5,475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health valuation</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EXHIBIT C
Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from the Rolls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended 6/30</th>
<th>No. of New Retirees/Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Annual Allowances Added*</th>
<th>No. of Retirees/Beneficiaries Removed</th>
<th>Annual Allowances Removed</th>
<th>No. of Retirees/Beneficiaries at 6/30</th>
<th>Annual Allowances at 6/30</th>
<th>Percent Increase in Annual Allowances</th>
<th>Average Annual Allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>$5,670,390</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>$2,774,684</td>
<td>13,436</td>
<td>$97,104,061</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$7,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>-540,583</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>2,516,835</td>
<td>13,431</td>
<td>94,046,643</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>7,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>9,263,844</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>2,463,967</td>
<td>13,592</td>
<td>100,846,520</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>10,844,333</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>3,174,045</td>
<td>14,012</td>
<td>112,629,520</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>2,185,058</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>3,102,492</td>
<td>14,313</td>
<td>111,712,086</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>7,805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Also reflects changes in subsidies for continuing retirees and beneficiaries.
EXHIBIT D
Cash Flow Projections

The ARC generally exceeds the current pay-as-you-go ("paygo") cost of an OPEB plan. Over time the paygo cost will tend to grow and may even eventually exceed the ARC in a well-funded plan. The following table projects the paygo cost as the projected net fund payment over the next ten years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ending June 30</th>
<th>Projected Number of Retirees</th>
<th>Projected Benefit Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>19,086</td>
<td>1,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>18,562</td>
<td>2,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>18,009</td>
<td>3,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>17,441</td>
<td>4,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>16,280</td>
<td>6,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>15,685</td>
<td>7,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>15,083</td>
<td>8,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>14,474</td>
<td>9,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>13,857</td>
<td>10,226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes spouses of retirees, but excludes those not receiving a subsidy from LACERS.
### EXHIBIT E

**Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for Retirement, Health, Family Death and Larger Annuity Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contribution income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer contributions</td>
<td>$546,687,123</td>
<td>$481,765,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee contributions</td>
<td>211,344,752</td>
<td>207,564,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net contribution income</td>
<td>$758,031,875</td>
<td>$689,330,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, dividends and other income</td>
<td>$328,356,817</td>
<td>$315,373,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of capital appreciation</td>
<td>742,488,219</td>
<td>887,268,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less investment and administrative fees</td>
<td>-87,439,883</td>
<td>-83,431,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment income</td>
<td>$983,405,153</td>
<td>$1,119,211,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total income available for benefits</strong></td>
<td>$1,741,437,028</td>
<td>$1,808,541,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less benefit payments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of benefits</td>
<td>-$877,204,804</td>
<td>-$838,334,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds of contributions</td>
<td>-7,718,826</td>
<td>-10,120,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefit payments</td>
<td>-$884,923,630</td>
<td>-$848,455,864(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in reserve for future benefits</strong></td>
<td>$856,513,398</td>
<td>$960,085,829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(1) Includes a transfer of $2,614,765 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.
SECTION 3: Supplemental Valuation Details for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

EXHIBIT F
Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement, Health, Family Death, and Larger Annuity Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash equivalents</td>
<td>$499,731,305</td>
<td>$520,833,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>$50,163,160</td>
<td>$44,947,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sales</td>
<td>102,333,983</td>
<td>118,813,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>28,008,361</td>
<td>9,783,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accounts receivable</td>
<td>$180,505,504</td>
<td>$173,544,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>$3,674,318,513</td>
<td>$3,589,262,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>7,542,082,855</td>
<td>8,100,719,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate and Alternative Investment</td>
<td>2,241,780,660</td>
<td>1,991,923,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>782,185,594</td>
<td>847,945,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investments at market value</td>
<td>$14,240,367,622</td>
<td>$14,529,851,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital assets</td>
<td>$4,951,637</td>
<td>$4,050,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$14,925,556,068</td>
<td>$15,228,280,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less accounts payable:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>-$38,484,600</td>
<td>-$34,950,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued investment expenses</td>
<td>-11,324,180</td>
<td>-9,333,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative instruments</td>
<td>-870,314</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of investments</td>
<td>-174,028,137</td>
<td>-228,312,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security lending collateral</td>
<td>-695,789,322</td>
<td>-830,923,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accounts payable</td>
<td>-$920,496,553</td>
<td>-$1,103,519,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets at market value</td>
<td>$14,005,059,515</td>
<td>$14,124,760,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets at actuarial value</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
<td>$13,895,589,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets at valuation value (health benefits)</td>
<td>$2,248,753,480</td>
<td>$2,108,924,651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results may be slightly off due to rounding.
SECTION 3: Supplemental Valuation Details for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Administration has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable.

The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

EXHIBIT G
Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Market value of assets</td>
<td>$14,005,059,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Calculation of unrecognized return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Year ended June 30, 2016</td>
<td>-1,065,023,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Year ended June 30, 2015</td>
<td>-707,760,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Year ended June 30, 2014</td>
<td>1,246,285,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Combined net deferred loss as of June 30, 2013</td>
<td>-81,571,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Total unrecognized return</td>
<td>-747,043,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2e)</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Adjustment to be within 40% corridor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Final actuarial value of assets: (3) + (4)</td>
<td>$14,752,102,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: (5) ÷ (1)</td>
<td>105.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Market value of health assets</td>
<td>$2,134,877,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Valuation value of health assets (5) ÷ (1) x (7)</td>
<td>$2,248,753,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Amount recognized on 6/30/2017</td>
<td>-88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Amount recognized on 6/30/2018</td>
<td>-88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Amount recognized on 6/30/2019</td>
<td>-88,809,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Amount recognized on 6/30/2020</td>
<td>-75,214,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Amount recognized on 6/30/2021</td>
<td>-253,254,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(f) Amount recognized on 6/30/2022</td>
<td>-152,146,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding)</td>
<td>-747,043,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Total return minus expected return on a market value basis.

(2) Based on action taken by the Board on September 9, 2014, the net unrecognized loss as of June 30, 2013 (i.e., $81,571,421) has been recognized in six level amounts, with three years of recognition remaining after the June 30, 2016 valuation.
The chart below details the changes in the ARC from the prior valuation to the current year’s valuation.

**EXHIBIT H**

Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution from June 30, 2015 to June 30, 2016

| Effect of plan amendment changing eligibility requirements for part-time employees | 0.17 |
| Effect of demographic gains and losses | -0.03 |
| Effect of actual contributions more than expected | -0.07 |
| Effect of investment loss | 0.08 |
| Effect of increase in UAAL rate from smaller than expected increase in payroll | 0.04 |

**Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2016**

4.90% to 5.09%

1 Based on contributions at the end of each pay period.

2 Changes in unfunded actuarial accrued liability were amortized over 15 years.
### EXHIBIT I
Solvency Test for OPEB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation Date</th>
<th>Terminated Members</th>
<th>Retirees, Beneficiaries, &amp; Dependents</th>
<th>Active Members</th>
<th>Valuation Value of Retiree Health Assets</th>
<th>Terminated Members</th>
<th>Retirees, Beneficiaries, &amp; Dependents</th>
<th>Active Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2011</td>
<td>$19,963,811</td>
<td>$1,066,350,888</td>
<td>$882,392,967</td>
<td>$1,546,883,749</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2012</td>
<td>24,454,075</td>
<td>1,083,168,136</td>
<td>1,184,778,016</td>
<td>1,642,373,560</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2013</td>
<td>26,868,636</td>
<td>1,104,832,577</td>
<td>1,280,782,755</td>
<td>1,734,733,258</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
<td>41,188,181</td>
<td>1,196,769,321</td>
<td>1,424,895,651</td>
<td>1,941,224,810</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2015</td>
<td>42,943,089</td>
<td>1,210,066,527</td>
<td>1,393,979,751</td>
<td>2,108,924,651</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/2016</td>
<td>50,413,399</td>
<td>1,275,604,225</td>
<td>1,467,671,331</td>
<td>2,248,753,480</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EXHIBIT I

#### Summary of Required Supplementary Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation date</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial cost method</td>
<td>Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization method</td>
<td>Level percent of payroll – assuming a 4.00% increase in total covered payroll.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization period</td>
<td>Multiple Layers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009 ERIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012 Combined Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actuarial Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in non-health related assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in health related assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Future ERIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVA in excess of AAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset valuation method</td>
<td>Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial assumptions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment rate of return</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation rate</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real across-the-board salary increase</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected salary increases</td>
<td>Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical, dental, Medicare Part B trend rates</td>
<td>See table on page 40.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan membership:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents receiving benefits</td>
<td>19,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current active participants</td>
<td>24,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminated participants entitled but not yet eligible</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44,651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT II
Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

Rationale for Assumptions: The information and analysis used in selecting each assumption that has a significant effect on this actuarial valuation is shown in the July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 Actuarial Experience Study dated October 8, 2014 and retiree health assumptions letter dated September 30, 2016. Unless otherwise noted, all actuarial assumptions and methods shown below apply to both Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. These assumptions have been adopted by the Board.

Measurement Date: June 30, 2016

Data: LACERS provided detailed census data and financial data for post-employment benefits.

Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:
- Healthy Members and All Beneficiaries: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.
- Disabled Members: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set forward seven years for males and set forward eight years for females.

The above mortality tables contain about a 10% margin, based on actual to expected deaths, as a provision appropriate to reasonably anticipate future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

Termination Rates Before Retirement:
- Pre-Retirement Mortality: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Termination*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rates for members with five or more years of service. Termination rates are zero for members eligible to retire.
Rates of termination for members with less than 5 years of service are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Termination (Based on Service)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retirement Rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-55/30</td>
<td>55/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> Not eligible to retire under the provisions of the Tier 3 plan.
SECTION 4: Supporting Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
Measurement Under GASB 43 and 45 as of June 30, 2016

Retirement Age and Benefit for Inactive Vested Participants: Assume retiree health benefit will be paid at the later of age 58 or the current attained age.

Exclusion of Inactive Vested: Inactive vested with less than 10 years of service are excluded.

Definition of Active Members: First day of biweekly payroll following employment for new department employees or immediately following transfer from other city department.

Unknown Data for Members: Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male.

Service: Employment service is used for eligibility determination purposes. Benefit service is used for benefit calculation purposes.

Future Benefit Accruals: 1.0 year of service per year.

Net Investment Return: 7.50%

Salary Increases: Inflation: 3.25%; plus additional 0.75% “across the board” salary increases (other than inflation); plus the following merit and promotional increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Actuarial Value of Assets: The market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets.

Actuarial Cost Method: Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary.

Per Capita Cost Development: The assumed costs on a composite basis are the future costs of providing postemployment health care benefits at each age. To determine the assumed costs on a composite basis, historical premiums are reviewed and adjusted for increases in the cost of health care services.

Maximum Dental Subsidy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>Election Percent</th>
<th>Monthly Year Subsidy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta Dental PPO</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>$43.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeltaCare USA HMO</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>$12.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Per Capita Cost Development – Tier 1, Not Subject to Medical Subsidy Cap:
#### Participant Under Age 65 or Not Eligible for Medicare A & B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>Observed and Assumed Election Percent</th>
<th>2016-2017 Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Single Party</th>
<th></th>
<th>Married/With Domestic Partner</th>
<th></th>
<th>Eligible Survivor</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Premium*</td>
<td>Maximum Subsidy</td>
<td>Subsidy</td>
<td>Monthly Premium*</td>
<td>Maximum Subsidy</td>
<td>Subsidy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser HMO</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$787.23</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$787.23</td>
<td>$1,574.46</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$1,574.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross PPO</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,127.66</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$1,127.66</td>
<td>$2,250.85</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross HMO</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$991.96</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$991.96</td>
<td>$1,979.44</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
<td>$1,658.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With the exception of Kaiser, the amounts above reflect the inclusion of the vision insurance plan premium.

### Participant Eligible for Medicare A & B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier</th>
<th>Observed and Assumed Election Percent</th>
<th>2016-2017 Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Single Party</th>
<th></th>
<th>Married/With Domestic Partner</th>
<th></th>
<th>Eligible Survivor</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Premium*</td>
<td>Maximum Subsidy</td>
<td>Subsidy</td>
<td>Monthly Premium*</td>
<td>Maximum Subsidy</td>
<td>Subsidy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser Senior Advantage HMO</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$237.57</td>
<td>$237.57</td>
<td>$237.57</td>
<td>$475.14</td>
<td>$475.14</td>
<td>$475.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross Medicare Supplement</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$496.82</td>
<td>$496.82</td>
<td>$496.82</td>
<td>$989.17</td>
<td>$989.17</td>
<td>$989.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHC Medicare Adv. HMO for California**</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$258.77</td>
<td>$258.77</td>
<td>$258.77</td>
<td>$513.07</td>
<td>$513.07</td>
<td>$513.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With the exception of Kaiser, the amounts above reflect the inclusion of the vision insurance plan premium.
** Rates for CA plan.
Members who are subject to the retiree medical subsidy cap will have monthly health insurance subsidy maximums capped at the levels in effect at July 1, 2011, as shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single Party</th>
<th>Married/With Domestic Partner</th>
<th>Eligible Survivor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 65 – All Plans</strong></td>
<td>$1,190.00</td>
<td>$1,190.00</td>
<td>$593.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Over 65</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser HMO</td>
<td>$203.27</td>
<td>$406.54</td>
<td>$203.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Cross Medicare Supplement</td>
<td>$478.43</td>
<td>$540.77</td>
<td>$478.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHC Medicare Adv. HMO for California</td>
<td>$219.09</td>
<td>$417.14</td>
<td>$129.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustments to per-capita costs (as shown on page 37) based on age, gender, and status, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Retiree</th>
<th>Spouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.9292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0689</td>
<td>1.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.2263</td>
<td>1.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.9186</td>
<td>0.7808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.0647</td>
<td>0.8415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1474</td>
<td>0.9058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>1.2356</td>
<td>0.9765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spouse/Domestic Partner Coverage: 60% of male and 30% of female retirees who receive a subsidy are assumed to be married or have a qualified domestic partner and elect dependent coverage. Of these covered spouses/domestic partners, 100% are assumed to continue coverage if the retiree predeceases the spouse/domestic partner.

Spouse Age Difference: Male retirees are assumed to be 4 years older than their female spouses. Female retirees are assumed to be 2 years younger than their male spouses.

Participation: Retiree Medical and Dental Coverage Participation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Range</th>
<th>Percent Covered*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 – 19</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 24</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 and Over</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Inactive members are assumed to elect coverages at 50% of the rates shown above.

100% of retirees becoming eligible for Medicare are assumed to be covered by both Parts A and B.
Health Care Cost Subsidy Trend Rates:

Trends to be applied in following fiscal years, to all health plans.

Trend is to be applied to premium for shown fiscal year to calculate next fiscal year's projected premium. For example, the projected single party Kaiser non-Medicare premium for 2017-2018 would be \((1 + 8.39\%) \times 787.23 = 853.28\) (before applying the age, gender, and status adjustment factors as shown on page 38).

First Fiscal Year (July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLAN</th>
<th>Trend to be applied to 2016-2017 Fiscal Year premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross PPO, Under Age 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross Medicare Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaiser HMO, Under Age 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaiser Senior Advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anthem Blue Cross HMO, Under 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UHC Medicare HMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.27%</td>
<td>5.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.39%</td>
<td>6.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.22%</td>
<td>4.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fiscal year trend rates are based on the following calendar year trend rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Medicare</th>
<th>Non-Medicare</th>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Non-Medicare</th>
<th>Medicare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>6.38%</td>
<td>6.38%</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6.50%*</td>
<td>6.50%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2021</td>
<td>5.63%</td>
<td>5.63%</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-2022</td>
<td>5.38%</td>
<td>5.38%</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-2023</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 and later</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>2023 and later</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dental Premium Trend

5.00% for all years

Medicare Part B Premium Trend

Trend for the 2016-17 fiscal year will be calculated based on the actual increase in Medicare B premium from 2016 to 2017, when it becomes available. 5.00% for years following the 2017 calendar year.

* For example, the 6.50% assumption, when applied to the 2017 calendar year medical premiums would provide the 2018 calendar year medical premiums.
### Health Care Reform:
As previously directed by LACERS, we have not reflected in the current valuation the impact of potential excise tax imposed by the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and related statutes on certain health plans in calculating the contribution rates for the employer. We understand that the recently adopted Statements No. 74 and No. 75 by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) for financial reporting purposes is expected to require the inclusion of the excise tax in the liability. Statement No. 74 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016 for plan reporting and Statement No. 75 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017 for employer reporting.

### Administrative Expenses:
No administrative expenses were valued separately from the premium costs.

### Plan Design:
Development of plan liabilities was based on the substantive plan of benefits in effect as described in Exhibit III.

### Assumption Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Since Prior Valuation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting premium costs and first year trends were revised to reflect 2017 calendar year premium data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and dental carrier election assumptions were updated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement rates for Tier 3 were added.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT III
Summary of Plan

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions as included in the valuation. To the best of our knowledge, the summary represents the substantive plans as of the measurement date. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all benefit provisions.

Membership Eligibility:

| Tier 1 (§4.1002(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System before July 1, 2013, and certain employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after July 1, 2013. In addition, pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 2, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 2, 2016. |
| Tier 3 (§4.1080.2(a)) | All employees who became members of the Retirement System on or after February 2, 2016, except as provided otherwise in Section 4.1080.2(b) of the Los Angeles Administrative Code. |

Benefit Eligibility:

| Tier 1 (§4.1111(a)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(a)) | Retired age 55 or older with at least 10 years of service (including deferred vested members who terminate employment and receive a retirement benefit from LACERS), or if retirement date is between October 2, 1996, and September 30, 1999 at age 50 or older with at least 30 years of service. Benefits are also payable to spouses, domestic partners, or other qualified dependents while the retiree is alive. Please note that the health subsidy is not payable to a disabled retiree before the member reaches age 55. |

Medical Subsidy for Members Not Subject to Cap:

| Under Age 65 or Over Age 65 Without Medicare Part A | The System will pay 4% of the maximum health subsidy (limited to actual premium) for each year of Service Credit, up to 100% of the maximum health subsidy. As of July 1, 2016, the maximum health subsidy is $1,580.08 per month, increasing to $1,736.88 in calendar year 2017. |
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Over Age 65 and Enrolled in Both Medicare Parts A and B

Tier 1 (§4.1111(e)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(d))

For retirees, a maximum health subsidy shall be paid in the amount of the single-party monthly premium of the approved Medicare supplemental or coordinated plan in which the retiree is enrolled, subject to the following vesting schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Years of Service</th>
<th>Vested Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-14</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsidy Cap for Tier 1:

(§4.1111(b))

As of the June 30, 2011 valuation, the retiree health benefits program was changed to cap the medical subsidy for non-retired members who do not contribute an additional 4% or 4.5% of employee contributions to the Pension Plan.

The capped subsidy is different for Medicare and non-Medicare retirees.

The cap applies to the medical subsidy limits at the 2011 calendar year level.

The cap does not apply to the dental subsidy or the Medicare Part B premium reimbursement.

Dependents:

Tier 1 (§4.1111(e)(4)) and Tier 3 (§4.1126(d)(4))

An additional amount is added for coverage of dependents which shall not exceed the amount provided to a retiree not enrolled in Medicare Parts A and B and covered by the same medical plan with the same years of service. The combined member and dependent subsidy shall not exceed the actual premium.
Dental Subsidy for Members:

Tier 1 (§4.1114(b)) and Tier 3 (§4.1129(b))

The System will pay 4% of the maximum dental subsidy (limited to actual premium) for each year of Service Credit, up to 100% of the maximum dental subsidy. As of July 1, 2016, the maximum dental subsidy is $43.24 per month; increasing to $44.60 in calendar year 2017.

There is no subsidy available to spouses or domestic partners or for dependent coverage. There is also no reimbursement for dental plans not sponsored by the System.

Medicare Part B Reimbursement for Members:

Tier 1 (§4.1113) and Tier 3 (§4.1128)

If a Retiree is covered by both Medicare Parts A and B, and enrolled in a LACERS medical plan or participates in the LACERS Retiree Medical Premium Reimbursement Program, LACERS will reimburse the retiree the basic Medicare Part B premium.

Surviving Spouse Medical Subsidy

Tier 1 (§4.1115) and Tier 3 (§4.1129.1)

Under Age 65 or Over Age 65 Without Medicare Part A

The surviving spouse or domestic partner will be entitled to a health subsidy (limited to the actual lowest cost plan available single-party premium) based on the member’s years of service and the surviving dependent’s eligibility for Medicare.

The maximum health subsidy available for survivors is the lowest cost plan available (currently Kaiser) single-party premium ($748.03 per month as of July 1, 2016, increasing to $826.43 on January 1, 2017).
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Over Age 65 and Enrolled in Both Medicare Parts A and B

For survivors, a maximum health subsidy limited to the single-party monthly premium of the plan in which the survivor is enrolled, is provided subject to the following vesting schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Years of Service</th>
<th>Vested Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-14</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in Plan Provisions:
Tier 2 was rescinded (Tier 2 members were transferred to Tier 1) and Tier 3 became effective February 21, 2016.
Retiree health benefits provided to current and future part-time employees who retire with 10 or more years of eligibility service but less than 10 years of benefit service (previously not eligible for health benefits).

NOTE: The summary of major Plan provisions is designed to outline principal plan benefits as interpreted for purposes of the actuarial valuation. If the System should find the plan summary not in accordance with the actual provisions, the System should alert the actuary so that both parties can be sure the proper provisions are valued.
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EXHIBIT IV
Definitions of Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions:
The estimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including:

(a) Investment return — the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over the long-term future;

(b) Mortality rates — the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates;

(c) Retirement rates — the rate or probability of retirement at a given age;

(d) Turnover rates — the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement.

Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB):
Present value of all future benefit payments for current retirees and active employees taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions.

Normal Cost:
The amount of contributions required to fund the benefit allocated to the current year of service.

Actuarial Accrued Liability For Actives:
The equivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the valuation date.

Actuarial Accrued Liability For Retirees:
The single sum value of lifetime benefits to existing retirees. This sum takes account of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the retirees and of the interest which the sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits.
| **Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA):** | The value of assets used by the actuary in the valuation. These may be at market value or some other method used to smooth variations in market value from one valuation to the next. |
| **Funded Ratio:** | The ratio AVA/AAL. |
| **Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL):** | The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period of time. |
| **Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability:** | Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability. |
| **Investment Return (discount rate):** | The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and capital gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the capital gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next. If the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, the discount rate is tied to the expected rate of return on day-to-day employer funds. |
| **Covered Payroll:** | Annual reported salaries for all active participants on the valuation date. |
| **ARC as a Percentage of Covered Payroll:** | The ratio of the annual required contribution to covered payroll. |
| **Health Care Cost Trend Rates:** | The annual rate of increase in net claims costs per individual benefiting from the Plan. |
| **Annual Required Contribution (ARC):** | The ARC is equal to the sum of the normal cost and the amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. |
### Net OPEB Obligation (NOO):

The NOO is the cumulative difference between the annual OPEB cost and actual contributions made. If the plan is not pre-funded, the actual contribution would be equal to the annual benefit payments less retiree contributions.

### Annual OPEB Cost (AOC):

Annual OPEB cost is the measure required by GASB 45 of a sole or agent employer's "cost" of participating in an OPEB plan. When an employer has no net OPEB obligation, annual OPEB cost is equal to the ARC. When a net OPEB obligation has a liability (positive) balance, annual OPEB cost is equal to (a) the ARC, plus (b) one year's interest on the beginning balance of the net OPEB obligation, less (c) an adjustment to the ARC to offset, approximately, the amount included in the ARC for amortization of the past contribution deficiencies. When a net OPEB obligation has an asset (negative) balance, the interest adjustment should be deducted from and the ARC adjustment should be added to the ARC, to determine annual OPEB cost.

### ARC Adjustment:

The ARC adjustment is an amortization payment based on the prior year NOO. The purpose of the interest and ARC adjustments is to avoid "double-counting" annual OPEB cost and liabilities. Without the adjustments, annual OPEB cost and the net OPEB obligation (liability) would be overstated by the portion of the amortization amount previously recognized in annual OPEB cost. With the adjustments, annual OPEB cost should be approximately equal to the ARC that would have been charged if all prior ARCs had been paid in full, plus one year's interest on the net OPEB obligation.

### Employer Contributions:

For the purposes of GASB 43/45, an employer has contributed to an OPEB plan if the employer has (a) provided benefits directly to retired plan members or their beneficiaries, (b) paid insurance premiums to insure the payment of benefits, or (c) irrevocably transferred assets to a qualifying trust, or equivalent arrangement, in which plan assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the plan and are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator.
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EXHIBIT V
Accounting Requirements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement Number 43 -- Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, and Statement Number 45 – Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. Under these statements, all state and local government entities that provide other post-employment benefits (OPEB) are required to report the cost of these benefits on their financial statements. The accounting standards supplement cash accounting, under which the expense for postemployment benefits is equal to benefit and administrative costs paid on behalf of retirees and their dependents (i.e., a pay-as-you-go basis).

The new standards introduce an accrual-basis accounting requirement, thereby recognizing the employer cost of postemployment benefits over an employee’s career. The standards also introduce a consistent accounting requirement for both pension and non-pension benefits.

The statements cover postemployment benefits of health, prescription drug, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for retirees; long-term care coverage, life insurance and death benefits that are not offered as part of a pension plan; and long-term disability insurance for employees. The benefits valued in this report are limited to those described in Exhibit III of Section 4, which are based on those provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the employer and plan members. The projection of benefits is not limited by legal or contractual limits on funding the plan unless those limits clearly translate into benefit limits on the substantive plan being valued.

The total cost of providing postemployment benefits is projected, taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions. These assumptions are summarized in Exhibit II of Section 4. This amount is then discounted to determine the actuarial present value of the total projected benefits (APB). The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is the portion of the present value of the total projected benefits allocated to years of employment prior to the measurement date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the difference between the AAL and actuarial value of assets in the Plan.

Once the UAAL is determined, the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is determined as the normal cost (the APB allocated to the current year of service) and the amortization of the UAAL. This ARC is compared to actual contributions made and any difference is reported as the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). In addition, Required Supplementary Information (RSI) must be reported, including historical information about the UAAL and the progress in funding the Plan. Exhibit IV of Section 4 contains a definition of terms as well as more information about GASB 43/45 concepts.
The calculation of an accounting obligation does not, in and of itself, imply that there is any legal liability to provide the benefits valued, nor is there any implication that the Employer is required to implement a funding policy to satisfy the projected expense.

Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective, and the methods and assumptions use techniques designed to reduce short-term volatility in accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, if any.

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and the actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.
March 8, 2017

Mr. Tom Moutes
General Manager
Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System
202 West First Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Re: Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System
2017/2018 Employer Rates Adjusted to Reflect Enhanced Tier 1 Benefit

Dear Tom:

In the attached report dated February 8, 2017, we calculated the additional costs under a proposed Ordinance to provide enhanced service retirement, disability retirement and death benefits for Airport Peace Officers (APO) if they decide to continue their membership at LACERS instead of transferring to Los Angeles Fire and Police Pensions (LAFPP) on January 7, 2018.

In this letter, we have adjusted the 2017/2018 contribution rates for LACERS previously provided in our June 30, 2016 valuations for the retirement and health plans to reflect the enhanced Tier 1 benefit1,2 should some of those members decide to stay at LACERS on January 7, 2018.

Background

Under the proposed Ordinance, an enhanced benefit will be provided to APO members who decide to continue their membership at LACERS. Because the APO members will remain in the current Tier 1 plan (and not be transferred into a separate tier), we have taken the additional normal cost calculated in our February 8, 2017 report and expressed that as a percentage of all

---

1 Under the proposed Ordinance, all Tier 3 Airport Peace Officers would first receive an upgrade to the current (or pre-enhanced) Tier 1 plan. The cost to provide that upgrade will be paid in a lump sum and we have NOT included that lump sum cost in this letter.

2 Under the proposed Ordinance, there is a mandatory $5,700 contribution per APO employee to receive the enhancement. The $5,700 contribution will be paid in a lump sum and we have NOT included the lump sum amount in this letter.
Mr. Thomas Moutes  
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Tier 1 member payroll used in the June 30, 2016 valuations. Similarly, we have taken the additional cost to pay off the additional Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) calculated in our February report and expressed that as a percentage of all Tier 1 and Tier 3 member payrolls used in the June 30, 2016 valuations. We have included Tier 1 and Tier 3 member payrolls because the same UAAL contribution rate was developed in the June 30, 2016 valuations for all members in LACERS.

As we would not know until around January 7, 2018 which APO member will elect to continue LACERS membership, we included two scenarios in our February report. Under Scenario 1, we assumed all APO members would remain at LACERS while under Scenario 2, we assumed only APO members with 5 or more years of service would stay at LACERS. We chose Scenario 2 in preparing the results in this letter based on the presumption that members with 5 or more years of service would have to incur a higher cost to convert all of their past LACERS service at LAFPP as required by the Ordinance and could therefore be more likely to elect to stay at LACERS. That is, we used the results from Scenario 2 in adjusting the rates for the City for 2017/2018.

The increases under Scenario 2 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase in Annual Employer Contribution Dollars*</th>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Health Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Cost</td>
<td>$895,467</td>
<td>$33,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAAL**</td>
<td>$1,336,894</td>
<td>$58,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in Annual Employer Contribution Rates*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Cost, based on all Tier 1 Member</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.00%***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Payroll of $1,939,683,049)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAAL, based on all Tier 1 and Tier 3 Member</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.00%***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Payroll of $1,968,702,630)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The above contribution rates and amounts have been calculated assuming that they would be paid at the beginning of the plan year and that the improvement would be in force for the full year. While we would generally add a half-year of interest when we convert the above contribution amounts into a percent payroll rate if paid by the employer at the end of each pay period, here we would only add a quarter-year of interest to take into account that the enhanced benefit is only in effect during the second half of 2017/2018. As the rate increases are relatively small, there are no changes in the resulting rates after they are adjusted for interest.

** Reflects reduction in actuarial accrued liability for mandatory $5,700 contribution per remaining employee.

*** After rounding the rates to the nearest 0.01% of payroll.

As the enhancement will only be provided effective January 7, 2018 for about one-half of a year, we would recommend that only one-half of the above rate increases be added to those

---

3 After going through the calculations for both Scenarios, we concluded the difference in cost between the two scenarios was quite small.
annual contribution rates originally recommended in our June 30, 2016 valuation reports if the intent is that a level employer contribution rate would be paid for 2017/2018. A comparison of the employer rates before and after reflecting the enhancement is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan Only</th>
<th>Before Enhancement</th>
<th>After Enhancement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Normal Cost</td>
<td>6.74%</td>
<td>6.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer UAAL</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
<td>15.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, beginning of year</td>
<td>22.18%</td>
<td>22.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, July 15</td>
<td>22.25%</td>
<td>22.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, end of pay period</td>
<td>23.00%</td>
<td>23.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Normal Cost</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer UAAL</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
<td>15.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, beginning of year</td>
<td>19.29%</td>
<td>19.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, July 15</td>
<td>19.34%</td>
<td>19.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recommended Contribution, end of pay period</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
<td>20.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted, the above table is with respect to the change in the rates for the retirement plan. There are no changes to the employer’s contribution rates to the health plan.

We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. We look forward to discussing this with you and your Board.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Paul Anglo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary

AY/hy
Enclosure (5469882)
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS
February 8, 2017

Mr. Richard H. Llewellyn  
Interim City Administrative Officer  
Attention: Ms. Maritta Aspen  
Chief, Employee Relations Division  
City of Los Angeles  
200 North Main Street, Suite 1500  
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4137

Dear Richard:

We are pleased to submit our study of proposed enhanced benefits for Airport Peace Officers (APO) at the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System (LACERS) who elect to remain at LACERS prior to January 7, 2018. Current APO members who do not elect to remain at LACERS would otherwise elect to be transferred to Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan (LAFPP) Tier 6 by January 7, 2018. From that date (hereafter referred to as the “LAFPP transfer date”) forward, all new Airport Peace Officers would be enrolled in LAFPP Tier 6, rather than in LACERS.

To conduct this study, we have utilized the membership data from the June 30, 2016 LACERS retirement and retiree health valuations pertaining to this group of active members, with an adjustment to exclude those employees who are expected to exit the workforce, using the actuarial assumptions used in this study, for the approximate 18-month period following the June 30, 2016 valuation. No current inactive vested members, retirees, or beneficiaries previously employed as Peace Officers have been included in this study. With the exception of the service retirement assumptions and a few other miscellaneous assumptions discussed herein, this study uses the same actuarial assumptions and methodologies adopted by the Retirement Board for use in the June 30, 2016 LACERS valuations. A brief description of the methodology used to select the service retirement assumptions for the proposed enhanced benefits is provided in Section 1.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, Enrolled Actuary and Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, Enrolled Actuary. Both are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By: 

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, EA, FCA  
Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, EA, FCA  
Vice President and Actuary

cc: Tom Moutes
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Review Summary

BASIS FOR CONTRIBUTION RECOMMENDATIONS

- To estimate the potential cost impact of the proposed enhanced benefits for members who elect to remain at LACERS, we have utilized the data provided for the June 30, 2016 LACERS valuations of the retirement and retiree health plans pertaining to active Airport Peace Officers (APO). Based on prior discussions with LACERS when we prepared similar studies in the past few years, it is our understanding that this group of employees is represented by the following Bargaining Units: 30 (L. A. Airport Peace Officers), 39 (L. A. Airport Supervisory Peace Officers), and 40 (Airport Peace Command Officers). It is our further understanding there were no civilian employees within those Bargaining Units that should be excluded from our study. In addition, there were three active non-represented Airport Peace Officers as of June 30, 2016, and we have included those members in this study.

As of the June 30, 2016 valuation date, Airport Peace Officers were enrolled in the LACERS Tier 1 plan if they were hired before July 1, 2013, or if they became a member of Tier 2 between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 and were subsequently transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016 (pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134). Airport Peace Officers were enrolled in the LACERS Tier 3 plan if they were hired on or after February 21, 2016. Pursuant to the draft Ordinance upon which this study is based, the APO currently in Tier 1 who would be entitled to the enhanced benefits will remain in the current Tier 1, which also contains other Tier 1 members in all other City departments. That is, the APO Tier 1 members receiving enhanced benefits will not be placed in a separate tier. Furthermore, the draft Ordinance states that the APO currently in Tier 3 who will be entitled to the enhanced benefits will also be placed in the current Tier 1, effective January 7, 2018. Note that, even though APO Tier 1 and Tier 3 members will be placed in the current Tier 1 with the other Tier 1 members in all other City departments, throughout the remainder of this report we have used the term “APO tier” to represent just the APO members in the combined Tier 1 group that are entitled to the enhanced benefits (i.e., the APO subgroup of the whole Tier 1 group that is included in this study).

- Note that any inactive vested members, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, and any members hired after June 30, 2016, are not included in this study. The adjustments we have made to account for expected terminations (including retirements, disabilities, deaths, deferred vested retirements, and refunds) between the June 30, 2016 valuation date and the January 7, 2018 LAFPP transfer date have been noted at the point where those adjustments were made. (In order to simplify the calculations, we have only adjusted the projected terminations over an 18-month period.) The demographic profile of the current Airport Peace Officers used in this study is provided in subsection 2B.

- Current APO members can elect to remain at LACERS and receive the enhanced benefits described in the draft Ordinance, or they can elect to purchase all of their past LACERS service and transfer that service to LAFPP Tier 6. At this time, we do not know specifically which members will elect to remain at LACERS and will be eligible for the enhanced benefits. In prior studies similar to this, we made the assumption that members with lower service would be most likely to purchase their past LACERS service and transfer to LAFPP, with the higher service members likely to remain at LACERS due to the higher cost to those members of purchasing their past service.
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Accordingly, as we have previously discussed with the City, we have shown a range of costs for the enhanced LACERS benefits in this report based on including either all APO members (Scenario 1) or only APO members with 5 or more years of service (Scenario 2).

For purposes of this study, we have determined the costs associated with transferring all of the current Airport Peace Officers from Tier 1 (i.e., after the APO Tier 3 members have been included in Tier 1 with APO Tier 1 members) to the new APO tier. We have also provided in subsections 2C-1 and 2C-2 the Normal Costs before and after they were re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers are already enrolled in Tier 1. As shown on page 16 for the retirement and health plans, under Scenario 1 there is a difference between the combined Tiers 1 and 3 employer Normal Cost rate of 8.42% versus the 8.45% Normal Cost rate re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers were already enrolled in Tier 1. However, under Scenario 2, there is no difference in the 8.25% combined Tiers 1 and 3 employer Normal Cost rate and the re-calculated Normal Cost rate shown on page 17, as there are no Tier 3 members under Scenario 2.

It is beyond the scope of this study and, hence, we have excluded from our analysis the cost associated with providing LAFPP Tier 6 benefits for those APO members who elect to transfer out of LACERS. For a discussion of that cost, please refer to our letter dated July 7, 2016.

In the on-going annual valuations, the City is paying the same Normal Cost contribution rates for all active members within their respective Tier, rates calculated based on the demographic profile of current active employees covered in all City departments. As a result, there is a pooling of actuarial experience, especially the average age at entry into LACERS, in determining the Normal Cost rates. For comparison purposes, we have re-calculated the employer and employee Normal Cost contribution rates for the pension and the retiree health plans based on only the data for the Airport Peace Officers included in this study under the current benefit formulas for Tiers 1 and 3, and compared these re-calculated rates with the Normal Cost contribution rates under the proposed APO tier.

For the retirement plan, as we have shown on page 16, the employer Normal Cost rates developed in the June 30, 2016 valuation report based on employees covered in all departments were 6.74% for Tier 1 and 3.85% for Tier 3, payable at the beginning of the year. The re-calculated employer Normal Cost rates for only the Airport Peace Officers included in this study were 5.46% for Tier 1 and 2.51% for Tier 3 under Scenario 1 (all APO members), and 5.41% for Tier 1 under Scenario 2 (only APO members with 5 or more years of service; there were no Tier 3 APO members with 5 or more years of service, so no Tier 3 normal cost rate has been provided under this Scenario). The re-calculated employee Normal Cost rates for the Airport Peace Officers were 10.60% under both Scenarios 1 and 2. (This employee rate has been discounted with interest to the beginning of the year. Before discounting, the rate is 11.00% for both Tier 1 and Tier 3.) The lower employer Normal Cost rates calculated for the Airport Peace Officers is mainly attributable to the lower ages at entry, on average, for the Airport Peace Officers in comparison to employees in all City departments.

On an aggregate basis, the re-calculated, combined Tier 1 and Tier 3 employer Normal Cost rate for only the Airport Peace Officers was 5.45% under Scenario 1 and 5.41% under Scenario 2. The re-calculated employer Normal Cost rate for all Airport Peace Officers included in this study after treating all Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1 employees was 5.47% under Scenario 1 and 5.41% under Scenario 2.
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Similarly for the health plan, we have shown on page 16 that on an aggregate basis the re-calculated combined Tier 1 and Tier 3 employer Normal Cost rate for the Airport Peace Officers only was 2.97% under Scenario 1. The re-calculated employer Normal Cost rate for all Airport Peace Officers included in this study after treating all Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1 employees was 2.98%, under Scenario 1. Under Scenario 2, the combined Tier 1 and Tier 3 employer Normal Cost rate was 2.84% and the re-calculated Tier 1 employer Normal Cost rate was also 2.84%.

- In addition to the employer contribution rates provided in Section 2, subsections D-1 and D-2, it is anticipated that the employer would have to continue to contribute the same Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) rates of 15.44% and 1.43% of total payroll for the pension and health plans, respectively, that were determined in the June 30, 2016 valuation. This is because the UAAL rates were determined as a level percent of pay including payrolls for all current active members plus new entrants who entered LACERS after June 30, 2016.

- The draft Ordinance mentions that an APO member who elects to remain at LACERS and who would be eligible for the enhanced benefits “…shall be responsible for making a lump sum mandatory additional contribution payment of $5,700 to LACERS…before January 8, 2019…” The Ordinance further mentions that “if an Airport Peace Officer Member fails to complete this $5,700 payment before January 8, 2019, or prior to his or her retirement date, whichever is earlier, the Member shall forfeit eligibility for the enhanced benefits…”

For purposes of this study, we have assumed that all APO members who elect to remain at LACERS prior to the January 7, 2018 LAFPP transfer date will make their $5,700 lump sum contributions on that date, as long as they have not exited the workforce before then. This is consistent with the timing we have utilized in adjusting the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) to reflect APO members exiting the workforce prior to the LAFPP transfer date. Note that there could be some small additional cost if, instead, all $5,700 payments were to be made at the end of the one-year period on January 8, 2019. Based on the actuarial assumptions used in this study, we estimate that out of the original 530 APO members as of June 30, 2016 under Scenario 1, approximately 483 members are anticipated to remain active as of January 7, 2018. Based on a $5,700 payment from each of these remaining members, we have estimated that a lump sum amount of about $2,754,000 would be due to LACERS as of January 7, 2018. This amount is provided at the end of subsection D-1 of this report. In the chart that is shown at the beginning of subsection D-1, we have discounted this amount with interest to the June 30, 2016 valuation date in order to present costs all as of the June 30, 2016 valuation date.

Similarly, under Scenario 2, we anticipate that about 417 of the original 453 APO members as of June 30, 2016 will remain in active service as of January 7, 2018. This equates to a lump sum amount of about $2,373,000 as of January 7, 2018 due on account of these remaining members. This amount is provided at the end of subsection D-2 of this report.

---

1 Assumes contributions are made at the beginning of the year.
2 That adjustment is referenced in the Retirement Plan Costs subsection of Section 2A in this report.
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- Increases in the UAAL rates due to changes in benefit provisions and/or actuarial assumptions are discussed in Section 2 of this report. When we calculate the increase in UAAL to reflect the benefit improvements offered under the proposed APO tier, we have separately identified (1) the contributions required to be made by the Department of Airports (which equals the difference between the Tier 1 and Tier 3 Normal Cost rates adjusted with interest at the assumed earnings rate of 7.50%) to upgrade the Tier 3 benefit to the Tier 1 benefit for the members expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018 and (2) the lump sum amount expected to be paid by January 7, 2018 of $5,700 per employee for the Tier 1 members (including those that will convert to Tier 1 from Tier 3) expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018. Item (2), regarding the $5,700 lump sum contribution per remaining employee, has been deducted from the UAAL contribution rate calculation. Item (1), regarding the transfer of Tier 3 APO members to Tier 1, does not need to be deducted from the UAAL contribution rate calculation, since our starting point for cost comparison assumes that all APO members are already in the Tier 1 plan. We have separately identified the cost of that Tier 3 to Tier 1 transfer at the end of subsection D-1, under Scenario 1. Note that there is no additional cost for Item (1) under Scenario 2, since there were no Tier 3 APO members under that Scenario.

- Even though there are no proposed changes in the health plan benefits (as mentioned later in the Benefit Provisions subsection of Section 1), we have determined there to be additional health plan costs. These additional costs are attributable to the changes in the actuarial assumptions used for this study (to anticipate earlier retirement because of the enhanced retirement benefit) as discussed in the Assumptions and Methodologies subsection of Section 1.
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ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGIES

Most of the actuarial assumptions used in this study are the same as those adopted by the Retirement Board for use in the June 30, 2016 valuations.

Under the current pension formula for Tier 1, normal retirement age to receive an unreduced retirement benefit is based on attaining the earlier of: (1) age 55 with 30 years of City service, (2) age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service, or (3) age 70. A subsidized, reduced early retirement benefit is paid for those members attaining age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service or any age (under 55) with 30 years of City service. The reduction is 1.5% for each year of retirement between 55 and 60 plus 3.0% for each year of retirement before age 55.

The current retirement rates (probabilities) for Tier 1 are structured to anticipate lower incidences of retirement for members who have not yet attained age 55 with 30 years of service but can retire with a reduced early pension benefit. The current rates also use relatively higher retirement rates for members after they attain age 55 with 30 years of service since they can receive an unreduced pension benefit.

As discussed in the following Benefit Provisions subsection, we have been requested to estimate the cost impact of an enhanced level of benefits for Airport Peace Officers within LACERS Tier 1. When we prepared similar studies in the past, we retained the current structure of having two sets of retirement assumptions for members with and without 30 years of service, since normal and early retirement eligibility remained unchanged. Each of the retirement rates for the APO tier were increased by a flat 1% over the rates currently utilized for Tier 1 members, to reflect the higher level of retirement benefits under that proposed tier.

The detailed retirement rates under the APO tier are provided in Section 3, Exhibit I.

Currently for Tier 1 and Tier 3 members, future inactive vested participants are assumed to begin collecting pension benefits at the later of age 58 or the current attained age. We have maintained that assumption under the APO tier, since the same normal and early retirement eligibility provisions apply under that tier. We have also left all the termination rates unchanged under the APO tier, similar to the assumptions we made in our earlier studies for Options B and E back in 2015 and 2016.

For the LACERS Tier 1 and Tier 3 plans, there is no distinction made between service-connected disability and non-service connected disability benefits, whereas a distinction is made between those two types of disability benefits under the APO tier. Due to this distinction, we made an assumption regarding the proportion of disabilities assumed to be service connected and non-service connected. This is discussed further in the following Benefit Provisions subsection. Note, however, that we have not made any changes to the rates

3 The retirement rates utilized in this study for the APO tier are the same rates used in our Option B and Option E reports dated September 28, 2015 and April 5, 2016, respectively, since both of those studies were based on a 2.3% service retirement factor as well.
of disability for this study, since no experience is available under either the non-enhanced or the enhanced disability benefit formulas for just the APO members. This is consistent with our past practice in pooling the disability experience of all LACERS members in developing the disability rates for various City departments.
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BENEFIT PROVISIONS

➤ Comparison of Benefits

A comparison of the major benefit provisions under the current Tier 1 and the proposed APO tier is provided in Section 3, Exhibit II.

The transfer of existing Airport Peace Officers into the APO tier is based on the election of each member, and Tier 3 members electing to remain at LACERS would have their past service converted to Tier 1. APO employees who remain at LACERS would be responsible for a mandatory lump sum additional contribution of $5,700 to be paid before January 8, 2019 (or prior to their retirement date, if earlier) in order to receive the APO tier enhanced benefits.

➤ Eligibility for Service Retirement

Under the current Tier 1 pension formula, normal retirement age to receive an unreduced retirement benefit is based on attaining the earlier of: (1) age 55 with 30 years of City service, (2) age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service, or (3) age 70. A subsidized, reduced early retirement benefit is paid for those members attaining age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service or any age (under 55) with 30 years of City service. The reduction is 1.5% for each year of retirement between 55 and 60 plus 3.0% for each year of retirement before age 55.

The same provisions discussed above apply to the APO tier.

➤ Service Retirement Benefit

The current Tier 1 pension formula is \( \text{Retirement Factor (2.16\%) } \times \text{Final Average Compensation } \times \text{Service Credit } \times \text{Early Retirement Reduction Factor (age based)} \). The maximum pension benefit is 100% of Final Average Compensation.

Under the APO tier, the pension formula is \( \text{Retirement Factor (2.30\%) } \times \text{Final Average Compensation } \times \text{Service Credit } \times \text{Early Retirement Reduction Factor (age based)} \). The maximum pension benefit is 100% of Final Average Compensation.

The same Early Retirement Reduction Factors that are used for the current Tier 1 also apply to the APO tier. Retirement Factors at sample ages, with the Early Retirement Reduction Factor applied, are provided below for the current Tier 1 and the APO tier.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.35%</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Disability Retirement Benefits

For the LACERS Tier 1 plan, there is no distinction between service-connected disability and non-service connected disability benefits.

Under the APO tier, there are no age or service requirements for service connected disability eligibility. For non-service connected disability benefits, members are eligible after five years of service. The service connected disability benefit is 30% to 90% of Final Average Compensation depending on the severity of the disability, with a minimum of 2% of Final Average Compensation per year of Service Credit. The non-service connected disability benefit is 30% to 50% of Final Average Compensation depending on the severity of the disability.

For cost purposes, we have assumed that 90% of disability retirements are service connected and the remaining 10% are non-service connected. This is the same assumption currently utilized in the LAFPP valuation. In addition, for all disability benefits we have assumed that the benefit calculated using the service retirement formula would be paid if the member is eligible and that benefit is greater than that calculated under the disability retirement formula.

---

4 The current disability benefit is the greater of 1/3 (or 33.33%) of Final Average Compensation or 1/70 (or 1.43%) of Final Average Compensation for each year of service.
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➢ Survivor Benefits
Under the APO tier, there is a distinction for pre- and post-retirement death benefits depending on whether the pre-retirement death or the member’s original disability retirement prior to death is classified as service connected or non-service connected.

➢ Member Contribution Rates
Effective July 1, 2011, the member normal contribution rate became 7% for all Tier 1 employees. The 7% member rate will be paid until June 30, 2026 or until the Early Retirement Incentive Program (ERIP) Cost Obligation defined in Ordinance 180926 is fully funded (whichever comes first). The member normal contribution rate will drop down to 6% afterwards. Beginning January 1, 2013, all non-represented Tier 1 members and Tier 1 members in certain bargaining groups are required to pay an additional 4% member contribution rate to defray the cost of providing a Retiree Medical Plan premium subsidy (this additional rate has increased to 4.5% for certain members). Currently, all Tier 1 (and Tier 3) APO members are paying a rate of 11%. We have assumed that they will continue to pay the same 11% rate under the APO tier.

APO employees who remain at LACERS would be responsible for a mandatory lump sum additional contribution of $5,700 to be paid before January 8, 2019 (or prior to their retirement date, if earlier) in order to receive the APO tier enhanced benefits.

Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay). Again, we have assumed under the APO tier that members will continue paying the member rates they are currently paying. We have continued to assume that the member normal contribution rate for the APO tier will drop down to 6% after June 30, 2026 or until the ERIP Cost Obligation is fully paid, whichever comes first.

➢ Health Benefits
There are no proposed changes in health plan benefits relative to the current Tier 1. The changes in the employer Normal Cost rates for the proposed health plans, as shown in Section 2, take into account the changes in the service retirement rates assumed for this study.

---

5 Under the current Tier 1 plan, there is a distinction between the eligibility for service-connected death benefits and non-service connected death benefits in that for a service-connected death, the eligible survivor is eligible for a disability survivorship benefit even if the member did not have five years of continuous service.
A. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Adjustment to APO Members as of June 30, 2016 to Anticipate Those Expected to Remain in Employment as of January 7, 2018

• It is our understanding that under the proposed Ordinance, only active APO members (such as the 530 and 453 that we started with in our projections as of June 30, 2016 for Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively) who remain active as of January 7, 2018 would be eligible for the enhanced benefits.

• In order to estimate the number of active APO members as of January 7, 2018, we applied the actuarial assumptions used in this study to predict expected terminations (including retirements\(^6\), disabilities, deaths, deferred vested retirements, and refunds). We then excluded the approximate payroll and increase in UAAL from those expected to terminate over an approximate 18-month period following June 30, 2016 from this calculation.

Retirement Plan Costs

Normal Cost

• As shown in subsection B, the average entry age of the Airport Peace Officers included in this study (approximately age 29 under either Scenario 1 or Scenario 2) is about five years younger than the average entry age of all City employees included in the June 30, 2016 valuations for LACERS (approximately age 34). Generally, under the Entry Age actuarial cost method used by LACERS, a lower age at entry leads to a lower Normal Cost calculation.

• For the June 30, 2016 valuations, the Normal Cost rates were calculated based on the combined demographics of all City employees (including the Airport Peace Officers included in this study). However, for purposes of this study, we have established a baseline for cost comparison by calculating the separate Tier 1 and Tier 3 Normal Cost rates based only on the demographics of the Airport Peace Officers under the current LACERS benefit formulas, and we have aggregated those results. As shown in subsections C-1 and C-2 (pages 16 and 17), the Normal Cost rates calculated based on the demographics for Airport Peace Officers only are lower than the rates previously determined for all City employees, due to the lower average entry ages.

• As of the June 30, 2016 valuation date, Airport Peace Officers were enrolled in LACERS’ Tier 1 plan if hired before July 1, 2013, or if they became a member of Tier 2 between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 and were subsequently transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016 (pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134). For purposes of this study, we have included the normal costs associated with transferring all the current Airport Peace Officers from Tier 1 to the APO tier under the enhanced benefits, and we

\(^6\) As we have used the expected retirement assumptions used in this study under the enhanced benefit formula, we also tested the difference in the number of retirements anticipated under the enhanced and the non-enhanced benefit formulas over the 18-month period used in the projection. We do not deem the difference of 1 to 2 in expected retirements to be very significant.
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have reported separately the costs associated with treating all Tier 3 Peace Officers as Tier 1 employees. As shown on page 16, the employer Normal Cost rate increase associated with having all affected Peace Officers in Tier 1 is about 0.03% of pay (19.05% - 19.02%)\(^7\), under Scenario 1.

AAL and UAAL

- In addition to the changes in the Normal Costs discussed above, we have also determined the increase in the actuarial accrued liability (AAL).\(^8\) In order to fund the increase in the AAL for both of the Scenarios, we have presented the City with two illustrations. In the first illustration, we amortize the increase in the AAL over a 15-year period, which is the current amortization period used by LACERS for plan changes, expressed as a percentage of total Airport Peace Officer pay after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months. In the second illustration, we amortize the increase in the AAL over a 15-year period, expressed as a percentage of total LACERS pay for all members in all City departments. These additional AAL costs would need to be paid along with the additional Normal Costs discussed above.

- Increases in the UAAL rates due to changes in benefit provisions and/or actuarial assumptions are discussed in Section 2 of this report. When we calculate the increase in UAAL to reflect the benefit improvements offered under the proposed APO tier, we have separately identified (1) the contributions required to be made by the Department of Airports (which equals the difference between the Tier 1 and Tier 3 Normal Cost rates adjusted with interest at the assumed earnings rate of 7.50%) to upgrade the Tier 3 benefit to the Tier 1 benefit for the members expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018 and (2) the lump sum amount expected to be paid by January 7, 2018 of $5,700 per employee for the Tier 1 members (including those that will convert to Tier 1 from Tier 3) expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018. Item (2), regarding the $5,700 lump sum contribution per remaining employee, has been deducted from the UAAL contribution rate calculation. Item (1), regarding the transfer of Tier 3 APO members to Tier 1, does not need to be deducted from the UAAL contribution rate calculation, since our starting point for cost comparison assumes that all APO members are already in the Tier 1 plan. We have separately identified the cost of that Tier 3 to Tier 1 transfer at the end of subsection D-1, under Scenario 1. Note that there is no additional cost for Item (1) under Scenario 2, since there were no Tier 3 APO members under that Scenario.

\(^7\) In addition to the changes in Normal Cost rates discussed above, classifying future new employees hired as Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1 members means the potential Tier 3 Normal Cost savings, as current Airport Peace Officers in Tier 1 leave and are replaced by future Airport Peace Officers in Tier 3, will not materialize.

\(^8\) For active members, the actuarial accrued liability is the accumulated value of the past Normal Costs, assuming that the same Normal Cost rate (expressed as a level percentage of payroll) has always been contributed from the member’s age at entry into LACERS through the date of this valuation as of June 30, 2016.
SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS
Valuation Results

➤ Health Plan Costs

- As noted in the Benefit Provisions subsection of Section 1, there are no proposed changes in health plan benefits. However, as observed in this Section 2, we have calculated changes in the Normal Cost rates under both Scenarios, due to the changes in the service retirement rates assumed for this study.

➤ Summary of Total Additional Costs

- Details on the additional costs under Scenarios 1 and 2, separately and combined for the retirement and the health plans, are provided in Section 2, subsections D-1 and D-2, respectively. Below we have provided a summary of the results for both plans combined, which are also shown in those subsections.

- It is beyond the scope of this study and, hence, we have excluded from our analysis the cost associated with providing LAFPP Tier 6 benefits for those APO members who elect to transfer out of LACERS. For a discussion of that cost, please refer to our letter dated July 7, 2016.
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### Valuation Results

#### Additional Costs

*Contribution rates are payable at the beginning of the year; expressed as a % of payroll*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario 1 – All APO Members</th>
<th>Scenario 2 – Only APO Members with 5 or more Years of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Retirement Factor of 2.30% with Death and Disability Benefits Identical to LAFPP Tier 6</td>
<td>Normal Retirement Factor of 2.30% with Death and Disability Benefits Identical to LAFPP Tier 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Payroll</th>
<th>Annual Amount(^{(1)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 1 – All APO Members</strong></td>
<td><strong>Scenario 2 – Only APO Members with 5 or more Years of Service</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23% (^{(2)})</td>
<td>2.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23%</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23%</td>
<td>2.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23%</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Annual Amount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,032,229</td>
<td>$929,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirement and Health Plans Combined</strong></td>
<td><strong>Retirement and Health Plans Combined</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Increase in Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>2.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increase in Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>2.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>$22,884,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td>$3,779,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Mandatory $5,700 Contribution Per Remaining Employee(^{(3)})</td>
<td>$2,470,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Net AAL (4a) - (4b) - (4c)</td>
<td>$16,633,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay(^{(4)})</td>
<td>2.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay(^{(4)})</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Based on projected fiscal year 2016/2017 payroll after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months ($46,288,290 Scenario 1; $42,040,696 Scenario 2), except for item 5(b) which is based on payroll for all LACERS members in all City departments ($1,968,702,630).

\(^{(2)}\) Excludes the cost of classifying current Tier 3 Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1.

\(^{(3)}\) Discounted to June 30, 2016.

\(^{(4)}\) We have presented two illustrations for paying off the additional AAL associated with these Scenarios.

Note that under Scenario 1, there is an additional cost to the costs shown above associated with upgrading the Tier 3 benefit to the Tier 1 benefit for the members expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018. We have estimated the lump sum contribution amount for that upgrade to be about $14,600 as of January 7, 2018.
**SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS**

**Valuation Results**

---

*Scenarios 1 and 2*

- The increase in the Normal Cost rate under Scenarios 1 and 2 is due to (a) the increase in the benefit level for the retirement plan, and (b) the changes in the assumed service retirement rates.

- As illustrated above, there is an increase in the AAL under these Scenarios. The increase in the AAL is due (a) the changes in the assumed service retirement rates, and (b) the assumption that the higher Normal Cost rates calculated under these Scenarios have been charged from the dates of entry into LACERS for the members included in the study to the date of this valuation, rather than the actual Normal Cost rates that have been charged over that period based on the current Tier 1 and Tier 3 plans.

- As we have mentioned throughout this report, the contribution rates provided herein assume that all contributions will be paid at the beginning of the year. If it is desired to consider results based on different timing for payment of contributions, such as July 15 or at the end of each pay period (similar to the timing scenarios utilized in the LACERS valuations), then the contribution rates provided herein will have to be adjusted. We will provide the adjustment factors if requested to do so by LACERS.

---

*This assumption is an intrinsic feature of LACERS’ Entry Age Cost Method and the setting of the Normal Cost rates as a level percentage of payroll.*
SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS
Valuation Results

B. Demographics as of June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Members in Valuation*:</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Tiers 1 and 3 Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 1: All Airport Peace Officers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average entry age</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average employment service</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average attained age</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total compensation for FY 2016/2017, assuming each employee stays in employment during the entire fiscal year</td>
<td>$50,441,047</td>
<td>$313,657</td>
<td>$50,754,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected average compensation</td>
<td>$96,262</td>
<td>$52,276</td>
<td>$95,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total compensation for FY 2016/2017, after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,288,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scenario 2: Only Airport Peace Officers with 5 or more Years of Service</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average entry age</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average employment service</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average attained age</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total compensation for FY 2016/2017, assuming each employee stays in employment during the entire fiscal year</td>
<td>$45,746,133</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$45,746,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected average compensation</td>
<td>$100,985</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$100,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected total compensation for FY 2016/2017, after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$42,040,696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The data used for this study is from the June 30, 2016 valuation data, and it includes data for three non-represented members plus members hired under the following Bargaining Units only: 30 (L. A. Airport Peace Officers), 39 (L. A. Airport Supervisory Peace Officers), and 40 (Airport Peace Command Officers).

** Based on the actuarial assumptions utilized for the enhanced benefits.
SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS

Valuation Results

C-1. Current Normal Cost Contribution Rates and Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2016 – All APO Members (Scenario 1)

Contribution rates payable at the beginning of the year, expressed as a % of payroll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Paid by the City for all City Departments</th>
<th>Based on Demographic Profile of Airport Peace Officers Only</th>
<th>Re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers are Enrolled in Tier 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>17.35%</td>
<td>16.06%</td>
<td>16.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>14.45%</td>
<td>13.11%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions (1)</td>
<td>-10.61%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>6.74%</td>
<td>5.46%</td>
<td>5.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>$189,041,953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Plan</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
<td>2.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
<td>2.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
<td>$32,908,389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>20.82%</td>
<td>19.02%</td>
<td>19.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>18.26%</td>
<td>17.68%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-10.61%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>10.21%</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
<td>8.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
<td>7.08%</td>
<td>$221,950,342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rates for contributions made at the end of each pay period are actually 11.01% (Tier 1, All City Departments), 11.00% (Tier 3, All City Departments), 11.00% (Tier 1, Airport Peace Officers Only), 11.00% (Tier 3, Airport Peace Officers Only), and 11.00% (Combined, Airport Peace Officers Only).

As shown above, classifying current Tier 3 Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1 members has increased the total (retirement and health plan) employer and employee Normal Cost rate by 0.03% of pay (i.e., from 19.02% to 19.05%). In addition, future new employees hired as Airport Peace Officers will be enrolled in the LAFPP Tier 6 plan instead of the LACERS Tier 1 plan. It is also beyond the scope of this study and, hence, we have excluded from our analysis the cost associated with providing LAFPP Tier 6 benefits to those future new employees. For a discussion of that cost, please refer to our letter dated July 7, 2016.
**SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS**

**C-2. Current Normal Cost Contribution Rates and Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2016 – Only APO Members with 5 or more Years of Service (Scenario 2)**

*Contribution rates payable at the beginning of the year, expressed as a % of payroll*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Paid by the City for all City Departments</th>
<th>Based on Demographic Profile of Airport Peace Officers Only</th>
<th>Re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers are Enrolled in Tier 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>17.35%</td>
<td>14.45%</td>
<td>16.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions(1)</td>
<td>-10.61%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>6.74%</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
<td>5.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Paid by the City for all City Departments</th>
<th>Based on Demographic Profile of Airport Peace Officers Only</th>
<th>Re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers are Enrolled in Tier 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Paid by the City for all City Departments</th>
<th>Based on Demographic Profile of Airport Peace Officers Only</th>
<th>Re-calculated as if all Airport Peace Officers are Enrolled in Tier 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>20.82%</td>
<td>18.26%</td>
<td>18.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-10.61%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>10.21%</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Discounted to beginning of year. The average employee rates for contributions made at the end of each pay period are actually 11.01% (Tier 1, All City Departments), 11.00% (Tier 3, All City Departments), 11.00% (Tier 1, Airport Peace Officers Only), and 11.00% (Combined, Airport Peace Officers Only).
D-1. Comparison of Costs – All APO Members (Scenario 1)

*Contribution rates payable at the beginning of the year, expressed as a % of payroll*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Current Benefit Formula</th>
<th>New Benefit Formula</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>16.07%</td>
<td>18.22%</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions&lt;sup&gt;(2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>5.47%</td>
<td>7.62%</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)</td>
<td>$189,041,953</td>
<td>$210,981,739</td>
<td>$21,939,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Net AAL (4a) - (4b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>2.98%</td>
<td>3.06%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>2.98%</td>
<td>3.06%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>$32,908,389</td>
<td>$33,852,948</td>
<td>$944,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Net AAL (4a) - (4b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00% &lt;sup&gt;(4)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>19.05%</td>
<td>21.28%</td>
<td>2.23% &lt;sup&gt;(5)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>8.45%</td>
<td>10.68%</td>
<td>2.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>$221,950,342</td>
<td>$244,834,687</td>
<td>$22,884,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Mandatory $5,700 Contribution Per Remaining Employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Net AAL (4a) - (4b) - (4c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on projected fiscal year 2016/2017 payroll of $46,288,290 after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months, except for item 5(b) which is based on payroll for all LACERS members in all City departments ($1,968,702,630).

<sup>(2)</sup> The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 11.00% for both the current and new formulas.

<sup>(3)</sup> We have presented two illustrations for paying off the additional AAL associated with this Scenario.

<sup>(4)</sup> Less than 0.01%.

<sup>(5)</sup> Excludes the cost of classifying current Tier 3 Airport Peace Officers as Tier 1 that was noted on the bottom of page 15 (i.e., 0.03% of pay) as that cost is already included under the current benefit formula.

<sup>(6)</sup> Discounted to June 30, 2016. The value of this amount as of January 7, 2018 is approximately $2,754,000.
SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS
Valuation Results

D-1. Comparison of Costs – All APO Members (Scenario 1; continued)

In addition to the employer contribution rates provided above, it is anticipated that the employer would continue to contribute the same UAAL rates of 15.44% and 1.43% of total payroll for the pension and health plans\(^\text{10}\), respectively, that were determined in the June 30, 2016 valuation. This is because the UAAL rates were determined as a level percent of pay including payrolls for all current members plus new entrants who entered LACERS after June 30, 2016.

As discussed in Section 1, we have also estimated the contributions required to be made by the Department of Airports to upgrade the Tier 3 benefit to the Tier 1 benefit for the members expected to continue in employment as APO and elect to remain at LACERS on January 7, 2018. This lump sum contribution amount equals the difference between the Tier 1 and Tier 3 Normal Cost rates, adjusted with interest at the assumed earnings rate of 7.50%. Based on the data provided for the June 30, 2016 valuation for the 6 active Tier 3 APO members as of that date, we have estimated the lump sum contribution amount to be about $14,600. This amount can be broken down as $15,600 for the Retirement Plan and negative $1,000 for the Health Plan. The Health Plan figure is actually a negative amount since the Tier 3 Health Plan Normal Cost rates have been higher than the Tier 1 Normal Cost rates over the period from February 21, 2016 (the inception date of Tier 3) through January 7, 2018.

Finally, we also discussed in Section 1 that we would provide in this subsection the lump sum contribution amount on January 7, 2018 representing a total payment of $5,700 from every Airport Peace Officer Member who elected to remain in LACERS as of that date. Based on the actuarial assumptions used in this study, we have estimated that a lump sum amount of about $2,754,000 would be due to LACERS as of January 7, 2018. In the chart shown on the previous page, we have discounted this amount with interest to the June 30, 2016 valuation date in order to present costs on that page all as of the June 30, 2016 valuation date.

\(^{10}\) Assumes contributions made at the beginning of the year.
SECTION 2: Proposed Enhanced Benefits for Airport Peace Officers Who Elect to Remain at LACERS
Valuation Results

D-2. Comparison of Costs – Only APO Members with 5 or more Years of Service (Scenario 2)

Contribution rates payable at the beginning of the year, expressed as a % of payroll

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Current Benefit Formula</th>
<th>New Benefit Formula</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>16.01%</td>
<td>18.14%</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions(2)</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>5.41%</td>
<td>7.54%</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)</td>
<td>$187,533,717</td>
<td>$209,271,784</td>
<td>$21,738,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,587,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Net AAL (4a) - (4b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,150,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Plan</th>
<th>Current Benefit Formula</th>
<th>New Benefit Formula</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (a) Actuarial Accrued Liability</td>
<td>$32,589,257</td>
<td>$33,520,467</td>
<td>$931,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$199,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Net AAL (4a) - (4b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$732,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Current Benefit Formula</th>
<th>New Benefit Formula</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
<td>% of Payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Normal Cost</td>
<td>18.85%</td>
<td>21.06%</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Expected Employee Contributions</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>-10.60%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Normal Cost: (1) + (2)</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>10.46%</td>
<td>2.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reduction in AAL due to Exiting Workforce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,786,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Mandatory $5,700 Contribution Per Remaining Employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,128,863 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Net AAL (4a) - (4b) - (4c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,753,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (a) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / APO Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 15-Yr Amortization of AAL / Total Pay(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Based on projected fiscal year 2016/2017 payroll of $42,040,696 after accounting for APO members expected to exit the workforce according to the actuarial assumptions over the next 18 months, except for item 5(b) which is based on payroll for all LACERS members in all City departments ($1,968,702,630).

(2) The average employee rate for contributions made at the end of each pay period is actually 11.00% for both the current and new formulas.

(3) We have presented two illustrations for paying off the additional AAL associated with this Scenario.

(4) Less than 0.01%.

(5) Discounted to June 30, 2016. The value of this amount as of January 7, 2018 is approximately $2,373,000.
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D-2. Comparison of Costs – Only APO Members with 5 or more Years of Service (Scenario 2; continued)

In addition to the employer contribution rates provided above, it is anticipated that the employer would continue to contribute the same UAAL rates of 15.44% and 1.43% of total payroll for the pension and health plans\(^\text{11}\), respectively, that were determined in the June 30, 2016 valuation. This is because the UAAL rates were determined as a level percent of pay including payrolls for all current members plus new entrants who entered LACERS after June 30, 2016.

We discussed in Section 1 that we would provide in this subsection the lump sum contribution amount on January 7, 2018 representing a total payment of $5,700 from every Airport Peace Officer Member who elected to remain in LACERS as of that date. Based on the actuarial assumptions used in this study, we have estimated that a lump sum amount of about $2,373,000 would be due to LACERS as of January 7, 2018. In the chart shown on the previous page, we have discounted this amount with interest to the June 30, 2016 valuation date in order to present costs on that page all as of the June 30, 2016 valuation date.

\(^{11}\) Assumes contributions made at the beginning of the year.
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EXHIBIT I
Actuarial Assumptions for Current and Proposed Benefits

Actuarial Assumptions: The service retirement assumptions used in determining the results under the current and the proposed tiers are shown on the following page. We have also shown the service connected disability, non-service connected disability, and pre-retirement death benefit assumptions under the APO tier.

Except as noted in this Exhibit, all other actuarial assumptions are the same as those adopted by the Retirement Board for use in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation.
## Retirement Rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-55/30</td>
<td>55/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Connected Disability Benefits:</th>
<th>Years of Service</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APO Tier:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20</td>
<td>55% of Final Average Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30</td>
<td>65% of Final Average Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 30</td>
<td>75% of Final Average Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breakdown of Types of Disability Retirement: 90% of disabilities are assumed to be service connected. The other 10% are assumed to be non-service connected.

Non-Service Connected Disability Benefits:

| **APO Tier:** | 40% of Final Average Compensation. |

Pre-retirement Death Benefits:

| **APO Tier:** | 100% of pre-retirement death benefits are assumed to be service connected. |
EXHIBIT II  
Plan Summary for Current and Proposed Benefits

Plan Provisions: In the following table, we have provided a high-level comparison of the pertinent benefits for the proposed APO tier. Unless included in the table, all the other plan provisions are assumed to be the same as those used in the June 30, 2016 valuation for Tier 1 members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Design</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Current members can elect to remain at LACERS under the APO tier of benefits or purchase their past LACERS service and transfer to LAFPP Tier 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Formula (Normal Retirement Age)</td>
<td>Retirement Factor * Final Average Compensation * Service Credit</td>
<td>Retirement Factor * Final Average Compensation * Service Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Factor</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Average (Monthly) Compensation</td>
<td>Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 12 months (one year); includes base salary plus regularly assigned bonuses or premium pay.</td>
<td>Equivalent of monthly average salary of highest continuous 12 months (one year); includes base salary plus regularly assigned bonuses or premium pay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Retirement Allowance</td>
<td>100% of Final Average Compensation</td>
<td>100% of Final Average Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Retirement Age and Service Requirement</td>
<td>Age 55 with 30 years of City service; or Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Age 70</td>
<td>Age 55 with 30 years of City service; or Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Age 60 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Age 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Retirement</td>
<td>Age and Service Requirement</td>
<td>Age and Service Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Any age with 30 years of City service</td>
<td>Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service; or Any age with 30 years of City service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Retirement Reduction Factor</td>
<td>3% per year of service before age 55; 1.5% per year of service after age 55</td>
<td>3% per year of service before age 55; 1.5% per year of service after age 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Early Retirement Factors</td>
<td>Age 45: 0.6250  Age 55: 0.9250 Age 50: 0.7750  Age 60: 1.0000</td>
<td>Age 45: 0.6250  Age 55: 0.9250 Age 50: 0.7750  Age 60: 1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesting</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Design</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Deferred Vested Retirement** | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
Age 55 with 30 years of service; or  
Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and 10 years have elapsed from first date of membership; or  
Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service  
**Benefit:**  
Same as for Normal Retirement (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest) | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
Age 55 with 30 years of service; or  
Age 60 with 5 years of continuous City service and 10 years have elapsed from first date of membership; or  
Age 70 with 5 years of continuous City service  
**Benefit:**  
Same as for Normal Retirement (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest) |
| **Service Connected Disability** | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
Age 55 with 5 years of continuous City service and 10 years have elapsed from first date of membership; or  
Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service  
**Benefit:**  
Same as for Early Retirement (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest) | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
Age 55 with 5 years of continuous City service and 10 years have elapsed from first date of membership; or  
Age 55 with 10 years of continuous City service  
**Benefit:**  
Same as for Early Retirement (or refund of contributions and accumulated interest) |
| **Non-Service Connected Disability** | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
5 years of continuous service  
**Benefit:**  
Greater of:  
1/3 of Final Average Compensation, or  
1/70 (1.43%) of Final Average Compensation for each year of service  | **Age and Service Requirement:**  
No age or service requirements  
**Benefit:**  
30% to 90% of Final Average Compensation depending on severity of disability with a minimum of 2% of Final Average Compensation per year of Service Credit |

Disability not caused by the discharge of duties.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Design</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Connected Death or Death after Service Connected Disability</td>
<td><strong>Age and Service Requirement:</strong> For beneficiaries of active members, benefits are based upon member’s eligibility for retirement on the date of death and whether or not the member was enrolled in the Family Death Benefit Plan (FDBP). If service connected death, the eligible surviving spouse is eligible for a disability survivorship even if the member did not have five years of continuous service.</td>
<td><strong>Age and Service Requirement:</strong> None (survivors are not eligible for FDBP benefits if the member was eligible for service retirement or deferred retirement benefits at the time of his/her death)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong></td>
<td>1) Service survivorship (if eligible); or 2) Vested service survivorship on the date the member would have been age 55 and contributions on deposit for 10 years from date of entry; or 3) Disability survivorship and FDBP (if eligible); or 4) Refund of contributions and limited monthly pension (i.e., for every year of service, two monthly payments of ½ of the Final Average Compensation, not to exceed 12 monthly payments for 6 or more years of service) and FDBP (if eligible)</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> 1) Service Connected Death 80% of member’s Final Average Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Service Connected Death w/ less than 5 Years of Service</td>
<td><strong>Age and Service Requirement:</strong> None</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> Return of contributions plus accrued interest, and, if member had at least one year of service, a limited monthly pension (i.e., for every year of service, two monthly payments of ½ of the Final Average Compensation, not to exceed 12 monthly payments for 6 or more years of service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Service Connected Death w/ at least 5 Years of Service</td>
<td><strong>Age and Service Requirement:</strong> Any age with 5 years of service</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> See service connected death benefit above (note: the exception does not apply to non-service connected deaths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death after Non-service Connected Disability or Service Retirement</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> See service connected death benefit above (note: the exception does not apply to non-service connected deaths)</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> 50% of member’s Final Average Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burial Allowance</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> $2,500 for deceased retired member’s beneficiary</td>
<td><strong>Benefit:</strong> $2,500 for deceased retired member’s beneficiary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Design</th>
<th>Current Tier 1</th>
<th>APO Tier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of Living Benefit</strong></td>
<td>Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 3% per year; excess banked</td>
<td>Based on changes to Los Angeles area Consumer Price Index, to a maximum of 3% per year; excess banked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee Contribution Rate</strong></td>
<td>11% (or 11.5% for certain members) until June 30, 2026 or until the ERIP Cost Obligation is fully paid, then 10% (or 10.5% for members currently paying 11.5%).</td>
<td>11% until June 30, 2026 or until the ERIP Cost Obligation is fully paid, then 10%. In addition, APO employees who remain at LACERS would be responsible for a mandatory lump sum additional contribution of $5,700 to be paid before January 8, 2019 (or prior to their retirement date, if earlier) in order to receive the APO tier enhanced benefits. Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay).</td>
<td>Members with no eligible spouse or domestic partner at retirement can request a refund of the survivor portion of the member contributions (i.e., generally based on a contribution rate of 0.5% of pay).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Plan Benefits</strong></td>
<td>Current Tier 1 benefits</td>
<td>Same as for LACERS Tier 1 members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. The cost of service credit purchases (such as back contributions, redeposit, public service buyback, and government service buyback) have not been reflected in the costs provided in this study, under any of the tier provisions listed above.

2. Any costs associated with the Family Death Benefit Plan have not been included in this study.
This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Administration to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Administration and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

Copyright © 2016 by The Segal Group, Inc. All rights reserved.
November 9, 2016

Board of Administration
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016. It contains various information that will need to be disclosed in order to comply with GAS 67.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the System. The census and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by LACERS. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and expectations for the System.

We look forward to reviewing this report with you and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By:  

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Senior Vice President and Actuary

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Actuary
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SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System

Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present certain disclosure information required by Statement No. 67 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board as of June 30, 2016. This valuation is based on:

- The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Administration;
- The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
- Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:

- The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules only define pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices.
- When measuring pension liability, GASB uses the same actuarial cost method (Entry Age) and the same type of discount rate (expected return on assets) as LACERS uses for funding. This means that the Total Pension Liability (TPL) measure for financial reporting shown in this report is determined on the same basis as LACERS’ Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) measure for funding. We note that the same is true for the Normal Cost component of the annual plan cost for funding and financial reporting.
- The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is equal to the difference between the TPL and the Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position. The Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position is equal to the market value of assets and therefore, the NPL measure is the same as the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) calculated on a market value basis. The NPL reflects all investment gains and losses as of the measurement date. This is different from the UAAL calculated on an actuarial value of assets basis in the funding valuation that reflects investment gains and losses over a seven-year period.
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- The NPL increased from $4.99 billion as of June 30, 2015 to $5.62 billion as of June 30, 2016 mainly due to the return on the market value of assets of 0.10% during 2015/2016 that was less than the assumption of 7.50% used in the June 30, 2015 valuation (that loss was about $0.89 billion). Changes in these values during the last two fiscal years ending June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 can be found in Exhibit 3.

- The NPLs measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 have been determined from the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively.

- The discount rate used to determine the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 was 7.50%, following the same assumption used by the System in the pension funding valuations as of the same dates. The detailed calculations used in the derivation of the discount rate of 7.50% used in the calculation of the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2016 can be found in Exhibit 5 of Section 2. Various other information that is required to be disclosed can be found throughout Exhibits 1 through 4 in Section 2.
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Summary of Key Valuation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure elements for fiscal year ending June 30:</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service cost(^{(1)})</td>
<td>$322,574,274</td>
<td>$322,380,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>17,424,996,329</td>
<td>16,909,996,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</td>
<td>11,809,329,415</td>
<td>11,920,570,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>5,615,666,914</td>
<td>4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schedule of contributions for fiscal year ending June 30:

| Actuarially determined contributions              | $440,546,011  | $381,140,923  |
| Actual contributions                               | 440,546,011   | 381,140,923   |
| Contribution deficiency (excess)                  | 0             | 0             |

Demographic data for plan year ending June 30:

| Number of retired members and beneficiaries       | 18,357        | 17,932        |
| Number of vested terminated members\(^{(2)}\)     | 6,895         | 6,507         |
| Number of active members                          | 24,446        | 23,895        |

Key assumptions as of June 30:

| Investment rate of return                         | 7.50%         | 7.50%         |
| Inflation rate                                    | 3.25%         | 3.25%         |
| Projected salary increases\(^{(3)}\)              | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service |

\(^{(1)}\) The service cost is always based on the previous year’s assumptions, meaning the June 30, 2016 value is based on those assumptions shown as of June 30, 2015, whereas the June 30, 2015 value is based on the June 30, 2014 assumptions (which are the same as the June 30, 2015 assumptions shown above).

\(^{(2)}\) Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

\(^{(3)}\) Includes inflation at 3.25% plus real across the board salary increase of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases.
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Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the financing of future projected obligations of a pension plan. It is an estimated forecast – the actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal Consulting (“Segal”) relies on a number of input items. These include:

- **Plan of benefits** Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. Even where they appear precise, outside factors may change how they operate. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan summary included in this report (as well as the plan summary included in our funding valuation report) to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.

- **Participant data** An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the System. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.

- **Assets** This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the System. The System uses an “actuarial value of assets” that differs from market value to gradually reflect year-to-year changes in the market value of assets in determining contribution requirements.

- **Actuarial assumptions** In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, termination, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan’s assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.
The user of Segal’s actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- The actuarial valuation is prepared at the request of the Board to assist the sponsors of the Fund in preparing items related to the pension plan in their financial reports. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.

- An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan’s assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

- If the System is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.

- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal’s valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan’s provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. The Board should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal Consulting has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of the Plan, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to the Retirement System.
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EXHIBIT 1
General Information – “Financial Statements”, Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information for a Single-Employer Pension Plan

Plan Description

Plan administration. The Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System (LACERS) was established by City Charter in 1937. LACERS is a single employer public employee retirement system whose main function is to provide retirement benefits to the civilian employees of the City of Los Angeles.

Under the provisions of the City Charter, the Board of Administration (the "Board") has the responsibility and authority to administer the Plan and to invest its assets. The Board members serve as trustees and must act in the exclusive interest of the Plan's members and beneficiaries. The Board has seven members: four members, one of whom shall be a retired member of the system, shall be appointed by the Mayor subject to the approval of the Council; two members shall be active employee members of the system elected by the active employee members; one shall be a retired member of the system elected by the retired members of the system.

Plan membership. At June 30, 2016, pension plan membership consisted of the following:

- Retired members or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits: 18,357
- Vested terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving benefits: 6,895
- Active members: 24,446
- Total: 49,698

(1) Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

Benefits provided. LACERS provides service retirement, disability, death and survivor benefits to eligible employees. Employees of the City become members of LACERS on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which the employee is not excluded from membership. Members employed prior to July 1, 2013 are designated as Tier 1, and pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016. Those employed on or after February 21, 2016 are designated as Tier 3 (unless a specific exception applies to the employee, providing a right to Tier 1 status).
Tier 1 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit once they attain the age of 70, or the age of 60 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or the age of 55 with 30 or more years of City service. Tier 3 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit at 1.50% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of continuous City service, or at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Tier 1 and 3 members are eligible to retire for disability once they have 5 or more years of continuous service.

Under the Tier 1 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.16% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 1 members reaching age 55 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or with 30 or more years of City service at any age. The Tier 1 early retirement reduction factors, for retirement below age 60, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under the Tier 3 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 3 members prior to reaching age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. The Tier 3 retirement reduction factors at early retirement ages are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55-60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tier 3 members are eligible to retire with an enhanced retirement benefit at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of continuous City service, or at 2.10% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Under Tier 1, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 12-month period (including base salary plus regularly assigned pensionable bonuses or premium pay). Under Tier 3, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 36-month period (limited to base salary and any items of compensation that are designated as pension based). The IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit applies to all employees who began membership in LACERS after June 30, 1996.

For Tier 1 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 100% of the final average monthly compensation. For Tier 3 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 80% of the final average monthly compensation, except when the benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.

In lieu of the service retirement allowance under the Tier 1 and Tier 3 formula (“unmodified option”), the member may choose an optional retirement allowance. The unmodified option provides the highest monthly benefit and a 50% continuance to an eligible surviving spouse or domestic partner for Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. The optional retirement allowances require a reduction in the unmodified option amount in order to allow the member the ability to provide various benefits to a surviving spouse, domestic partner, or named beneficiary.

LACERS provides annual cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) to all retirees. The cost-of-living adjustments are made each July 1 based on the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County Area--All Items For All Urban Consumers. It is capped at 3.0% for Tier 1 and 2.0% for Tier 3.

The City of Los Angeles contributes to the retirement plan based upon actuarially determined contribution rates adopted by the Board of Administration. Employer contribution rates are adopted annually based upon recommendations received from LACERS’ actuary after the completion of the annual actuarial valuation. The combined employer contribution rate as of June 30, 2016 was 23.47% of compensation.¹

All members are required to make contributions to LACERS regardless of the tier in which they are included. Currently, all Tier 1 members contribute at 11.0% or 11.5% of compensation and all Tier 3 members contribute at 11.0% of compensation.

¹ Based on the June 30, 2014 funding valuation (which established funding requirements for fiscal year 2015/2016), and after adjustments to phase in over five years the impact of new actuarial assumptions as a result of the June 30, 2011 Triennial Experience Study on the City’s contributions. Exhibit 4 in Section 2 of this report provides details on how this rate was calculated.
The components of the Net Pension Liability of LACERS are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>$17,424,996,329</td>
<td>$16,909,996,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</td>
<td>$11,809,329,415</td>
<td>$11,920,570,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System’s Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015. The Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets) was valued as of the measurement date, while the Total Pension Liability was determined based upon the results of the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Plan provisions. The plan provisions used in the measurement of the NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 are the same as those used in the LACERS funding valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Actuarial assumptions. The Total Pension Liabilities as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 were determined by actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively. The actuarial assumptions used in both the June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 valuations were based on the results of an experience study for the period from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014. They are the same as the assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation for LACERS. In particular, the following actuarial assumptions were applied to all periods included in the measurement:

- Inflation: 3.25%
- Salary increases: Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40% based on years of service, including inflation
- Investment rate of return: 7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
- Other assumptions: Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and subtracting expected investment expenses and a risk margin. The target allocation and projected arithmetic real
rates of return for each major asset class, after deducting inflation, but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Target Allocation</th>
<th>Long-Term (Arithmetic) Expected Real Rate of Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Large Cap Equity</td>
<td>20.40%</td>
<td>5.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Small Cap Equity</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>6.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed International Equity</td>
<td>21.75%</td>
<td>6.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>7.25%</td>
<td>8.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Bonds</td>
<td>16.53%</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield Bonds</td>
<td>2.47%</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Real Estate</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>4.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>(0.46)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Opportunities</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Real Assets</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>3.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>10.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discount rate:** The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was 7.50% as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. For this purpose, only employer and employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits for current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of both June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015.
**Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to changes in the discount rate.** The following presents the Net Pension Liability of LACERS as of June 30, 2016, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what LACERS’ Net Pension Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50%) than the current rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016</th>
<th>1% Decrease (6.50%)</th>
<th>Current Discount (7.50%)</th>
<th>1% Increase (8.50%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$7,914,674,553</td>
<td>$7,914,674,553</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$3,700,956,045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### EXHIBIT 3
Schedules of Changes in LACERS Net Pension Liability – Last Two Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Pension Liability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost</td>
<td>$322,574,274</td>
<td>$322,380,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>1,263,555,893</td>
<td>1,215,151,439(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of benefit terms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences between expected and actual experience</td>
<td>-300,812,751</td>
<td>-135,821,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes of assumptions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions</td>
<td>-770,317,467</td>
<td>-740,567,333(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net change in Total Pension Liability</strong></td>
<td>$514,999,949</td>
<td>$661,143,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Total Pension Liability – beginning** | 16,909,996,380 | 16,248,853,099 |
| **Total Pension Liability – ending (a)** | $17,424,996,329 | $16,909,996,380 |

| **Plan Fiduciary Net Position**    |                  |                  |
| Contributions – employer          | $440,546,011     | $381,140,923     |
| Contributions – employee          | 206,377,251      | 202,462,864      |
| Net investment income             | 29,357,755       | 306,980,390      |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | -770,317,467 | -740,567,333(2) |
| Administrative expense            | -17,204,154      | -15,859,888      |
| Other                            | 0                | -4,666,410(3)   |
| **Net change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position** | -$111,240,604 | $129,490,546 |

| **Plan Fiduciary Net Position – beginning** | 11,920,570,019 | 11,791,079,473 |
| **Plan Fiduciary Net Position – ending (b)** | $11,809,329,415 | $11,920,570,019(4) |
| **System’s Net Pension Liability – ending (a) – (b)** | $5,615,666,914 | $4,989,426,361 |

| **Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability** | 67.77% | 70.49% |
| **Covered-employee payroll** | $1,876,946,179 | $1,835,637,409 |
| **Plan Net Pension Liability as percentage of covered-employee payroll** | 299.19% | 271.81% |
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Notes to Exhibit 3

(1) Excludes interest on the portion of the benefit payments attributable to the transfer to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan (see note 2 below), since that transfer was made on July 24, 2015.

(2) Includes a transfer of $2,138,996 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

(3) Beginning of year segregation of a portion of the Reserve for Member Contributions into the (non-pension related) Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions ($4,666,410). The Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions has increased to $5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.

(4) Excludes the Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions (Acct. 256), based on directions from LACERS. This reserve has been separately identified by LACERS for the first time in the June 30, 2015 valuation, pursuant to a suggestion made by Segal. The amount in Acct. 256 was $5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.

(5) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
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**EXHIBIT 4**

Schedule of Employer Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Actuarially Determined Contributions</th>
<th>Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions</th>
<th>Contribution Deficiency (Excess)</th>
<th>Covered-Employee Payroll&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,646,055,902</td>
<td>16.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,741,849,669</td>
<td>16.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,832,795,577</td>
<td>14.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,827,864,283</td>
<td>14.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,678,059,440</td>
<td>18.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,715,197,133</td>
<td>17.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,736,112,598</td>
<td>19.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,802,931,195</td>
<td>19.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,835,637,409</td>
<td>20.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,876,946,179</td>
<td>23.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
### Notes to Exhibit 4

**Methods and assumptions used to establish “actuarially determined contribution” (ADC) rates:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valuation date</strong></td>
<td>Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actuarial cost method</strong></td>
<td>Entry Age Cost Method (individual basis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization method</strong></td>
<td>Level percent of payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization period</strong></td>
<td>Multiple layers, closed amortization periods. Actuarial gains/losses are amortized over 15 years. Assumption or method changes are amortized over 20 years. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over 15 years. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. The existing layers on June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset valuation method</strong></td>
<td>Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actuarial assumptions:**

- **Investment rate of return:** 7.50%
- **Inflation rate:** 3.25%
- **Real across-the-board salary increase:** 0.75%
- **Projected salary increases**
  - Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service
- **Cost of living adjustments**
  - 3.00% for Tier 1; 2.00% for Tier 2 (actual increases are contingent upon CPI increases with a 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and a 2.00% maximum for Tier 2)
- **Mortality**
  - Healthy: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females
- **Other assumptions**
  - Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation

---

\(^{(1)}\) Includes inflation at 3.25% plus across the board salary increases of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases.
## EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016

($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Beginning</th>
<th>Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position</th>
<th>Projected Total Contributions</th>
<th>Projected Benefit Payments</th>
<th>Projected Administrative Expenses</th>
<th>Projected Investment Earnings</th>
<th>Projected Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$11,921</td>
<td>$647</td>
<td>$770</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$29</td>
<td>$11,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>11,809</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>12,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>12,436</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>13,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>13,093</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>13,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>13,757</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>14,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>14,416</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>15,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>15,069</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>15,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>15,736</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>16,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>16,407</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>17,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>24,517</td>
<td>111 *</td>
<td>2,329</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,744</td>
<td>24,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>24,008</td>
<td>105 *</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>23,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>23,448</td>
<td>100 *</td>
<td>2,337</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,663</td>
<td>22,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>22,840</td>
<td>95 *</td>
<td>2,333</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,618</td>
<td>22,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>22,187</td>
<td>89 *</td>
<td>2,326</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>21,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>12 *</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>10 *</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>9 *</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>8 *</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>7 *</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2039</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2041</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2042</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2044</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2047</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2051</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2052</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2053</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2054</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 **</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mainly attributable to employer contributions to fund each year’s annual administrative expenses.
** Less than $1 million, when rounded.

Note that in preparing the above projections, we have not taken into consideration the one-year delay between the date of the contribution rate calculation and the implementation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Amounts may not total exactly due to rounding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Amounts shown for the year beginning July 1, 2015 row are actual amounts, based on the unaudited financial statements provided by LACERS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Years 2024-2041, 2047-2078, and 2084-2098 have been omitted from this table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Column (a): None of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amounts shown have been adjusted for the time value of money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Column (b): Projected total contributions include employee and employer normal cost contributions based on closed group projections (based on covered active members as of June 30, 2016); plus employer contributions to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability; plus contributions to fund each year's annual administrative expenses reflecting an 15-year amortization schedule. Contributions are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>Column (c): Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraph 39 of GAS Statement No. 67, and are based on the closed group of active, inactive vested, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016. The projected benefit payments reflect the cost of living increase assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding valuation report. Benefit payments are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average. In accordance with paragraph 31.b.(1)(e) of GASB Statement No. 67, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the discount rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>Column (d): Projected administrative expenses are calculated as approximately 0.14% of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount. The 0.14% portion was based on the actual fiscal year 2015 - 2016 administrative expenses as a percentage of the beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount as of July 1, 2015. Administrative expenses are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>Column (e): Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of 7.50% per annum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>As illustrated in this Exhibit, the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current Plan members. In other words, there is no projected 'cross-over date' when projected benefits are not covered by projected assets. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% per annum was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016 shown earlier in this report, pursuant to paragraph 44 of GASB Statement No. 67.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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June 30, 2017

Board of Administration
Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System
202 W. 1st Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4401

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit this Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 68 Actuarial Valuation based on a June 30, 2016 measurement date for employer reporting as of June 30, 2017. It contains various information that will need to be disclosed in order for the three employer categories in LACERS (i.e., the City, Airports, and Harbor) to comply with GAS 68.

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist the sponsors in preparing their financial report for their liabilities associated with the LACERS pension plan. The census and financial information on which our calculations were based was provided by the Retirement System. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary. We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and expectations for the Retirement System.

We look forward to reviewing this report with you and to answering any questions.

Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By: Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
   Senior Vice President and Actuary

By: Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
   Vice President and Actuary
SECTION 1: Valuation Summary for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

Purpose
This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting (“Segal”) to present certain disclosure information required by Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 68 for employer reporting as of June 30, 2017. The results used in preparing this GAS 68 report are comparable to those used in preparing the Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 report for the plan based on a reporting date and a measurement date as of June 30, 2016. This valuation is based on:

- The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Administration;
- The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2016, provided by LACERS;
- Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings adopted by the Board for the June 30, 2016 valuation; and
- Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc. adopted by the Board for the June 30, 2016 valuation.

General Observations on GAS 68 Actuarial Valuation
The following points should be considered when reviewing this GAS 68 report:

- The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules only define pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices.
- When measuring pension liability, GASB uses the same actuarial cost method (Entry Age) and the same type of discount rate (expected return on assets) as LACERS uses for funding. This means that the Total Pension Liability (TPL) measure for financial reporting shown in this report is determined on the same basis as LACERS’ Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) measure for funding. We note that the same is true for the Normal Cost component of the annual plan cost for funding and financial reporting.
- The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is equal to the difference between the TPL and the Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position. The Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position is equal to the market value of assets and therefore, the NPL measure is the same as the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) calculated on a market value basis. The NPL reflects all investment gains and losses as of the measurement date. This is different from the UAAL calculated on an actuarial value of assets basis in the funding valuation that reflects investment gains and losses over a seven-year period.
- For this report, the reporting dates for the employer are June 30, 2017 and 2016. The NPL was measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 and determined based upon the results of the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Plan
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Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets) and the TPL were valued as of the measurement dates. Consistent with the provisions of GASB 68, the assets and liabilities measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 were not adjusted or rolled forward to the June 30, 2017 and 2016 reporting dates, respectively.

Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:

- The NPL increased from $4.99 billion as of June 30, 2015 to $5.62 billion as of June 30, 2016 mainly due to the return on the market value of assets of 0.24%\(^1\) during 2015/2016 that was less than the assumption of 7.50% used in the June 30, 2015 valuation (that loss was about $0.87 billion). Changes in these values during the last two fiscal years ending June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 can be found in Exhibit 5.

- The discount rate used to measure the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 was 7.50%, following the same assumptions used by the System in the pension funding valuations as of the same dates. Details on the derivation of the discount rate can be found in Appendix A of Section 3. Various other information that is required to be disclosed can be found throughout Exhibits 1 through 13 in Section 2.

- The NPLs for the three employer categories in LACERS (i.e., the City, Airports, and Harbor) as of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 are allocated based on the actual employer contributions made during 2014/2015 and 2015/2016, respectively. The steps we used for the allocation are as follows:
  - First calculate the ratio of the employer category’s contributions to the total contributions.
  - Then multiply this ratio by the NPL to determine the employer category’s proportionate share of the NPL. The NPL allocation can be found in Exhibit 7 in Section 2.

- Results shown in this report exclude any employer contributions made after the measurement date of June 30, 2016. The employer should consult with their auditor to determine the deferred outflow that should be created for these contributions.

\(^1\) The above 0.24% market return was calculated net of investment expenses only. A 0.10% market return would have been calculated net of both investment and administrative expenses.
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### Summary of Key Valuation Results

| Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68 | 6/30/2017\(^{(1)}\) | 6/30/2016\(^{(2)}\) |
| Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68 | 6/30/2016 | 6/30/2015 |
| Disclosure elements for fiscal year ending June 30: | | |
| 1. Service cost\(^{(3)}\) | $322,574,274 | $322,380,251 |
| 2. Total Pension Liability | 17,424,996,329 | 16,909,996,380 |
| 3. Plan Fiduciary Net Position | 11,809,329,415 | 11,920,570,019 |
| 4. Net Pension Liability | 5,615,666,914 | 4,989,426,361 |
| 5. Pension expense | 609,626,067 | 463,978,261 |
| Schedule of contributions for fiscal year ending June 30: | | |
| 6. Actuarially determined contributions | $440,546,011 | $381,140,923 |
| 7. Actual contributions | 440,546,011 | 381,140,923 |
| 8. Contribution deficiency/(excess): (6) – (7) | 0 | 0 |
| Demographic data for plan year ending June 30: | | |
| 9. Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 18,357 | 17,932 |
| 10. Number of vested terminated members\(^{(4)}\) | 6,895 | 6,507 |
| 11. Number of active members | 24,446 | 23,895 |
| Key assumptions as of June 30: | | |
| 12. Investment rate of return | 7.50% | 7.50% |
| 13. Inflation rate | 3.25% | 3.25% |
| 14. Projected salary increases\(^{(5)}\) | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service | Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service |

\(^{(1)}\) The reporting date and measurement date for the plan are June 30, 2016.

\(^{(2)}\) The reporting date and measurement date for the plan are June 30, 2015.

\(^{(3)}\) The service cost is always based on the previous year’s assumptions, meaning the June 30, 2016 value is based on those assumptions shown as of June 30, 2015, whereas the June 30, 2015 value is based on the June 30, 2014 assumptions (which are the same as the June 30, 2015 assumptions shown above).

\(^{(4)}\) Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions.

\(^{(5)}\) Includes inflation at 3.25% plus real across the board salary increase of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases that vary by service.
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Important Information about Actuarial Valuations

An actuarial valuation is a budgeting tool with respect to the financing of future projected obligations of a pension plan. It is an estimated forecast – the actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

In order to prepare an actuarial valuation, Segal relies on a number of input items. These include:

- **Plan of benefits** Plan provisions define the rules that will be used to determine benefit payments, and those rules, or the interpretation of them, may change over time. Even where they appear precise, outside factors may change how they operate. It is important to keep Segal informed with respect to plan provisions and administrative procedures, and to review the plan summary included in this report (as well as the plan summary included in our funding valuation report) to confirm that Segal has correctly interpreted the plan of benefits.

- **Participant data** An actuarial valuation for a plan is based on data provided to the actuary by the System. Segal does not audit such data for completeness or accuracy, other than reviewing it for obvious inconsistencies compared to prior data and other information that appears unreasonable. It is important for Segal to receive the best possible data and to be informed about any known incomplete or inaccurate data.

- **Assets** This valuation is based on the market value of assets as of the valuation date, as provided by the System. The System uses an “actuarial value of assets” that differs from market value to gradually reflect year-to-year changes in the market value of assets in determining contribution requirements.

- **Actuarial assumptions** In preparing an actuarial valuation, Segal projects the benefits to be paid to existing plan participants for the rest of their lives and the lives of their beneficiaries. This projection requires actuarial assumptions as to the probability of death, disability, termination, and retirement of each participant for each year. In addition, the benefits projected to be paid for each of those events in each future year reflect actuarial assumptions as to salary increases and cost-of-living adjustments. The projected benefits are then discounted to a present value, based on the assumed rate of return that is expected to be achieved on the plan’s assets. There is a reasonable range for each assumption used in the projection and the results may vary materially based on which assumptions are selected. It is important for any user of an actuarial valuation to understand this concept. Actuarial assumptions are periodically reviewed to ensure that future valuations reflect emerging plan experience. While future changes in actuarial assumptions may have a significant impact on the reported results, that does not mean that the previous assumptions were unreasonable.

The user of Segal’s actuarial valuation (or other actuarial calculations) should keep the following in mind:

- The actuarial valuation is prepared at the request of the Board to assist the sponsors of the Fund in preparing items related to the pension plan in their financial reports. Segal is not responsible for the use or misuse of its report, particularly by any other party.
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- An actuarial valuation is a measurement of the plan’s assets and liabilities at a specific date. Accordingly, except where otherwise noted, Segal did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future financial measures. The actual long-term cost of the plan will be determined by the actual benefits and expenses paid and the actual investment experience of the plan.

- If the System is aware of any event or trend that was not considered in this valuation that may materially change the results of the valuation, Segal should be advised, so that we can evaluate it.

- Segal does not provide investment, legal, accounting, or tax advice. Segal’s valuation is based on our understanding of applicable guidance in these areas and of the plan’s provisions, but they may be subject to alternative interpretations. The Board should look to their other advisors for expertise in these areas.

As Segal has no discretionary authority with respect to the management or assets of the Plan, it is not a fiduciary in its capacity as actuaries and consultants with respect to the Retirement System.
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EXHIBIT 1
General Information – “Financial Statements”, Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information for a Single-Employer Pension Plan

Plan Description

Plan administration. The Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System (LACERS) was established by City Charter in 1937. LACERS is a single-employer public employee retirement system whose main function is to provide retirement benefits to the civilian employees of the City of Los Angeles.

Under the provisions of the City Charter, the Board of Administration (the "Board") has the responsibility and authority to administer the Plan and to invest its assets. The Board members serve as trustees and must act in the exclusive interest of the Plan's members and beneficiaries. The Board has seven members: four members, one of whom shall be a retired member of the system, shall be appointed by the Mayor subject to the approval of the Council; two members shall be active employee members of the system elected by the active employee members; one shall be a retired member of the system elected by the retired members of the system.

Plan membership. At June 30, 2016, pension plan membership consisted of the following:

- Retired members or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits: 18,357
- Vested terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving benefits: 6,895
- Active members: 24,446
- Total: 49,698

(1) Includes terminated members due a refund of employee contributions

Benefits provided. LACERS provides service retirement, disability, death and survivor benefits to eligible employees. Employees of the City become members of LACERS on the first day of employment in a position with the City in which the employee is not excluded from membership. Members employed prior to July 1, 2013 are designated as Tier 1, and pursuant to Ordinance No. 184134, all Tier 2 employees who became members of the Retirement System between July 1, 2013 and February 21, 2016 were transferred to Tier 1 effective February 21, 2016. Those employed on or after February 21, 2016 are designated as Tier 3 (unless a specific exemption applies to the employee, providing a right to Tier 1 status).

Tier 1 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit once they attain the age of 70, or the age of 60 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or the age of 55 with 30 or more years of City service. Tier 3 members are eligible to retire for service with a normal retirement benefit at 1.50% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of
continuous City service, or at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.

Tier 1 and 3 members are eligible to retire for disability once they have 5 or more years of continuous service.

Under the Tier 1 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.16% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 1 members reaching age 55 with 10 or more years of continuous City service, or with 30 or more years of City service at any age. The Tier 1 early retirement reduction factors, for retirement below age 60, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under the Tier 3 formula, the monthly service retirement allowance at normal retirement age is 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit. Reduced retirement allowances are available for early retirement for Tier 3 members prior to reaching age 60 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service. The Tier 3 retirement reduction factors at early retirement ages are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.6550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.8050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.8650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.8950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-60</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tier 3 members are eligible to retire with an enhanced retirement benefit at 2.00% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 10 years of service (but with less than 30 years of service), including 5 years of continuous City service, or at 2.10% of final average monthly compensation per year of service credit once they attain the age of 63 with 30 years of service, including 5 years of continuous City service.
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Under Tier 1, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 12-month period (including base salary plus regularly assigned bonuses or premium pay). Under Tier 3, pension benefits are calculated based on the highest average salary earned during a 36-month period (limited to base salary and any items of compensation that are designated as pension based). The IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit applies to all employees who became members in LACERS after June 30, 1996.

For Tier 1 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 100% of the final average monthly compensation. For Tier 3 members, the maximum monthly retirement allowance is 80% of the final average monthly compensation, except when the benefit is based solely on the annuity component funded by the member’s contributions.

In lieu of the service retirement allowance under the Tier 1 and Tier 3 formula (“unmodified option”), the member may choose an optional retirement allowance. The unmodified option provides the highest monthly benefit and a 50% continuance to an eligible surviving spouse or domestic partner for Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. The optional retirement allowances require a reduction in the unmodified option amount in order to allow the member the ability to provide various benefits to a surviving spouse, domestic partner, or named beneficiary.

LACERS provides annual cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) to all retirees. The cost-of-living adjustments are made each July 1 based on the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County Area--All Items For All Urban Consumers. It is capped at 3.0% for Tier 1 and 2.0% for Tier 3.

The City of Los Angeles contributes to the retirement plan based upon actuarially determined contribution rates adopted by the Board of Administration. Employer contribution rates are adopted annually based upon recommendations received from LACERS’ actuary after the completion of the annual actuarial valuation. The combined employer contribution rate as of June 30, 2016 was 23.47% of compensation.2

All members are required to make contributions to LACERS regardless of the tier in which they are included. Currently, all Tier 1 members contribute at 11.0% or 11.5% of compensation and all Tier 3 members contribute at 11.0% of compensation.

---

2 Based on the June 30, 2014 funding valuation (which established funding requirements for fiscal year 2015/2016), and after adjustments to phase in over five years the impact of new actuarial assumptions as a result of the June 30, 2011 Triennial Experience Study on the City’s contributions. Appendix A in Section 3 of this report provides details on how this rate was calculated.
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EXHIBIT 2
Net Pension Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The components of the Net Pension Liability are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>$17,424,996,329</td>
<td>$16,909,996,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</td>
<td>-11,809,329,415</td>
<td>-11,920,570,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2016 and 2015. The Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets) was valued as of the measurement date, while the Total Pension Liability was determined based upon the results of the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

*Plan provisions.* The plan provisions used in the measurement of the NPL as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 are the same as those used in the LACERS funding valuations as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

*Actuarial assumptions.* The Total Pension Liabilities as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 were determined by actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively. The actuarial assumptions used in both the June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015 valuations were based on the results of an experience study for the period from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014. They are the same as the assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation for LACERS. The assumptions are outlined in Section 3 of this report. In particular, the following actuarial assumptions were applied to all periods included in the measurement:

- **Inflation** 3.25%
- **Salary increases** Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40% based on years of service, including inflation
- **Investment rate of return** 7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
- **Other assumptions** Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation

---

[Segal Consulting Logo]
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EXHIBIT 3
Target Asset Allocation

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and subtracting expected investment expenses and a risk margin. The target allocation and projected arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class, after deducting inflation, but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Target Allocation</th>
<th>Long-Term (Arithmetic) Expected Real Rate of Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Large Cap Equity</td>
<td>20.40%</td>
<td>5.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Small Cap Equity</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
<td>6.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed International Equity</td>
<td>21.75%</td>
<td>6.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>7.25%</td>
<td>8.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Bonds</td>
<td>16.53%</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield Bonds</td>
<td>2.47%</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Real Estate</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>4.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>(0.46)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Opportunities</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Real Assets</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>3.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>10.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.51%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discount rate: The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was 7.50% as of June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. For this purpose, only employee and employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits of current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of both June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015.
### EXHIBIT 4
Discount Rate Sensitivity

*Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to changes in the discount rate.* The following presents the Net Pension Liability (NPL) of LACERS as of June 30, 2016, which is allocated to all employer categories, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what LACERS’ NPL would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50%) than the current rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Category</th>
<th>Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>1% Decrease (6.50%)</th>
<th>Current Discount (7.50%)</th>
<th>1% Increase (8.50%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$6,511,439,795</td>
<td>$4,620,035,451</td>
<td>$3,044,793,858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>1,091,371,246</td>
<td>774,356,211</td>
<td>510,332,672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>311,863,512</td>
<td>221,275,252</td>
<td>145,829,515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$7,914,674,553</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$3,700,956,045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EXHIBIT 5
### Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability – Last Two Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Pension Liability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Service cost</td>
<td>$322,574,274</td>
<td>$322,380,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest</td>
<td>1,263,555,893</td>
<td>1,215,151,439(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Change of benefit terms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Differences between expected and actual experience</td>
<td>-300,812,751</td>
<td>-135,821,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Changes of assumptions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions</td>
<td>-770,317,467</td>
<td>-740,567,333(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Net change in Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>$514,999,949</td>
<td>$661,143,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Total Pension Liability – beginning</td>
<td>16,909,996,380</td>
<td>16,248,853,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Total Pension Liability – ending</td>
<td>$17,424,996,329</td>
<td>$16,909,996,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Contributions – employer</td>
<td>$440,546,011</td>
<td>$381,140,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions</td>
<td>-770,317,467</td>
<td>-740,567,333(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Administrative expense</td>
<td>-17,204,154</td>
<td>-15,859,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4,666,410(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Plan Fiduciary Net Position – beginning</td>
<td>11,920,570,019</td>
<td>11,791,079,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Plan Fiduciary Net Position – ending</td>
<td>$11,809,329,415</td>
<td>$11,920,570,019(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Net Pension Liability – ending: (9) – (18)</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Covered-employee payroll(5)</td>
<td>$1,876,946,179</td>
<td>$1,835,637,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Plan Net Pension Liability as percentage of covered-employee payroll</td>
<td>299.19%</td>
<td>271.81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTES TO EXHIBIT 5

(1) Excludes interest on the portion of the benefit payments attributable to the transfer to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan (see note 2 below), since that transfer was made on July 24, 2015.

(2) Includes a transfer of $2,138,996 to the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan due to a change in membership for certain employees in the Office of Public Safety.

(3) Beginning of year segregation of a portion of the Reserve for Member Contributions into the (non-pension related) Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions ($4,666,410). The Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions has increased to $5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.

(4) Excludes the Reserve for Larger Annuity Contributions (Acct. 256), based on directions from LACERS. This reserve has been separately identified by LACERS for the first time in the June 30, 2015 valuation, pursuant to a suggestion made by Segal. The amount in Acct. 256 was $5,199,707 as of June 30, 2015.

(5) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
### EXHIBIT 6
Schedule of LACERS’ Contributions – Last Ten Fiscal Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended June 30,</th>
<th>Actuarially Determined Contributions</th>
<th>Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions</th>
<th>Contribution Deficiency (Excess)</th>
<th>Covered-Employee Payroll(1)</th>
<th>Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>$277,516,400</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,646,055,902</td>
<td>16.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>288,119,041</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,741,849,669</td>
<td>16.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>274,554,786</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,832,795,577</td>
<td>14.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>258,642,795</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,827,864,283</td>
<td>14.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>303,560,953</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,678,059,440</td>
<td>18.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>308,539,905</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,715,197,133</td>
<td>17.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>346,180,852</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,736,112,598</td>
<td>19.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>357,649,232</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,802,931,195</td>
<td>19.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>381,140,923</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,835,637,409</td>
<td>20.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>440,546,011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,876,946,179</td>
<td>23.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
## Notes to Exhibit 6

### Methods and assumptions used to establish “actuarially determined contribution” (ADC) rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Valuation date</strong></th>
<th>Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actuarial cost method</strong></td>
<td>Entry Age Cost Method (individual basis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization method</strong></td>
<td>Level percent of payroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amortization period</strong></td>
<td>Multiple layers, closed amortization periods. Actuarial gains/losses are amortized over 15 years. Assumption or method changes are amortized over 20 years. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over 15 years. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. The existing layers on June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset valuation method</strong></td>
<td>Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actuarial assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>June 30, 2016 valuation date</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment rate of return</strong></td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflation rate</strong></td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real across the board salary increase</strong></td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected salary increases</strong></td>
<td>Ranges from 10.50% to 4.40%, based on years of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of living adjustments</strong></td>
<td>3.00% for Tier 1; 2.00% for Tier 3 (actual increases are contingent upon CPI increases with a 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and a 2.00% maximum for Tier 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality</strong></td>
<td>Healthy: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other assumptions</strong></td>
<td>Same as those used in the June 30, 2016 funding actuarial valuation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(1) Includes inflation at 3.25% plus across the board salary increases of 0.75% plus merit and promotional increases.*
### EXHIBIT 7

**Determination of Proportionate Share**

**Actual Employer Contributions by Employer Category**  
*July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015*

| Employer Category | Contributions | Percentage
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$312,035,750</td>
<td>81.869%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>53,280,478</td>
<td>13.979%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>15,824,695</td>
<td>4.152%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$381,140,923</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The unrounded percentages are used in the allocation of the NPL amongst employer categories.

**Allocation of June 30, 2015 Net Pension Liability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Category</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$4,084,786,762</td>
<td>81.869%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>697,482,231</td>
<td>13.979%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>207,157,368</td>
<td>4.152%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Based on the July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 employer contributions as provided by LACERS.
2. The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is the Total Pension Liability (TPL) minus the Plan Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets).
3. The NPL is allocated based on the actual contributions from each employer category. The steps used for the allocation are as follows:
   - First calculate the ratio of the contributions from the employer category to the total contributions.
   - Then multiply this ratio by the NPL to determine the employer category’s proportionate share of the NPL.
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EXHIBIT 7 (continued)
Determination of Proportionate Share

**Actual Employer Contributions by Employer Category**
July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Category</th>
<th>Contributions</th>
<th>Percentage (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$362,439,265</td>
<td>82.271%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>60,747,823</td>
<td>13.789%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>17,358,923</td>
<td>3.940%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$440,546,011</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The unrounded percentages are used in the allocation of the NPL amongst employer categories.

**Allocation of June 30, 2016 Net Pension Liability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Category</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$4,620,035,451</td>
<td>82.271%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>774,356,211</td>
<td>13.789%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>221,275,252</td>
<td>3.940%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Based on the July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 employer contributions as provided by LACERS.
2. The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is the Total Pension Liability (TPL) minus the Plan Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets).
3. The NPL is allocated based on the actual contributions from each employer category. The steps used for the allocation are as follows:
   - First calculate the ratio of the contributions from the employer category to the total contributions.
   - Then multiply this ratio by the NPL to determine the employer category’s proportionate share of the NPL.
EXHIBIT 7 (continued)

Determination of Proportionate Share

Notes:
For purposes of the above results, the reporting date for the employer under GAS 68 is June 30, 2017. The reporting date and measurement date for the plan under GAS 67 are June 30, 2016. Consistent with the provisions of GAS 68, the assets and liabilities measured as of June 30, 2016 are not adjusted or rolled forward to the June 30, 2017 reporting date. Other results, such as the total deferred inflows and outflows would also be allocated based on the same proportionate shares determined above.

The following items are allocated based on the corresponding proportionate share:

1) Net Pension Liability
2) Service cost
3) Interest on the Total Pension Liability
4) Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability
5) Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions or other inputs
6) Member contributions
7) Projected earnings on plan investments
8) Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments
9) Administrative expense
10) Recognition of beginning of year deferred outflows of resources as pension expense
11) Recognition of beginning of year deferred inflows of resources as pension expense
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#### EXHIBIT 8
Pension Expense – Total for all Employer Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Service cost</td>
<td>$322,574,274</td>
<td>$322,380,251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>1,263,555,893</td>
<td>1,215,151,439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expensed portion of current-period changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expensed portion of current-period benefit changes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>-57,407,014</td>
<td>-25,059,239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Actual member contributions</td>
<td>-206,377,251</td>
<td>-202,462,864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>-903,897,010</td>
<td>-890,682,033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>174,907,851</td>
<td>116,740,329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Administrative expense</td>
<td>17,204,154</td>
<td>15,859,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,666,410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Recognition of beginning of year deferred outflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>256,498,178</td>
<td>139,757,849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Recognition of beginning of year deferred inflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>-257,433,008</td>
<td>-232,373,769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Net amortization of deferred amounts from changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension Expense</strong></td>
<td>$609,626,067</td>
<td>$463,978,261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### EXHIBIT 8 (continued)

#### Pension Expense – City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Components of Pension Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Service cost</td>
<td>$265,383,365</td>
<td>$263,929,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>1,039,533,346</td>
<td>994,830,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expensed portion of current-period changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>3,711,207</td>
<td>-905,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expensed portion of current-period benefit changes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>-47,229,019</td>
<td>-20,515,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Actual member contributions</td>
<td>-169,787,531</td>
<td>-165,754,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>-743,640,300</td>
<td>-729,191,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>143,897,508</td>
<td>95,573,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Administrative expense</td>
<td>14,153,938</td>
<td>12,984,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,820,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Recognition of beginning of year deferred outflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>211,022,252</td>
<td>114,418,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Recognition of beginning of year deferred inflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>-211,791,340</td>
<td>-190,241,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Net amortization of deferred amounts from changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>3,460,188</td>
<td>4,365,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension Expense</strong></td>
<td>$508,713,614</td>
<td>$383,313,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**EXHIBIT 8 (continued)**

**Pension Expense – Airports**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Components of Pension Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Service cost</td>
<td>$44,480,450</td>
<td>$45,066,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>174,234,400</td>
<td>169,868,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expensed portion of current-period changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>-1,755,743</td>
<td>1,539,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expensed portion of current-period benefit changes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>-7,915,975</td>
<td>-3,503,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Actual member contributions</td>
<td>-28,457,796</td>
<td>-28,302,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>-124,640,274</td>
<td>-124,510,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>24,118,414</td>
<td>16,319,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Administrative expense</td>
<td>2,372,317</td>
<td>2,217,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>652,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Recognition of beginning of year deferred outflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>35,369,077</td>
<td>19,537,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Recognition of beginning of year deferred inflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>-35,497,983</td>
<td>-32,484,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Net amortization of deferred amounts from changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>-2,627,144</td>
<td>-4,166,153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pension Expense**

| | $79,679,743 | $62,233,336 |
### EXHIBIT 8 (continued)

#### Pension Expense – Harbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of Pension Expense</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Service cost</td>
<td>$12,710,459</td>
<td>$13,384,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interest on the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>49,788,147</td>
<td>50,452,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Expensed portion of current-period changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>-1,955,464</td>
<td>-633,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expensed portion of current-period benefit changes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Expensed portion of current-period difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>-2,262,020</td>
<td>-1,040,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Expensed portion of current-period changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Actual member contributions</td>
<td>-8,131,924</td>
<td>-8,406,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>-35,616,436</td>
<td>-36,980,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Expensed portion of current-period differences between actual and projected earnings on plan investments</td>
<td>6,891,929</td>
<td>4,846,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Administrative expense</td>
<td>677,899</td>
<td>658,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Recognition of beginning of year deferred outflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>10,106,849</td>
<td>5,802,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Recognition of beginning of year deferred inflows of resources as pension expense</td>
<td>-10,143,685</td>
<td>-9,647,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Net amortization of deferred amounts from changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions</td>
<td>-833,044</td>
<td>-199,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension Expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>$21,232,710</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,430,998</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXHIBIT 9
Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources – Total for all Employer Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Outflows of Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions(1)</td>
<td>$32,437,213</td>
<td>$22,606,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>366,165,567</td>
<td>505,923,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Net excess of projected over actual earnings on pension plan investments (if any)</td>
<td>642,710,282</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Total deferred outflows of resources</td>
<td>$1,041,313,062</td>
<td>$528,529,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Inflows of Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions(1)</td>
<td>$32,437,213</td>
<td>$22,606,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Net excess of actual over projected earnings on pension plan investments (if any)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>143,751,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>404,571,452</td>
<td>215,027,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total deferred inflows of resources</td>
<td>$437,008,665</td>
<td>$381,385,982</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension will be recognized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Calculated in accordance with Paragraphs 54 and 55 of GAS 68.
### SECTION 2: GAS 68 Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

#### EXHIBIT 9
**Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources – City**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Outflows of Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions$^{(1)}$</td>
<td>$27,173,802$</td>
<td>$15,804,046$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Changes of assumptions or other inputs</td>
<td>$301,246,126$</td>
<td>$414,193,761$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Net excess of projected over actual earnings on pension plan investments (if any)</td>
<td>$528,760,756$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Total deferred outflows of resources</td>
<td>$857,180,684$</td>
<td>$429,997,807$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Deferred Inflows of Resources**      |               |               |
| 6. Changes in proportion and differences between employer’s contributions and proportionate share of contributions$^{(1)}$ | $3,097,052$ | $4,002,623$ |
| 7. Changes of assumptions or other inputs | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Net excess of actual over projected earnings on pension plan investments (if any) | N/A | 117,688,005 |
| 9. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability | $332,842,827$ | $176,040,714$ |
| 10. Total deferred inflows of resources | $335,939,879$ | $297,731,342$ |

Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension will be recognized as follows:

#### Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$103,070,794</td>
<td>103,070,793</td>
<td>234,203,005</td>
<td>91,340,488</td>
<td>-10,444,275</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,694,853</td>
<td>2,694,853</td>
<td>133,178,845</td>
<td>-8,996,939</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{(1)}$ Calculated in accordance with Paragraphs 54 and 55 of GAS 68.
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EXHIBIT 9
Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources – Airports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deferred Outflows of Resources**
1. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions\(^{(1)}\) $5,263,411 $6,802,420
2. Changes of assumptions or other inputs 50,491,346 70,724,081
3. Net excess of projected over actual earnings on pension plan investments (if any) 88,624,683 N/A
4. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability 0 0
5. Total deferred outflows of resources $144,379,440 $77,526,501

**Deferred Inflows of Resources**
6. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions\(^{(1)}\) $18,359,669 $15,081,474
7. Changes of assumptions or other inputs 0 0
8. Net excess of actual over projected earnings on pension plan investments (if any) N/A 20,095,368
9. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability 55,787,215 30,059,163
10. Total deferred inflows of resources $74,146,884 $65,236,005

Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension will be recognized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$11,690,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>11,690,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>35,530,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>13,641,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>-2,321,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Calculated in accordance with Paragraphs 54 and 55 of GAS 68.
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### EXHIBIT 9
Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources – Harbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Deferred Outflows of Resources
1. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions\(^{(1)}\)  
   | | $0 | $0 |
2. Changes of assumptions or other inputs  
   | | 14,428,095 | 21,005,574 |
3. Net excess of projected over actual earnings on pension plan investments (if any)  
   | | 25,324,843 | N/A |
4. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability  
   | | 0 | 0 |
5. Total deferred outflows of resources  
   | | $39,752,938 | $21,005,574 |

#### Deferred Inflows of Resources
6. Changes in proportion and differences between employer's contributions and proportionate share of contributions\(^{(1)}\)  
   | | $10,980,492 | $3,522,369 |
7. Changes of assumptions or other inputs  
   | | 0 | 0 |
8. Net excess of actual over projected earnings on pension plan investments (if any)  
   | | N/A | 5,968,473 |
9. Difference between expected and actual experience in the Total Pension Liability  
   | | 15,941,410 | 8,927,793 |
10. Total deferred inflows of resources  
    | | $26,921,902 | $18,418,635 |

Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension will be recognized as follows:

#### Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,804,566</td>
<td>$1,804,566</td>
<td>$8,240,414</td>
<td>$1,993,686</td>
<td>$1,012,196</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Calculated in accordance with Paragraphs 54 and 55 of GAS 68.
EXHIBIT 9 (continued)
Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

There are changes in each employer category’s proportionate share of the total Net Pension Liability during the measurement period ended June 30, 2016. The net effect of the change on the employer category’s proportionate share of the collective Net Pension Liability and collective deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources is recognized over the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through LACERS which is 5.24 years determined as of June 30, 2015 (the beginning of the measurement period ending June 30, 2016).

In addition, the difference between the actual employer contributions and the proportionate share of the employer contributions during the measurement period ended June 30, 2016 is recognized over the same period.

The average of the expected service lives of all employees is determined by:

- Calculating each active employee’s expected remaining service life as the present value of $1 per year of future service at zero percent interest.
- Setting the remaining service life to zero for each nonactive or retired member.
- Dividing the sum of the above amounts by the total number of active employee, nonactive and retired members.
## EXHIBIT 10
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – Total for all Employer Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68 as of June 30</th>
<th>Proportion of the Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Proportionate share of Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Covered-employee payroll (1)</th>
<th>Proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
<td>$4,727,177,064</td>
<td>$1,736,112,598</td>
<td>272.29%</td>
<td>68.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
<td>4,457,773,626</td>
<td>1,802,931,195</td>
<td>247.25%</td>
<td>72.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
<td>4,989,426,361</td>
<td>1,835,637,409</td>
<td>271.81%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>100.000%</td>
<td>5,615,666,914</td>
<td>1,876,946,179</td>
<td>299.19%</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
### EXHIBIT 10 (continued)

Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68 as of June 30</th>
<th>Proportion of the Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Proportionate share of Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Covered-employee payroll (1)</th>
<th>Proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>81.453%</td>
<td>$3,850,425,590</td>
<td>$1,414,115,080</td>
<td>272.29%</td>
<td>68.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>81.972%</td>
<td>3,654,125,793</td>
<td>1,477,663,755</td>
<td>247.29%</td>
<td>72.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>81.869%</td>
<td>4,084,786,762</td>
<td>1,504,659,940</td>
<td>271.48%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>82.271%</td>
<td>4,620,035,451</td>
<td>1,540,925,299</td>
<td>299.82%</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
## SECTION 2: GAS 68 Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

### EXHIBIT 10 (continued)

Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – Airports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68 as of June 30</th>
<th>Proportion of the Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Proportionate share of Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Covered-employee payroll(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>14.299%</td>
<td>$675,950,764</td>
<td>$248,251,046</td>
<td>272.29%</td>
<td>68.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>13.804%</td>
<td>615,348,678</td>
<td>249,227,877</td>
<td>246.90%</td>
<td>72.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>13.979%</td>
<td>697,482,231</td>
<td>255,014,220</td>
<td>273.51%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>13.789%</td>
<td>774,356,211</td>
<td>260,929,145</td>
<td>296.77%</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
### EXHIBIT 10 (continued)

Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – Harbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68 as of June 30</th>
<th>Proportion of the Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Proportionate share of Net Pension Liability</th>
<th>Covered-employee payroll(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total Pension Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>4.248%</td>
<td>$200,800,710</td>
<td>$73,746,472</td>
<td>272.29%</td>
<td>68.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4.224%</td>
<td>188,299,155</td>
<td>76,039,563</td>
<td>247.63%</td>
<td>72.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4.152%</td>
<td>207,157,368</td>
<td>75,963,249</td>
<td>272.71%</td>
<td>70.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3.940%</td>
<td>221,275,252</td>
<td>75,091,735</td>
<td>294.67%</td>
<td>67.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Covered-employee payroll represents the collective total of the pensionable wages of all LACERS membership tiers.
## SECTION 2: GAS 68 Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

### EXHIBIT 11

Schedule of Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability – Total for all Employer Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Beginning Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
<td>$4,457,773,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pension Expense</td>
<td>609,626,067</td>
<td>463,978,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Contributions</td>
<td>-440,546,011</td>
<td>-381,140,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. New Net Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>456,225,667</td>
<td>356,199,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Change in Allocation of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. New Net Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Recognition of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>934,830</td>
<td>92,615,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recognition of Prior Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ending Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$5,615,666,914</td>
<td>$4,989,426,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Schedule of Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability – City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Beginning Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$4,084,786,762</td>
<td>$3,654,125,793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pension Expense</td>
<td>508,713,614</td>
<td>383,313,927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Contributions</td>
<td>-362,439,265</td>
<td>-312,035,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Change in Allocation of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>590,933</td>
<td>311,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. New Net Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>15,735,515</td>
<td>-4,002,623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Recognition of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>769,088</td>
<td>75,823,603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recognition of Prior Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>-3,460,188</td>
<td>-4,365,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ending Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$4,620,035,451</td>
<td>$4,084,786,762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## EXHIBIT 11 (continued)
### Schedule of Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability – Airports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Beginning Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$697,482,231</td>
<td>$615,348,678</td>
<td>$602,348,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. New Net Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>62,909,924</td>
<td>49,793,862</td>
<td>49,320,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Change in Allocation of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>-279,566</td>
<td>-528,711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. New Net Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>-7,444,348</td>
<td>6,802,420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Recognition of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>128,906</td>
<td>12,946,971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recognition of Prior Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>2,627,144</td>
<td>4,166,153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ending Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$774,356,211</td>
<td>$697,482,231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT 11 (continued)
Schedule of Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability – Harbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68</th>
<th>June 30, 2017</th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Date for Employer under GAS 68</td>
<td>June 30, 2016</td>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of Net Pension Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Beginning Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$207,157,368</td>
<td>$188,299,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pension Expense</td>
<td>21,232,710</td>
<td>18,430,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Employer Contributions</td>
<td>-17,358,923</td>
<td>-15,824,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. New Net Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>17,976,751</td>
<td>14,789,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Change in Allocation of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>-311,367</td>
<td>217,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. New Net Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>-8,291,167</td>
<td>-2,799,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Recognition of Prior Deferred Inflows/Outflows</td>
<td>36,836</td>
<td>3,845,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recognition of Prior Deferred Flows Due to Change in Proportion</td>
<td>833,044</td>
<td>199,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ending Net Pension Liability</td>
<td>$221,275,252</td>
<td>$207,157,368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 2: GAS 68 Information for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

EXHIBIT 12
Schedule of Recognition of Changes in Total Net Pension Liability

Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense Arising from the Recognition of the Effects of Differences between Expected and Actual Experience on Total Pension Liability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Differences between Expected and Actual Experience</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-$161,871,265</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>-$28,802,716</td>
<td>-$28,802,716</td>
<td>-$28,802,716</td>
<td>-$17,857,685</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>-$135,821,076</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>-$25,059,239</td>
<td>-$25,059,239</td>
<td>-$25,059,239</td>
<td>-$25,059,239</td>
<td>-$10,524,881</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>-$300,812,751</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-$57,407,014</td>
<td>-$57,407,014</td>
<td>-$57,407,014</td>
<td>-$57,407,014</td>
<td>-$57,407,014</td>
<td>-$13,777,681</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in pension expense</td>
<td>-$53,861,955</td>
<td></td>
<td>-$111,268,969</td>
<td>-$111,268,969</td>
<td>-$111,268,969</td>
<td>-$100,323,938</td>
<td>-$67,931,895</td>
<td>-$13,777,681</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense Arising from the Recognition of the Effects of Assumption Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Effects of Assumption Changes</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$785,439,114</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$86,649,869</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in pension expense</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td></td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$139,757,849</td>
<td>$86,649,869</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As described in Exhibit 9, the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through LACERS (active and inactive employees) determined as of June 30, 2015 (the beginning of the measurement period ending June 30, 2016) is 5.24 years.

Amortization amounts prior to June 30, 2016 have been omitted from this exhibit. These amounts can be found in prior years’ GAS 68 reports.
### EXHIBIT 12 (continued)

#### Schedule of Recognition of Changes in Total Net Pension Liability

**Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense Arising from the Recognition of Differences between Projected and Actual Earnings on Pension Plan Investments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Differences between Projected and Actual Earnings</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-$1,017,855,266</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-$203,571,053</td>
<td>-$203,571,053</td>
<td>-$203,571,053</td>
<td>-$203,571,054</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>583,701,643</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>116,740,329</td>
<td>116,740,329</td>
<td>116,740,329</td>
<td>116,740,329</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>874,539,255</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>174,907,851</td>
<td>174,907,851</td>
<td>174,907,851</td>
<td>174,907,851</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in pension expense</td>
<td>-$86,830,724</td>
<td></td>
<td>$88,077,127</td>
<td>$88,077,127</td>
<td>$88,077,126</td>
<td>$291,648,178</td>
<td>$174,907,851</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments are recognized over a five-year period per Paragraph 33b. of GASB 68.

**Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30</th>
<th>Total Differences and Changes</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Thereafter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-$394,287,417</td>
<td>-$92,615,920</td>
<td>-$92,615,920</td>
<td>-$92,615,921</td>
<td>-$92,615,921</td>
<td>$68,792,184</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>447,880,567</td>
<td>91,681,090</td>
<td>91,681,090</td>
<td>91,681,090</td>
<td>91,681,090</td>
<td>91,681,088</td>
<td>-10,524,881</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>573,726,504</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>117,500,837</td>
<td>117,500,837</td>
<td>117,500,837</td>
<td>117,500,837</td>
<td>117,500,837</td>
<td>-13,777,681</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in pension expense</td>
<td>-$934,830</td>
<td>$116,566,007</td>
<td>$116,566,007</td>
<td>$116,566,006</td>
<td>$277,974,109</td>
<td>$106,975,956</td>
<td>$-13,777,681</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amortization amounts prior to June 30, 2016 have been omitted from this exhibit. These amounts can be found in prior years’ GAS 68 reports.
EXHIBIT 13
Allocation of Changes in Total Net Pension Liability

In addition to the amounts shown in Exhibit 12, there are changes in each employer’s proportionate share of the total Net Pension Liability during the measurement period ending on June 30, 2016. The net effect of the change on the employer’s proportionate share of the collective Net Pension Liability and collective deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources is also recognized over the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees shown above. The difference between the actual employer contributions and the proportionate share of the employer contributions during the measurement period ending on June 30, 2016 is recognized over the same periods. These amounts are shown below. While these amounts are different for each employer, they sum to zero over the entire Retirement System.

Increase (Decrease) in Pension Expense Arising from the Recognition of the Effects of the Change in Proportion and Change in Employer Contributions for the Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Change to be Recognized</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$19,446,722</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>-9,200,091</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>-10,246,631</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT 13 (continued)
Allocation of Changes in Total Net Pension Liability

The corresponding amounts for the measurement period ending on June 30, 2015 are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Change to be Recognized</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>-$4,908,194</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>-$905,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>8,341,429</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>1,539,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT 13 (continued)
Allocation of Changes in Total Net Pension Liability

The corresponding amounts for the measurement period ending on June 30, 2014 are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Change to be Recognized</th>
<th>Recognition Period (Years)</th>
<th>Reporting Date for Employer under GAS 68, Year Ended June 30:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$24,535,564</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>$4,365,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airports</td>
<td>-23,413,780</td>
<td>-4,166,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
<td>-1,121,784</td>
<td>-199,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for all Employer Categories</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Actuarial Assumptions and Methods
For June 30, 2016 Measurement Date and Employer Reporting as of June 30, 2017

Rationale for Assumptions: The information and analysis used in selecting each assumption that has a significant effect on this actuarial valuation is shown in the July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014 Actuarial Experience Study dated October 8, 2014. Unless otherwise noted, all actuarial assumptions apply to both Tier 1 and Tier 3 members. These assumptions were adopted by the Board.

Economic Assumptions:

Net Investment Return: 7.50%

Consumer Price Index: Increase of 3.25% per year; benefit increases due to CPI subject to 3.00% maximum for Tier 1 and 2.00% maximum for Tier 3.

Employee Contribution Crediting Rate: Based on average of 5-year Treasury note rate. An assumption of 3.25% is used to approximate that crediting rate in this valuation.

Salary Increases: Inflation: 3.25%; plus additional 0.75% “across the board” salary increases (other than inflation); plus the following merit and promotional increases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Percentage Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 3:  Actuarial Assumptions and Methods and Appendices for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System

Demographic Assumptions:

Post-Retirement Mortality Rates:

Healthy Members and All Beneficiaries: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

Disabled Members: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set forward seven years for males and set forward eight years for females.

The above mortality tables contain about a 10% margin, based on actual to expected deaths, as a provision to anticipate future mortality improvement, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2020, set back one year for males and with no setback for females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rates for members with five or more years of service. Termination rates are zero for members eligible to retire.
Rates of termination for members with less than 5 years of service are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Termination (Based on Service)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retirement Rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Non-55/30</th>
<th>55/30</th>
<th>Non-55/30</th>
<th>55/30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> Not eligible to retire under the provisions of the Tier 3 plan.
**SECTION 3: Actuarial Assumptions and Methods and Appendices for the Los Angeles City Employees’ Retirement System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Retirement Age and Benefit for Inactive Vested Participants:</strong></th>
<th>Pension benefit paid at the later of age 58 or the current attained age. For reciprocals, 4.40% compensation increases per annum.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion of Inactive Members:</strong></td>
<td>All inactive participants are included in the valuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unknown Data for Members:</strong></td>
<td>Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Married/Domestic Partner:</strong></td>
<td>76% of male participants; 50% of female participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of Spouse:</strong></td>
<td>Male retirees are assumed to be 4 years older than their female spouses. Female retirees are assumed to be 2 years younger than their male spouses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service:</strong></td>
<td>Employment service is used for eligibility determination purposes. Benefit service is used for benefit calculation purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Benefit Accruals:</strong></td>
<td>1.0 year of service per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Reciprocal Service:</strong></td>
<td>5% of future inactive vested members will work at a reciprocal system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actuarial Methods:**

| **Actuarial Value of Assets:**                              | The market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis and is recognized over a seven-year period. The actuarial value of assets cannot be less than 60% or greater than 140% of the market value of assets. |
| **Actuarial Cost Method:**                                  | Entry Age Cost Method, level percent of salary.                                                                               |
**Funding Policy:**

The plan sponsor of the City of Los Angeles Employees' Retirement System makes contributions equal to the normal cost adjusted by an amount to amortize any surplus or unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The amortization method for the UAAL is a level percent of payroll, assuming annual increases in total covered payroll equal to inflation plus across the board increases (other than inflation). Both the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method and are calculated on an individual basis. Entry age is calculated as age on the valuation date minus years of service.

Under the current funding policy, changes in the UAAL due to actuarial gains/losses are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Any changes in the UAAL due to assumption or method changes are amortized over separate 20-year periods. Plan changes, including the 2009 ERIP, are amortized over separate 15-year periods. Future ERIPs will be amortized over 5 years. Any actuarial surplus is amortized over 30 years. All the bases on or before June 30, 2012, except those arising from the 2009 ERIP and the two GASB 25/27 layers, were combined and amortized over 30 years effective June 30, 2012.

The recommended contribution is set equal to the greater of the current funding policy or the minimum Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the then current GASB Statements 25 and 27. In particular, an additional contribution due to the application of the 40-year minimum amortization requirement for both fiscal year 2003/2004 and fiscal year 2004/2005 is included in the calculation of the recommended contribution.

**Expected Remaining Service Lives:**

The average of the expected service lives of all employees is determined by:

- Calculating each active employee’s expected remaining service life as the present value of $1 per year of future service at zero percent interest.
- Setting the remaining service life to zero for each nonactive or retired member.
- Dividing the sum of the above amounts by the total number of active employee, nonactive and retired members.
APPENDIX A
Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016
Projection of Pension Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position ($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Beginning July 1</th>
<th>Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position (a)</th>
<th>Projected Total Contributions (b)</th>
<th>Projected Benefit Payments (c)</th>
<th>Projected Administrative Expenses (d)</th>
<th>Projected Investment Earnings (e)</th>
<th>Projected Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position (f) = (a) + (b) - (c) - (d) + (e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$11,921</td>
<td>$647</td>
<td>$770</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$29</td>
<td>$11,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>11,809</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>12,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>12,436</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>13,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>13,093</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>13,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>13,757</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>14,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>14,416</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>15,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>15,069</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>15,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>15,736</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>16,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>16,407</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>1,278</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>17,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>24,517</td>
<td>111 *</td>
<td>2,329</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,744</td>
<td>24,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>24,008</td>
<td>105 *</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>23,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>23,448</td>
<td>100 *</td>
<td>2,337</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,663</td>
<td>22,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>22,840</td>
<td>95 *</td>
<td>2,333</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,618</td>
<td>22,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>22,187</td>
<td>89 *</td>
<td>2,326</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>21,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>12 *</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>10 *</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>9 *</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>8 *</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>7 *</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2036</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2037</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2039</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2041</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2042</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2044</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2046</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 <em>,</em>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mainly attributable to employer contributions to fund each year’s annual administrative expenses.
** Less than $1 million, when rounded.

Note that in preparing the above projections, we have not taken into consideration the one-year delay between the date of the contribution rate calculation and the implementation.
APPENDIX A (continued)

Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2016
Projection of Pension Plan’s Fiduciary Net Position ($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>None of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amounts shown have been adjusted for the time value of money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Projected total contributions include employee and employer normal cost contributions based on closed group projections (based on covered active members as of June 30, 2016); plus employer contributions to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability; plus contributions to fund each year's annual administrative expenses reflecting an 15-year amortization schedule. Contributions are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraph 39 of GAS Statement No. 67, and are based on the closed group of active, inactive vested, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016. The projected benefit payments reflect the cost of living assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding valuation report. Benefit payments are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average. In accordance with paragraph 31.b.(1)(e) of GASB Statement No. 67, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the discount rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Projected administrative expenses are calculated as approximately 0.14% of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount. The 0.14% portion was based on the actual fiscal year 2015 - 2016 administrative expenses as a percentage of the beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount as of July 1, 2015. Administrative expenses are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of 7.50% per annum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Amounts may not total exactly due to rounding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Amounts shown for the year beginning July 1, 2015 row are actual amounts, based on the unaudited financial statements provided by LACERS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Years 2024-2041, 2047-2078, and 2084-2098 have been omitted from this table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>None of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amounts shown have been adjusted for the time value of money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Projected total contributions include employee and employer normal cost contributions based on closed group projections (based on covered active members as of June 30, 2016); plus employer contributions to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability; plus contributions to fund each year's annual administrative expenses reflecting an 15-year amortization schedule. Contributions are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraph 39 of GAS Statement No. 67, and are based on the closed group of active, inactive vested, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2016. The projected benefit payments reflect the cost of living assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 funding valuation report. Benefit payments are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average. In accordance with paragraph 31.b.(1)(e) of GASB Statement No. 67, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the discount rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>Projected administrative expenses are calculated as approximately 0.14% of the projected beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount. The 0.14% portion was based on the actual fiscal year 2015 - 2016 administrative expenses as a percentage of the beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position amount as of July 1, 2015. Administrative expenses are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of 7.50% per annum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>As illustrated in this Exhibit, the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current Plan members. In other words, there is no projected 'cross-over date' when projected benefits are not covered by projected assets. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of 7.50% per annum was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016 shown earlier in this report, pursuant to paragraph 44 of GASB Statement No. 67.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B
Glossary of Terms

Definitions of certain terms as they are used in Statement 68; the terms may have different meanings in other contexts.

**Active employees**
Individuals employed at the end of the reporting or measurement period, as applicable.

**Actual contributions**
Cash contributions recognized as additions to a pension plan’s fiduciary net position.

**Actuarial present value of projected benefit payments**
Projected benefit payments discounted to reflect the expected effects of the time value (present value) of money and the probabilities of payment.

**Actuarial valuation**
The determination, as of a point in time (the actuarial valuation date), of the service cost, total pension liability, and related actuarial present value of projected benefit payments for pensions performed in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice unless otherwise specified by the GASB.

**Actuarial valuation date**
The date as of which an actuarial valuation is performed.

**Actuarially determined contribution**
A target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit pension plan for the reporting period, determined in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice based on the most recent measurement available when the contribution for the reporting period was adopted.

**Ad hoc cost-of-living adjustments (ad hoc COLAs)**
Cost-of-living adjustments that require a decision to grant by the authority responsible for making such decisions.

**Ad hoc postemployment benefit changes**
Postemployment benefit changes that require a decision to grant by the authority responsible for making such decisions.

**Agent employer**
An employer whose employees are provided with pensions through an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan.
**Agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan (agent pension plan)**
A multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan in which pension plan assets are pooled for investment purposes but separate accounts are maintained for each individual employer so that each employer’s share of the pooled assets is legally available to pay the benefits of only its employees.

**Allocated insurance contract**
A contract with an insurance company under which related payments to the insurance company are currently used to purchase immediate or deferred annuities for individual employees. Also may be referred to as an annuity contract.

**Automatic cost-of-living adjustments (automatic COLAs)**
Cost-of-living adjustments that occur without a requirement for a decision to grant by a responsible authority, including those for which the amounts are determined by reference to a specified experience factor (such as the earnings experience of the pension plan) or to another variable (such as an increase in the consumer price index).

**Automatic postemployment benefit changes**
Postemployment benefit changes that occur without a requirement for a decision to grant by a responsible authority, including those for which the amounts are determined by reference to a specified experience factor (such as the earnings experience of the pension plan) or to another variable (such as an increase in the consumer price index).

**Closed period**
A specific number of years that is counted from one date and declines to zero with the passage of time. For example, if the recognition period initially is five years on a closed basis, four years remain after the first year, three years after the second year, and so forth.

**Collective deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions**
Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions arising from certain changes in the collective net pension liability.

**Collective net pension liability**
The net pension liability for benefits provided through (1) a cost-sharing pension plan or (2) a single-employer or agent pension plan in circumstances in which there is a special funding situation.

**Collective pension expense**
Pension expense arising from certain changes in the collective net pension liability.
Contributions
Additions to a pension plan’s fiduciary net position for amounts from employers, nonemployer contributing entities (for example, state government contributions to a local government pension plan), or employees. Contributions can result from cash receipts by the pension plan or from recognition by the pension plan of a receivable from one of these sources.

Cost-of-living adjustments
Postemployment benefit changes intended to adjust benefit payments for the effects of inflation.

Cost-sharing employer
An employer whose employees are provided with pensions through a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan.

Cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan (cost-sharing pension plan)
A multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan in which the pension obligations to the employees of more than one employer are pooled and pension plan assets can be used to pay the benefits of the employees of any employer that provides pensions through the pension plan.

Covered-employee payroll
The payroll of employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan.

Deferred retirement option program (DROP)
A program that permits an employee to elect a calculation of benefit payments based on service credits and salary, as applicable, as of the DROP entry date. The employee continues to provide service to the employer and is paid for that service by the employer after the DROP entry date; however, the pensions that would have been paid to the employee (if the employee had retired and not entered the DROP) are credited to an individual employee account within the defined benefit pension plan until the end of the DROP period.

Defined benefit pension plans
Pension plans that are used to provide defined benefit pensions.

Defined benefit pensions
Pensions for which the income or other benefits that the employee will receive at or after separation from employment are defined by the benefit terms. The pensions may be stated as a specified dollar amount or as an amount that is calculated based on one or more factors such as age, years of service, and compensation. (A pension that does not meet the criteria of a defined contribution pension is classified as a defined benefit pension for purposes of Statement 68.)
Defined contribution pension plans
Pension plans that are used to provide defined contribution pensions.

Defined contribution pensions
Pensions having terms that (1) provide an individual account for each employee; (2) define the contributions that an employer is required to make (or the credits that it is required to provide) to an active employee’s account for periods in which that employee renders service; and (3) provide that the pensions an employee will receive will depend only on the contributions (or credits) to the employee’s account, actual earnings on investments of those contributions (or credits), and the effects of forfeitures of contributions (or credits) made for other employees, as well as pension plan administrative costs, that are allocated to the employee’s account.

Discount rate
The single rate of return that, when applied to all projected benefit payments, results in an actuarial present value of projected benefit payments equal to the total of the following:

1. The actuarial present value of benefit payments projected to be made in future periods in which (a) the amount of the pension plan’s fiduciary net position is projected (under the requirements of Statement 68) to be greater than the benefit payments that are projected to be made in that period and (b) pension plan assets up to that point are expected to be invested using a strategy to achieve the long-term expected rate of return, calculated using the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments.

2. The actuarial present value of projected benefit payments not included in (1), calculated using the municipal bond rate.

Entry age actuarial cost method
A method under which the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in an actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings or service of the individual between entry age and assumed exit age(s). The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the normal cost. The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the actuarial accrued liability.

Inactive employees
Terminated individuals that have accumulated benefits but are not yet receiving them, and retirees or their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits.

Measurement period
The period between the prior and the current measurement dates.
APPENDIX B (continued)

Glossary of Terms

**Multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan**
A defined benefit pension plan that is used to provide pensions to the employees of more than one employer.

**Net pension liability**
The liability of employers and nonemployer contributing entities to employees for benefits provided through a defined benefit pension plan.

**Nonemployer contributing entities**
Entities that make contributions to a pension plan that is used to provide pensions to the employees of other entities. For purposes of Statement 68, employees are not considered nonemployer-contributing entities.

**Other postemployment benefits**
All postemployment benefits other than retirement income (such as death benefits, life insurance, disability, and long-term care) that are provided separately from a pension plan, as well as postemployment healthcare benefits, regardless of the manner in which they are provided. Other postemployment benefits do not include termination benefits.

**Pension plans**
Arrangements through which pensions are determined, assets dedicated for pensions are accumulated and managed, and benefits are paid as they come due.

**Pensions**
Retirement income and, if provided through a pension plan, postemployment benefits other than retirement income (such as death benefits, life insurance, and disability benefits). Pensions do not include postemployment healthcare benefits and termination benefits.

**Plan members**
Individuals that are covered under the terms of a pension plan. Plan members generally include (1) employees in active service (active plan members) and (2) terminated employees who have accumulated benefits but are not yet receiving them and retirees or their beneficiaries currently receiving benefits (inactive plan members).

**Postemployment**
The period after employment.
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Postemployment benefit changes
Adjustments to the pension of an inactive employee.

Postemployment healthcare benefits
Medical, dental, vision, and other health-related benefits paid subsequent to the termination of employment.

Projected benefit payments
All benefits estimated to be payable through the pension plan to current active and inactive employees as a result of their past service and their expected future service.

Public employee retirement system
A special-purpose government that administers one or more pension plans; also may administer other types of employee benefit plans, including postemployment healthcare plans and deferred compensation plans.

Real rate of return
The rate of return on an investment after adjustment to eliminate inflation.

Service costs
The portions of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that are attributed to valuation years.

Single employer
An employer whose employees are provided with pensions through a single-employer defined benefit pension plan.

Single-employer defined benefit pension plan (single-employer pension plan)
A defined benefit pension plan that is used to provide pensions to employees of only one employer.

Special funding situations
Circumstances in which a nonemployer entity is legally responsible for making contributions directly to a pension plan that is used to provide pensions to the employees of another entity or entities and either of the following conditions exists:

1. The amount of contributions for which the nonemployer entity legally is responsible is not dependent upon one or more events or circumstances unrelated to the pensions.
2. The nonemployer entity is the only entity with a legal obligation to make contributions directly to a pension plan.
Glossary of Terms

**Termination benefits**
Inducements offered by employers to active employees to hasten the termination of services, or payments made in consequence of the early termination of services. Termination benefits include early-retirement incentives, severance benefits, and other termination-related benefits.

**Total pension liability**
The portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to past periods of employee service in conformity with the requirements of Statement 68.